

US009459261B2

### (12) United States Patent

Anderberg et al.

## (10) Patent No.: US 9,459,261 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Oct. 4, 2016

#### (54) METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND PROGNOSIS OF RENAL INJURY AND RENAL FAILURE

(75) Inventors: Joseph Anderberg, Encinitas, CA (US);
Jeff Gray, Solana Beach, CA (US);
Paul McPherson, Encinitas, CA (US);
Kevin Nakamura, Cardiff by the Sea,
CA (US); James Patrick Kampf, San
Diego, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **ASTUTE MEDICAL, INC.**, San

Diego, CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 103 days.

(21) Appl. No.: 14/241,005

(22) PCT Filed: Aug. 24, 2012

(86) PCT No.: PCT/US2012/052298

§ 371 (c)(1),

(2), (4) Date: Mar. 4, 2014

(87) PCT Pub. No.: **WO2013/043310** 

PCT Pub. Date: Mar. 28, 2013

#### (65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0213477 A1 Jul. 31, 2014

#### Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No. 61/528,000, filed on Aug. 26, 2011, provisional application No. 61/528,003, filed on Aug. 26, 2011.
- (51) Int. Cl. G01N 31/00 (2006.01) G01N 33/53 (2006.01) G01N 33/68 (2006.01)
- (52) **U.S. CI.** CPC ..... *G01N 33/6893* (2013.01); *G01N 2800/347* (2013.01); *G01N 2800/60* (2013.01)

#### (56) References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,480,792	A	1/1996	Buechler
5,525,524	A	6/1996	Buechler et al.
5,571,698	A	11/1996	Ladner et al.
5,631,171	A	5/1997	Sandstrom et al.
5,679,526	A	10/1997	Buechler et al.
5,824,799	A	10/1998	Buechler et al.
5,851,776	A	12/1998	Valkirs
5,885,527	A	3/1999	Buechler
5,922,615	A	7/1999	Nowakowski et al.
5,939,272	A	8/1999	Buechler et al.
5,947,124	A	9/1999	Buechler et al.
5,955,377	A	9/1999	Maul et al.

5,985,579	A 11/1999	Buechler et al.	
6,019,944	A 2/2000	Buechler	
6,057,098	A 5/2000	Buechler et al.	
6,113,855	A 9/2000	Buechler et al.	
6,143,576	A 11/2000	Buechler	
8,993,250	B2 * 3/2015	Anderberg	G01N 33/6893
		Č	422/430
9,229,010	B2 * 1/2016	Anderberg	G01N 33/6893
2011/0195429	A1* 8/2011	Anderberg	G01N 33/6893
		C	435/7.1

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2781754	5/2011
CA	2781754 A1	5/2011
CN	101027556 A	8/2007
WO	2004088276 A2	10/2004
WO	2009062520	5/2009
WO	2009107384	9/2009
WO	2011106746	9/2011

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search Report and Written Opinion issued in PCT/US2012/052298 on Jan. 8, 2013.

Wang et al., "Induction of heat shock protein 70 inhibits ischemic renal injury", International Society of Nephrology, 2011, 79:861-870

Office Action and Search Report issued by SIPO in PRC application No. 201280049909.5 dated Dec. 24, 2014—incl Engl lang transl. Partial European Search Report issued in EP 12832845 dated Jul. 2, 2015

Atkins et al., Heat shock proteins in renal cell carcinomas. Contrib Nephrol. 2005;148:35-56.

Eissa et al., Noninvasive Diagnosis of Bladder Cancer by Detection of Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) and Their Inhibitor (TIMP-2) in Urine.Eur Urol. Nov. 2007;52(5):1388-1397. Kolkenbrock et al., Biochemical Characterization of the Catalytic Domain of Membrane-Type 4 Matrix Metalloproteinase. Biol Chem. Sep. 1999;380(9):1103-1108.

Lenz et al., Matrix Metalloproteinases in Renal Development and Disease. J Amer Soc Nephrol. 2000;11(3):574-581.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Lisa Cook (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Michael A. Whittaker

#### (57) ABSTRACT

The present invention relates to methods and compositions for monitoring, diagnosis, prognosis, and determination of treatment regimens in subjects suffering from or suspected of having a renal injury. In particular, the invention relates to using a one or more assays configured to detect a kidney injury marker selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in renal injuries.

#### (56) References Cited

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lichte et al., The recombinant catalytic domain of membrane-type matrix metalloproteinase—1 (MT1-MMP) induces activation of progelatinase A and progelatinase A complexed with TIMP-2. FEBS Lett. Nov. 18, 1996;397(2-3):277-282.

Obata et al., A one-step sandwich enzyme immunoassay for human matrix metalloproteinase 3 (stromelysin-1) using monoclonal antibodies. Clin Chim Acta. Oct. 15, 1992;211(1-2):59-72.

Ramp et al., Expression of heat shock protein 70 in renal cell carcinoma and its relation to tumor progression and prognosis. Histol Histopathol. Oct. 2007;22(10):1099-1107.

Seo et al., TIMP-2 Mediated Inhibition of Angiogenesis: An MMP-Independent Mechanism. Cell. Jul. 25, 2003;114 (2):171-180.

Asanuma et al., Selective modulation of the secretion of proteinases and their inhibitors by growth factors in cultured differentiated podocytes. Kidney Int. Sep. 2002;62(3):822-831.

Bagshaw et al., A multi-centre evaluation of the RIFLE criteria for early acute kidney injury in critically ill patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant. Apr. 2008;23(4):1203-1210.

Bellomo et al., Acute renal failure—definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. Crit Care. Aug. 2004;8(4):R204-212.

Berthier and Marti, Metzincins, including matrix metalloproteinases and meprin, in kidney transplantation. Swiss Med Wkly. Dec. 23, 2006;136(49-50):789-794.

Brook et al., Fibrosis-associated gene expression in renal transplant glomeruli after acute renal allograft rejection. Br J Surg. Aug. 2003;90(8):1009-1014.

Caron et al., Ischemia injury alters endothelial cell properties of kidney cortex: Stimulation of MMP-9. Exp Cell Res. Oct. 15, 2005;310(1):105-116.

Caron et al., Ischemia-reperfusion injury stimulates gelatinase expression and activity in kidney glomeruli. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. Mar. 2005;83(3):287-300.

Catania et al., Role of matrix metalloproteinases in renal pathophysiologies. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol. Mar. 2007;292(3):F905-F911.

Chawla et al., Identifying critically ill patients at high risk for developing acute renal failure: a pilot study. Kidney Int. Nov. 2005;68(5):2274-2280.

Chertow et al., Acute kidney injury, mortality, length of stay, and costs in hospitalized patients. J Am Soc Nephrol. Nov. 2005;16(11):3365-3370.

Extended European Search Report issued in EP 12832845 dated Oct. 29, 2015.

Office action and search report issued by SIPO in Chinese application No. 201280049909.5 dated Sep. 28, 2015—incl Engl tang transl.

Cwirla et al., Peptides on phage: a vast library of peptides for identifying ligands. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. Aug. 1990;87(16):6378-6382.

Devlin et al., Random peptide libraries: a source of specific protein binding molecules Science. Jul. 27, 1990;249 (4967):404-406.

Fischer et al., A readers' guide to the interpretation of diagnostic test properties: clinical example of sepsis. Intensive Care Med. Jul. 2003;29(7):1043-1051.

Gharagozlian et al., Matrix metalloproteinases in subjects with type 1 diabetes. BMC Clin Pathol. Sep. 16, 2009;9:7.

Goldstein and Chawla., Renal angina. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. May 2010;5(5):943-949.

Hanley and McNeil, The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology. Apr. 1982;143(1):29-36.

Hayashi et al., Enhanced Expression of Membrane Type-1 Matrix Metalloproteinase in Mesangial Proliferative Glomerulonephritis. J Am Soc Nephrol. Dec. 1998;9(12):2262-2271.

Kamata et al., Up-regulation of glomerular extracellular matrix and transforming growth factor-beta expression in RF/J mice. Kidney Int. Mar. 1999;55(3):864-876.

Kellum., Acute kidney injury, Crit Care Med, 2008;36(4):S141-S145.

Khanna et al., Expression of TGF-beta and fibrogenic genes in transplant recipients with tacrolimus and cyclosporine nephrotoxicity. Kidney Int. Dec. 2002;62(6):2257-2263.

Laplante et al., Modulation of matrix gelatinases and metal-loproteinase-activating process in acute kidney rejection. Transpl Int. Apr. 2003;16(4):262-269.

Lassnigg et al., Minimal changes of serum creatinine predict prognosis in patients after cardiothoracic surgery: a prospective cohort study. J Am Soc Nephrol. Jun. 2004;15(6):1597-1605.

McCullough et al., Contrast-Induced Nephropathy (CIN) Consensus Working Panel: executive summary. Rev. Cardiovasc Med. 2006 Fall;7(4):177-197.

Mehran et al., A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. J Am Coll Cardiol. Oct. 6, 2004;44(7):1393-1399

Mehta et al., Acute Kidney Injury Network: report of an initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney injury. Crit Care. 2007;11(2):R31.

Nelson and Griswold, A computer program for calculating antibody affinity constants. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. Jul.-Aug. 1988;27(1):65-68.

Praught and Shlipak, Are small changes in serum creatinine an important risk factor? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. May 2005;14(3):265-270.

Ricci et al., The RIFLE criteria and mortality in acute kidney injury: A systematic review. Kidney Int Mar. 2008;73 (5):538-546.

Rysz et al., Serum matrix metalloproteinases MMP-2 and MMP-9 and metalloproteinase tissue inhibitors TIMP-1 and TIMP-2 in diabetic nephropathy. J Nephrol. Jul.-Aug. 2007;20(4):444-452.

Schaefer et al., Tubular gelatinase A (MMP-2) and its tissue inhibitors in polycystic kidney disease in the Han: SPRD rat. Kidney Int. Jan. 1996;49(1):75-81.

Scott and Smith, Searching for peptide ligands with an epitope library. Science. Jul. 27, 1990;249(4967):386-390.

Thakar et al., A clinical score to predict acute renal failure after cardiac surgery. J Am Soc Nephrol. Jan. 2005;16 (1):162-168.

Thrailkill et al., Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 Dysregulation in Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes Care. Sep. 2007;30 (9):2321-2326.

Uchio-Yamada et al., Decreased Expression of Matrix Metalloproteinases and Tissue Inhibitors of Metalloproteinase in the Kidneys of Hereditary Nephrotic (ICGN) Mice. J Vet Med Sci. Jan. 2005;67(1):35-41.

Van Erp et al., Application of a sol particle immunoassay to the determination of affinity constants of monoclonal antibodies. J Immunoassay. 1991;12(3):425-443.

Vasala and Turpeenniemi-Hujanen, Serum tissue inhibitor of metal-loproteinase-2 (TIMP-2) and matrix metalloproteinase-2 in complex with the inhibitor (MMP-2:TIMP-2) as prognostic markers in bladder cancer. Clin Biochem. Jun. 2007;40(9-10):640-644.

Wagrowska-Danilewicz and Danilewicz, Aberrant Tubulointerstitial Immunoexpression of Matrix Metalloproteinases MMP-2, MMP-9 and Tissue Inhibitor of Matrix Proteinase-2 (TIMP-2) in Acute Cellular Rejection of Human Renal Allograft. Pol J Pathol. 2008;59(4):189-194.

Ward et al., Binding activities of a repertoire of single immunoglobulin variable domains secreted from *Escherichia coli*. Nature. Oct. 12, 1989;341(6242):544-546.

Wasilewska and Zoch-Zwier, Urinary levels of matrix metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors in nephrotic children. Pediatr Nephrol. Oct. 2008;23(10):1795-1802.

Wijeysundera et al., Derivation and validation of a simplified predictive index for renal replacement therapy after aardiac surgery. JAMA. Apr. 25, 2007;297(16):1801-1809.

Wilson et al., Simplified conjugation chemistry for coupling peptides to F(ab') fragments: autologous red cell agglutination assay for HIV-1 antibodies. J Immunol Methods. Oct. 14, 1994;175(2):267-273.

Yarmush et al., Coupling of antibody-binding fragments to solidphase supports: site-directed binding of F(ab')2 fragments. J Biochem Biophys Methods. Dec. 1992;25(4):285-297.

Zhang et al., Significance of MMP=2 and TIMP-2 mRNA Expressions on Glomerular Cells in the Development of L-Glomerulosclerosis. Chin Med Sci J. Jun. 2004;19(2):84-88.

\* cited by examiner

# METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND PROGNOSIS OF RENAL INJURY AND RENAL FAILURE

The present invention is filed under 35 U.S.C. §371 as the 5 U.S. national phase of International Application No. PCT/ US2012/052298, filed Aug. 24, 2012, which designated the U.S. and claims priority to provisional U.S. patent application 61/528,000 filed Aug. 26, 2011, and to provisional U.S. patent application 61/528,003 filed Aug. 26, 2011, which is 10 hereby incorporated in its entirety including all tables, figures, and claims.

#### SEQUENCE LISTING

The instant application contains a Sequence Listing which has been submitted in ASCII format via EFS-Web and is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. Said ASCII copy, created on Feb. 25, 2014, is named AST8104US\_SeqListing.txt and is 51 kilobytes in size.

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The following discussion of the background of the invention is merely provided to aid the reader in understanding the invention and is not admitted to describe or constitute prior art to the present invention.

The kidney is responsible for water and solute excretion from the body. Its functions include maintenance of acid-base balance, regulation of electrolyte concentrations, control of blood volume, and regulation of blood pressure. As such, loss of kidney function through injury and/or disease

2

results in substantial morbidity and mortality. A detailed discussion of renal injuries is provided in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety. Renal disease and/or injury may be acute or chronic. Acute and chronic kidney disease are described as follows (from Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47th Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety): "Acute renal failure is worsening of renal function over hours to days, resulting in the retention of nitrogenous wastes (such as urea nitrogen) and creatinine in the blood. Retention of these substances is called azotemia. Chronic renal failure (chronic kidney disease) results from an abnormal loss of renal function over months to years".

Acute renal failure (ARF, also known as acute kidney injury, or AKI) is an abrupt (typically detected within about 48 hours to 1 week) reduction in glomerular filtration. This 20 loss of filtration capacity results in retention of nitrogenous (urea and creatinine) and non-nitrogenous waste products that are normally excreted by the kidney, a reduction in urine output, or both. It is reported that ARF complicates about 5% of hospital admissions, 4-15% of cardiopulmonary bypass surgeries, and up to 30% of intensive care admissions. ARF may be categorized as prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal in causation. Intrinsic renal disease can be further divided into glomerular, tubular, interstitial, and vascular abnormalities. Major causes of ARF are described in the following table, which is adapted from the Merck Manual, 17th ed., Chapter 222, and which is hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety:

Type Prerenal	Risk Factors
ECF volume depletion	Excessive diuresis, hemorrhage, GI losses, loss of intravascular fluid into the extravascular space (due to ascites, peritonitis, pancreatitis, or burns), loss of skin and mucus membranes, renal salt- and water-wasting states
Low cardiac output	Cardiomyopathy, MI, cardiac tamponade, pulmonary embolism, pulmonary hypertension, positive-pressure mechanical ventilation
Low systemic vascular resistance	Septic shock, liver failure, antihypertensive drugs
Increased renal vascular resistance	NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, hypercalcemia, anaphylaxis, anesthetics, renal artery obstruction, renal vein thrombosis, sepsis, hepatorenal syndrome
Decreased efferent arteriolar tone (leading to decreased GFR from reduced glomerular transcapillary pressure, especially in patients with bilateral renal artery stenosis) Intrinsic Renal	ACE inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor blockers
Acute tubular injury	Ischemia (prolonged or severe prerenal state): surgery, hemorrhage, arterial or venous obstruction; Toxins: NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, streptozotocin
Acute glomerulonephritis	ANCA-associated: Crescentic glomerulonephritis, polyarteritis nodosa, Wegener's granulomatosis; Anti-GBM glomerulonephritis: Goodpasture's syndrome; Immune-complex: Lupus glomerulonephritis, postinfectious glomerulonephritis, cryoglobulinemic glomerulonephritis
Acute tubulointerstitial nephritis	Drug reaction (eg, $\beta$ -lactams, NSAIDs, sulfonamides, ciprofloxacin, thiazide diuretics, furosemide, phenytoin, allopurinol, pyelonephritis, papillary necrosis

3

#### -continued

Type Prerenal	Risk Factors
Acute vascular nephropathy Infiltrative diseases Postrenal	Vasculitis, malignant hypertension, thrombotic microangiopathies, scleroderma, atheroembolism Lymphoma, sarcoidosis, leukemia
Tubular precipitation	Uric acid (tumor lysis), sulfonamides, triamterene, acyclovir, indinavir, methotrexate, ethylene glycol ingestion, myeloma protein, myoglobin
Ureteral obstruction	Intrinsic: Calculi, clots, sloughed renal tissue, fungus ball, edema, malignancy, congenital defects; Extrinsic: Malignancy, retroperitoneal fibrosis, ureteral trauma during surgery or high impact injury
Bladder obstruction	Mechanical: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, urethral strictures, phimosis, paraphimosis, urethral valves, obstructed indwelling urinary catheter; Neurogenic: Anticholinergic drugs, upper or lower motor neuron lesion

In the case of ischemic ARF, the course of the disease may be divided into four phases. During an initiation phase, which lasts hours to days, reduced perfusion of the kidney is evolving into injury. Glomerular ultrafiltration reduces, the flow of filtrate is reduced due to debris within the tubules, and back leakage of filtrate through injured epithelium occurs. Renal injury can be mediated during this phase by reperfusion of the kidney. Initiation is followed by an extension phase which is characterized by continued ischemic injury and inflammation and may involve endothelial damage and vascular congestion. During the maintenance phase, lasting from 1 to 2 weeks, renal cell injury occurs, and glomerular filtration and urine output reaches a minimum. A recovery phase can follow in which the renal epithelium is 35 repaired and GFR gradually recovers. Despite this, the survival rate of subjects with ARF may be as low as about

Acute kidney injury caused by radiocontrast agents (also called contrast media) and other nephrotoxins such as 40 cyclosporine, antibiotics including aminoglycosides and anticancer drugs such as cisplatin manifests over a period of days to about a week. Contrast induced nephropathy (CIN, which is AKI caused by radiocontrast agents) is thought to be caused by intrarenal vasoconstriction (leading to ischemic injury) and from the generation of reactive oxygen species that are directly toxic to renal tubular epithelial cells. CIN classically presents as an acute (onset within 24-48 h) but reversible (peak 3-5 days, resolution within 1 week) rise in blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine.

A commonly reported criteria for defining and detecting AKI is an abrupt (typically within about 2-7 days or within a period of hospitalization) elevation of serum creatinine. Although the use of serum creatinine elevation to define and detect AKI is well established, the magnitude of the serum 55 creatinine elevation and the time over which it is measured to define AKI varies considerably among publications. Traditionally, relatively large increases in serum creatinine such as 100%, 200%, an increase of at least 100% to a value over 2 mg/dL and other definitions were used to define AKI. 60 However, the recent trend has been towards using smaller serum creatinine rises to define AKI. The relationship between serum creatinine rise, AKI and the associated health risks are reviewed in Praught and Shlipak, Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 14:265-270, 2005 and Chertow et al, J Am Soc Nephrol 16: 3365-3370, 2005, which, with the references listed therein, are hereby incorporated by refer4

ence in their entirety. As described in these publications, acute worsening renal function (AKI) and increased risk of death and other detrimental outcomes are now known to be associated with very small increases in serum creatinine. These increases may be determined as a relative (percent) value or a nominal value. Relative increases in serum creatinine as small as 20% from the pre-injury value have been reported to indicate acutely worsening renal function (AKI) and increased health risk, but the more commonly reported value to define AKI and increased health risk is a relative increase of at least 25%. Nominal increases as small as 0.3 mg/dL, 0.2 mg/dL or even 0.1 mg/dL have been reported to indicate worsening renal function and increased risk of death. Various time periods for the serum creatinine to rise to these threshold values have been used to define AKI, for example, ranging from 2 days, 3 days, 7 days, or a variable period defined as the time the patient is in the hospital or intensive care unit. These studies indicate there is not a particular threshold serum creatinine rise (or time period for the rise) for worsening renal function or AKI, but rather a continuous increase in risk with increasing magnitude of serum creatinine rise.

One study (Lassnigg et all, J Am Soc Nephrol 15:1597-1605, 2004, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety) investigated both increases and decreases in serum creatinine. Patients with a mild fall in serum creatinine of -0.1 to -0.3 mg/dL following heart surgery had the lowest mortality rate. Patients with a larger fall in serum creatinine (more than or equal to -0.4 mg/dL) or any increase in serum creatinine had a larger mortality rate. These findings caused the authors to conclude that even very subtle changes in renal function (as detected by small creatinine changes within 48 hours of surgery) seriously effect patient's outcomes. In an effort to reach consensus on a unified classification system for using serum creatinine to define AKI in clinical trials and in clinical practice, Bellomo et al., Crit Care. 8(4):R204-12, 2004, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, proposes the following classifications for stratifying AKI patients:

"Risk": serum creatinine increased 1.5 fold from baseline OR urine production of <0.5 ml/kg body weight/hr for 6 hours;

"Injury": serum creatinine increased 2.0 fold from baseline OR urine production <0.5 ml/kg/hr for 12 h;

"Failure": serum creatinine increased 3.0 fold from baseline OR creatinine >355 μmol/l (with a rise of >44) or urine output below 0.3 ml/kg/hr for 24 h or anuria for at least 12 hours;

And included two clinical outcomes:

"Loss": persistent need for renal replacement therapy for more than four weeks.

"ESRD": end stage renal disease—the need for dialysis for more than 3 months.

These criteria are called the RIFLE criteria, which provide a useful clinical tool to classify renal status. As discussed in Kellum, *Crit. Care Med.* 36: S141-45, 2008 and Ricci et al., *Kidney Int.* 73, 538-546, 2008, each hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, the RIFLE criteria provide a uniform definition of AKI which has been validated in numerous studies.

More recently, Mehta et al., *Crit. Care* 11:R31 (doi: 10.1186.cc5713), 2007, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, proposes the following similar classifications for 15 stratifying AKI patients, which have been modified from RIFLE:

"Stage I": increase in serum creatinine of more than or equal to 0.3 mg/dL (≥26.4 µmol/L) or increase to more than or equal to 150% (1.5-fold) from baseline OR urine output less 20 than 0.5 mL/kg per hour for more than 6 hours;

"Stage II": increase in serum creatinine to more than 200% (>2-fold) from baseline OR urine output less than 0.5 mL/kg per hour for more than 12 hours;

"Stage III": increase in serum creatinine to more than 300% 25 (>3-fold) from baseline OR serum creatinine ≥354 µmol/L accompanied by an acute increase of at least 44 µmol/L OR urine output less than 0.3 mL/kg per hour for 24 hours or anuria for 12 hours.

The CIN Consensus Working Panel (McCollough et al, 30 Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2006; 7(4):177-197, hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety) uses a serum creatinine rise of 25% to define Contrast induced nephropathy (which is a type of AKI). Although various groups propose slightly different criteria for using serum creatinine to detect AKI, 35 the consensus is that small changes in serum creatinine, such as 0.3 mg/dL or 25%, are sufficient to detect AKI (worsening renal function) and that the magnitude of the serum creatinine change is an indicator of the severity of the AKI and mortality risk.

Although serial measurement of serum creatinine over a period of days is an accepted method of detecting and diagnosing AKI and is considered one of the most important tools to evaluate AKI patients, serum creatinine is generally regarded to have several limitations in the diagnosis, assess- 45 ment and monitoring of AKI patients. The time period for serum creatinine to rise to values (e.g., a 0.3 mg/dL or 25% rise) considered diagnostic for AKI can be 48 hours or longer depending on the definition used. Since cellular injury in AKI can occur over a period of hours, serum 50 creatinine elevations detected at 48 hours or longer can be a late indicator of injury, and relying on serum creatinine can thus delay diagnosis of AKI. Furthermore, serum creatinine is not a good indicator of the exact kidney status and treatment needs during the most acute phases of AKI when 55 kidney function is changing rapidly. Some patients with AKI will recover fully, some will need dialysis (either short term or long term) and some will have other detrimental outcomes including death, major adverse cardiac events and chronic kidney disease. Because serum creatinine is a 60 marker of filtration rate, it does not differentiate between the causes of AKI (pre-renal, intrinsic renal, post-renal obstruction, atheroembolic, etc) or the category or location of injury in intrinsic renal disease (for example, tubular, glomerular or interstitial in origin). Urine output is similarly limited, 65 Knowing these things can be of vital importance in managing and treating patients with AKI.

6

These limitations underscore the need for better methods to detect and assess AKI, particularly in the early and subclinical stages, but also in later stages when recovery and repair of the kidney can occur. Furthermore, there is a need to better identify patients who are at risk of having an AKI.

#### BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the invention to provide methods and compositions for evaluating renal function in a subject. As described herein, measurement of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase: Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 (each referred to herein as a "kidney injury marker") can be used for diagnosis, prognosis, risk stratification, staging, monitoring, categorizing and determination of further diagnosis and treatment regimens in subjects suffering or at risk of suffering from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, and/or acute renal failure (also called acute kidney injury).

The kidney injury markers of the present invention may be used, individually or in panels comprising a plurality of kidney injury markers, for risk stratification (that is, to identify subjects at risk for a future injury to renal function, for future progression to reduced renal function, for future progression to ARF, for future improvement in renal function, etc.); for diagnosis of existing disease (that is, to identify subjects who have suffered an injury to renal function, who have progressed to reduced renal function, who have progressed to ARF, etc.); for monitoring for deterioration or improvement of renal function; and for predicting a future medical outcome, such as improved or worsening renal function, a decreased or increased mortality 40 risk, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will require renal replacement therapy (i.e., hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, hemofiltration, and/or renal transplantation, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will recover from an injury to renal function, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will recover from ARF, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will progress to end stage renal disease, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will progress to chronic renal failure, a decreased or increased risk that a subject will suffer rejection of a transplanted kidney, etc.

In a first aspect, the present invention relates to methods for evaluating renal status in a subject. These methods comprise performing an assay method that is configured to detect one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase: Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 is/are then correlated to the renal status of the subject. This correlation to renal status may include correlating the assay result(s) to one or more of risk stratification, diagnosis, prognosis, staging, classifying and monitoring of the subject as described herein. Thus, the present invention utilizes one or more kidney injury markers of the present invention for the evaluation of renal injury.

In certain embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for risk stratification of the subject; that is, assigning a likelihood of one or more future changes in renal status to the subject. In these embodiments, the assay result(s) is/are correlated to one or 5 more such future changes. The following are preferred risk stratification embodiments.

In preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's risk for a future injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to 10 a likelihood of such a future injury to renal function. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the 15 measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the mea- 20 sured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

In other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's risk for future 25 reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such reduced renal function. For example, the measured concentrations may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of suffering a future 30 reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of future reduced renal 35 function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

In still other preferred risk stratification embodiments, 40 these methods comprise determining a subject's likelihood for a future improvement in renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such a future improvement in renal function. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. 45 For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of a future improvement in renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold. For a 50 "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of a future improvement in renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold.

In yet other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's risk for progression to ARF, and the result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of such progression to ARF. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a 60 threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of progression to ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a 65 "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of progression to ARF is assigned to the subject when

8

the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

And in other preferred risk stratification embodiments, these methods comprise determining a subject's outcome risk, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a likelihood of the occurrence of a clinical outcome related to a renal injury suffered by the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may each be compared to a threshold value. For a "positive going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of one or more of: acute kidney injury, progression to a worsening stage of AKI, mortality, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, a requirement for withdrawal of renal toxins, end stage renal disease, heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, progression to chronic kidney disease, etc., is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold. For a "negative going" kidney injury marker, an increased likelihood of one or more of: acute kidney injury. progression to a worsening stage of AKI, mortality, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, a requirement for withdrawal of renal toxins, end stage renal disease, heart failure, stroke, myocardial infarction, progression to chronic kidney disease, etc., is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold, relative to a likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold.

In such risk stratification embodiments, preferably the likelihood or risk assigned is that an event of interest is more or less likely to occur within 180 days of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject. In particularly preferred embodiments, the likelihood or risk assigned relates to an event of interest occurring within a shorter time period such as 18 months, 120 days, 90 days, 60 days, 45 days, 30 days, 21 days, 14 days, 7 days, 5 days, 96 hours, 72 hours, 48 hours, 36 hours, 24 hours, 12 hours, or less. A risk at 0 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject is equivalent to diagnosis of a current condition.

In preferred risk stratification embodiments, the subject is selected for risk stratification based on the pre-existence in the subject of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF. For example, a subject undergoing or having undergone major vascular surgery, coronary artery bypass, or other cardiac surgery; a subject having pre-existing congestive heart failure, preeclampsia. eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, glomerular filtration below the normal range, cirrhosis, serum creatinine above the normal range, or sepsis; or a subject exposed to NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin are all preferred subjects for monitoring risks according to the methods described herein. This list is not meant to be limiting. By "pre-existence" in this context is meant that the risk factor exists at the time the body fluid sample is obtained from the subject. In particularly preferred embodiments, a subject is chosen for risk stratification based on an existing diagnosis of injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF.

In other embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for diagnosing a renal injury in the subject; that is, assessing whether or not a subject has suffered from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF. In these embodiments, the assay

result(s), for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloprotei- 5 nase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal 10 status. The following are preferred diagnostic embodiments.

In preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of such an injury. 15 For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured hood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned 25 when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury to renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the 30 measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury to renal function may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured 35 concentration is below the threshold).

In other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury caus- 40 ing reduced renal function. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration 45 is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold): alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function may be assigned to 50 the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the 55 threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury causing reduced renal function may be assigned to the 60 subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

In yet other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing the occurrence or nonoccurrence of ARF, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the 65 occurrence or nonoccurrence of an injury causing ARF. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be

10

compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of ARF may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of ARF is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of ARF may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

In still other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likeli- 20 methods comprise diagnosing a subject as being in need of renal replacement therapy, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to a need for renal replacement therapy. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal replacement therapy may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

In still other preferred diagnostic embodiments, these methods comprise diagnosing a subject as being in need of renal transplantation, and the assay result (s0 is/are correlated to a need for renal transplantation. For example, each of the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is above the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold). For a negative going marker, an increased likelihood of the occurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation is assigned to the subject when the measured concentration is below the threshold (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is above the threshold); alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an

increased likelihood of the nonoccurrence of an injury creating a need for renal transplantation may be assigned to the subject (relative to the likelihood assigned when the measured concentration is below the threshold).

11

In still other embodiments, the methods for evaluating 5 renal status described herein are methods for monitoring a renal injury in the subject; that is, assessing whether or not renal function is improving or worsening in a subject who has suffered from an injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF. In these embodiments, the assay result(s), 10 for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase: Metalloproteinase inhibi- 15 tor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 receptor is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status. 20 The following are preferred monitoring embodiments.

In preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject suffering from an injury to renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in 25 renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured 30 concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration 35 is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

In other preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject suffering from reduced renal function, and the assay result(s) is/are 40 correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be 45 assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to 50 the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

In yet other preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject 55 suffering from acute renal failure, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured 60 concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured 65 concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when

the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

12

In other additional preferred monitoring embodiments, these methods comprise monitoring renal status in a subject at risk of an injury to renal function due to the pre-existence of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a change in renal status in the subject. For example, the measured concentration(s) may be compared to a threshold value. For a positive going marker, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject. For a negative going marker, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a worsening of renal function may be assigned to the subject; alternatively, when the measured concentration is above the threshold, an improvement of renal function may be assigned to the subject.

In still other embodiments, the methods for evaluating renal status described herein are methods for classifying a renal injury in the subject; that is, determining whether a renal injury in a subject is prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal; and/or further subdividing these classes into subclasses such as acute tubular injury, acute glomerulonephritis acute tubulointerstitial nephritis, acute vascular nephropathy, or infiltrative disease; and/or assigning a likelihood that a subject will progress to a particular RIFLE stage. In these embodiments, the assay result(s), for example measured concentration(s) of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase: Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulinlike growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 is/are correlated to a particular class and/or subclass. The following are preferred classification embodi-

In preferred classification embodiments, these methods comprise determining whether a renal injury in a subject is prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal; and/or further subdividing these classes into subclasses such as acute tubular injury, acute glomerulonephritis acute tubulointerstitial nephritis, acute vascular nephropathy, or infiltrative disease; and/or assigning a likelihood that a subject will progress to a particular RIFLE stage, and the assay result(s) is/are correlated to the injury classification for the subject. For example, the measured concentration may be compared to a threshold value, and when the measured concentration is above the threshold, a particular classification is assigned; alternatively, when the measured concentration is below the threshold, a different classification may be assigned to the subject.

A variety of methods may be used by the skilled artisan to arrive at a desired threshold value for use in these methods. For example, the threshold value may be determined from a population of normal subjects by selecting a concentration representing the 75th, 85th, 90th, 95th, or 99th percentile of a kidney injury marker measured in such normal subjects. Alternatively, the threshold value may be determined from a "diseased" population of subjects, e.g., those suffering from an injury or having a predisposition for an injury (e.g., progression to ARF or some other clinical

outcome such as death, dialysis, renal transplantation, etc.), by selecting a concentration representing the 75th, 85th, 90th, 95th, or 99th percentile of a kidney injury marker measured in such subjects. In another alternative, the threshold value may be determined from a prior measurement of 5 a kidney injury marker in the same subject; that is, a temporal change in the level of a kidney injury marker in the subject may be used to assign risk to the subject.

The foregoing discussion is not meant to imply, however, that the kidney injury markers of the present invention must 10 be compared to corresponding individual thresholds. Methods for combining assay results can comprise the use of multivariate logistical regression, log linear modeling, neural network analysis, n-of-m analysis, decision tree analysis, calculating ratios of markers, etc. This list is not meant to be limiting. In these methods, a composite result which is determined by combining individual markers may be treated as if it is itself a marker; that is, a threshold may be determined for the composite result as described herein for individual markers, and the composite result for an individual patient compared to this threshold.

The ability of a particular test to distinguish two populations can be established using ROC analysis. For example, ROC curves established from a "first" subpopulation which is predisposed to one or more future changes in renal status, and a "second" subpopulation which is not so predisposed can be used to calculate a ROC curve, and the area under the curve provides a measure of the quality of the test. Preferably, the tests described herein provide a ROC curve area greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9, and most preferably at least 0.95.

In certain aspects, the measured concentration of one or more kidney injury markers, or a composite of such markers, may be treated as continuous variables. For example, any particular concentration can be converted into a corresponding probability of a future reduction in renal function for the subject, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc. In yet another alternative, a threshold that can provide an acceptable level of specificity and sensitivity in separating a population of subjects into "bins" such as a "first" subpopulation (e.g., which is predisposed to one or more future changes in renal status, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc.) and a "second" subpopulation which is not so predisposed. A threshold value is selected to separate this first and second population by one or more of the following measures of test accuracy:

an odds ratio greater than 1, preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, more preferably at least about 3 or more or about 0.33 or less, still more preferably at least about 4 or more or about 0.25 or less, even more preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, and most 50 preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less; a specificity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least about 0.6, more preferably at least about 0.7, still more preferably at least about 0.8, even more preferably at least about 0.9 and most preferably at least about 0.95, with a corresponding sensitivity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than about 0.3, more preferably greater than about 0.4, still more preferably at least about 0.5, even more preferably about 0.6, yet more preferably greater than about 0.7, still more preferably greater than about 0.8, more preferably greater than about 0.9, and most preferably greater than about 0.95; a sensitivity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least about 0.6, more preferably at least about 0.7, still more preferably at least about 0.8, even more preferably at least about 0.9 and most preferably at least about 0.95, with a corresponding specificity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than about 65 0.3, more preferably greater than about 0.4, still more preferably at least about 0.5, even more preferably about 0.6,

14

yet more preferably greater than about 0.7, still more preferably greater than about 0.8, more preferably greater than about 0.9, and most preferably greater than about 0.95;

at least about 75% sensitivity, combined with at least about 75% specificity;

a positive likelihood ratio (calculated as sensitivity/(1-specificity)) of greater than 1, at least about 2, more preferably at least about 3, still more preferably at least about 5, and most preferably at least about 10; or

a negative likelihood ratio (calculated as (1-sensitivity)/ specificity) of less than 1, less than or equal to about 0.5, more preferably less than or equal to about 0.3, and most preferably less than or equal to about 0.1.

The term "about" in the context of any of the above measurements refers to +/-5% of a given measurement.

Multiple thresholds may also be used to assess renal status in a subject. For example, a "first" subpopulation which is predisposed to one or more future changes in renal status, the occurrence of an injury, a classification, etc., and a "second" subpopulation which is not so predisposed can be combined into a single group. This group is then subdivided into three or more equal parts (known as tertiles, quartiles, quintiles, etc., depending on the number of subdivisions). An odds ratio is assigned to subjects based on which subdivision they fall into. If one considers a tertile, the lowest or highest tertile can be used as a reference for comparison of the other subdivisions. This reference subdivision is assigned an odds ratio of 1. The second tertile is assigned an odds ratio that is relative to that first tertile. That is, someone in the second tertile might be 3 times more likely to suffer one or more future changes in renal status in comparison to someone in the first tertile. The third tertile is also assigned an odds ratio that is relative to that first tertile.

In certain embodiments, the assay method is an immunoassay. Antibodies for use in such assays will specifically bind a full length kidney injury marker of interest, and may also bind one or more polypeptides that are "related" thereto, as that term is defined hereinafter. Numerous immunoassay formats are known to those of skill in the art. Preferred body fluid samples are selected from the group consisting of urine, blood, serum, saliva, tears, and plasma. In the case of those kidney injury markers which are membrane proteins as described hereinafter, preferred assays detect soluble forms thereof.

The foregoing method steps should not be interpreted to mean that the kidney injury marker assay result(s) is/are used in isolation in the methods described herein. Rather, additional variables or other clinical indicia may be included in the methods described herein. For example, a risk stratification, diagnostic, classification, monitoring, etc. method may combine the assay result(s) with one or more variables measured for the subject selected from the group consisting of demographic information (e.g., weight, sex, age, race), medical history (e.g., family history, type of surgery, preexisting disease such as aneurism, congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, or sepsis, type of toxin exposure such as NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin), clinical variables (e.g., blood pressure, temperature, respiration rate), risk scores (APACHE score, PRE-DICT score, TIMI Risk Score for UA/NSTEMI, Framingham Risk Score, risk scores of Thakar et al. (J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 16: 162-68, 2005), Mehran et al. (J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 44: 1393-99, 2004), Wijeysundera et al. (JAMA 297: 1801-9, 2007), Goldstein and Chawla (Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 5: 943-49, 2010), or Chawla et al. (Kidney Intl. 68: 2274-80, 2005)), a glomerular filtration rate, an

estimated glomerular filtration rate, a urine production rate, a serum or plasma creatinine concentration, a urine creatinine concentration, a fractional excretion of sodium, a urine sodium concentration, a urine creatinine to serum or plasma creatinine ratio, a urine specific gravity, a urine osmolality, 5 a urine urea nitrogen to plasma urea nitrogen ratio, a plasma BUN to creatinine ratio, a renal failure index calculated as urine sodium/(urine creatinine/plasma creatinine), a serum or plasma neutrophil gelatinase (NGAL) concentration, a urine NGAL concentration, a serum or plasma cystatin C 10 concentration, a serum or plasma cardiac troponin concentration, a serum or plasma BNP concentration, a serum or plasma NTproBNP concentration, and a serum or plasma proBNP concentration. Other measures of renal function which may be combined with one or more kidney injury marker assay result(s) are described hereinafter and in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17th Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, and Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47th Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

When more than one marker is measured, the individual markers may be measured in samples obtained at the same time, or may be determined from samples obtained at different (e.g., an earlier or later) times. The individual markers may also be measured on the same or different body fluid samples. For example, one kidney injury marker may be measured in a serum or plasma sample and another kidney injury marker may be measured in a urine sample. In addition, assignment of a likelihood may combine an individual kidney injury marker assay result with temporal 30 changes in one or more additional variables.

In various related aspects, the present invention also relates to devices and kits for performing the methods described herein. Suitable kits comprise reagents sufficient for performing an assay for at least one of the described kidney injury markers, together with instructions for performing the described threshold comparisons.

In certain embodiments, reagents for performing such assays are provided in an assay device, and such assay devices may be included in such a kit. Preferred reagents can comprise one or more solid phase antibodies, the solid phase antibody comprising antibody that detects the intended biomarker target(s) bound to a solid support. In the case of sandwich immunoassays, such reagents can also include one or more detectably labeled antibodies, the detectably labeled antibody comprising antibody that detects the intended biomarker target(s) bound to a detectable label. Additional optional elements that may be provided as part of an assay device are described hereinafter.

Detectable labels may include molecules that are themselves detectable (e.g., fluorescent moieties, electrochemical labels, ecl (electrochemical luminescence) labels, metal chelates, colloidal metal particles, etc.) as well as molecules that may be indirectly detected by production of a detectable reaction product (e.g., enzymes such as horseradish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase, etc.) or through the use of a specific binding molecule which itself may be detectable (e.g., a labeled antibody that binds to the second antibody, biotin, digoxigenin, maltose, oligohistidine, 2,4-dintrobenzene, phenylarsenate, ssDNA, dsDNA, etc.).

Generation of a signal from the signal development element can be performed using various optical, acoustical, and electrochemical methods well known in the art. Examples of detection modes include fluorescence, radiochemical detection, reflectance, absorbance, amperometry, conductance, impedance, interferometry, ellipsometry, etc. In certain of these methods, the solid phase antibody is coupled to a transducer (e.g., a diffraction grating, electrochemical sensor, etc) for generation of a signal, while in

16

others, a signal is generated by a transducer that is spatially separate from the solid phase antibody (e.g., a fluorometer that employs an excitation light source and an optical detector). This list is not meant to be limiting. Antibody-based biosensors may also be employed to determine the presence or amount of analytes that optionally eliminate the need for a labeled molecule.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to methods and compositions for diagnosis, differential diagnosis, risk stratification, monitoring, classifying and determination of treatment regimens in subjects suffering or at risk of suffering from injury to renal function, reduced renal function and/or acute renal failure through measurement of one or more kidney injury markers. In various embodiments, a measured concentration of one or more biomarkers selected from the group consisting of Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, 72 kDa type IV collagenase: Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 or one or more markers related thereto, are correlated to the renal status of the subject.

For purposes of this document, the following definitions apply:

As used herein, an "injury to renal function" is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) measurable reduction in a measure of renal function. Such an injury may be identified, for example, by a decrease in glomerular filtration rate or estimated GFR, a reduction in urine output, an increase in serum creatinine, an increase in serum cystatin C, a requirement for renal replacement therapy, etc "Improvement in Renal Function" is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) measurable increase in a measure of renal function. Preferred methods for measuring and/or estimating GFR are described hereinafter.

As used herein, "reduced renal function" is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) reduction in kidney function identified by an absolute increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 0.1 mg/dL (≥8.8 μmol/L), a percentage increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 20% (1.2-fold from baseline), or a reduction in urine output (documented oliguria of less than 0.5 ml/kg per hour).

As used herein, "acute renal failure" or "ARF" is an abrupt (within 14 days, preferably within 7 days, more preferably within 72 hours, and still more preferably within 48 hours) reduction in kidney function identified by an absolute increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 0.3 mg/dl (≥26.4 µmol/l), a percentage increase in serum creatinine of greater than or equal to 50% (1.5-fold from baseline), or a reduction in urine output (documented oliguria of less than 0.5 ml/kg per hour for at least 6 hours). This term is synonymous with "acute kidney injury" or "AKI."

As used herein, the term "Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1 precursor (human precursor Swiss-Prot P08107 (SEQ ID NO: 1)).

10 MAKAAAIGID	20 LGTTYSCVGV		40 NDQGNRTTPS		60 IGDAAKNQVA
70 LNPQNTVFDA	80 KRLIGRKFGD	90 PVVQSDMKHW	100 PFQVINDGDK	110 PKVQVSYKGE	120 TKAFYPEEIS
130 SMVLTKMKEI	140 AEAYLGYPVT		160 NDSQRQATKD	170 AGVIAGLNVL	180 RIINEPTAAA
190 IAYGLDRTGK	200 GERNVLIFDL	210 GGGTFDVSIL	220 TIDDGIFEVK	230 ATAGDTHLGG	240 EDFDNRLVNH
250 FVEEFKRKHK	260 KDISQNKRAV		280 KRTLSSSTQA	290 SLEIDSLFEG	
310 RFEELCSDLF	320 RSTLEPVEKA	330 LRDAKLDKAQ	340 IHDLVLVGGS	350 TRIPKVQKLL	360 QDFFNGRDLN
370 KSINPDEAVA	380 YGAAVQAAIL		400 LLLLDVAPLS		420 TALIKRNSTI
430 PTKQTQIFTT	440 YSDNQPGVLI		460 KDNNLLGRFE	470 LSGIPPAPRG	
490 DANGILNVTA	500 TDKSTGKANK	510 ITITNDKGRL	520 SKEEIERMVQ	530 EAEKYKAEDE	540 VQRERVSAKN
550 ALESYAFNMK	560 SAVEDEGLKG		580 LDKCQEVISW		600 EFEHKRKELE
610 QVCNPIISGL	620 YQGAGGPGPG	630 GFGAQGPKGG	640 SGSGPTIEEV	D	

The following domains have been identified in Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1	1	Initiator methionine
2-641	640	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

As used herein, the term "Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex" refers to a polypeptide complex present in a biological sample that comprises one or more

polypeptides that are derived from the Stromelysin-1 pre-<sup>30</sup> cursor and one or more polypeptides that are derived from the Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 precursor.

used herein, the term "72 kDa type IV collagenase:

Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex" refers to a polypeptide complex present in a biological sample that comprises
one or more polypeptides that are derived from the 72 kDa type IV collagenase precursor and one or more polypeptides that are derived from the Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 precursor.

The human Stromelysin-1 precursor has the following sequence (Swiss-Prot P08254 (SEQ ID NO: 2)):

10	20	30	40	50	60
MKSLPILLLL	CVAVCSAYPL	DGAARGEDTS	MNLVQKYLEN	YYDLKKDVKQ	FVRRKDSGPV
70	80	90	100	110	120
VKKIREMQKF	LGLEVTGKLD	SDTLEVMRKP	RCGVPDVGHF	RTFPGIPKWR	KTHLTYRIVN
130	140	150	160	170	180
YTPDLPKDAV	DSAVEKALKV	WEEVTPLTFS	RLYEGEADIM	ISFAVREHGD	FYPFDGPGNV
190	200	210	220	230	240
LAHAYAPGPG	INGDAHFDDD	EQWTKDTTGT	NLFLVAAHEI	GHSLGLFHSA	NTEALMYPLY
250	260	270	280	290	300
HSLTDLTRFR	LSQDDINGIQ	SLYGPPPDSP	ETPLVPTEPV	PPEPGTPANC	DPALSFDAVS
310	320	330	340	350	360
TLRGEILIFK	DRHFWRKSLR	KLEPELHLIS	SFWPSLPSGV	DAAYEVTSKD	LVFIFKGNQF
370	380	390	400	410	420
WAIRGNEVRA	GYPRGIHTLG	FPPTVRKIDA	AISDKEKNKT	YFFVEDKYWR	FDEKRNSMEP
430	440	450	460	470	
GFPKQIAEDF	PGIDSKIDAV	FEEFGFFYFF	TGSSQLEFDP	${\tt NAKKVTHTLK}$	SNSWLNC

45

19

The following domains have been identified in Stromelysin-1:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-17	17	signal sequence
18-99	82	propeptide
100-477	378	Stromelysin-1

The human 72 kDa type IV collagenase precursor (Swiss-Prot P08253 (SEQ ID NO: 3)) has the following sequence:

•		`	
• ,	1	ı	
_	u	,	

The following domains have been identified in Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-26	26	Signal peptide
27-220	194	Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2

As used herein, the term "Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor precursor (Swiss-Prot P08069 (SEQ ID NO: 5)).

10	20	30	40	50	60
MEALMARGAL	TGPLRALCLL	GCLLSHAAAA	PSPIIKFPGD	VAPKTDKELA	VQYLNTFYGC
70	80	90	100	110	120
PKESCNLFVL	KDTLKKMQKF	FGLPQTGDLD	QNTIETMRKP	RCGNPDVANY	NFFPRKPKWD
130	140	150	160	170	180
KNQITYRIIG	YTPDLDPETV	DDAFARAFQV	WSDVTPLRFS	RIHDGEADIM	INFGRWEHGD
190	200	210	220	230	240
GYPFDGKDGL	LAHAFAPGTG	VGGDSHFDDD	ELWTLGEGQV	VRVKYGNADG	EYCKFPFLFN
250	260	270	280	290	300
GKEYNSCTDT	GRSDGFLWCS	TTYNFEKDGK	YGFCPHEALF	TMGGNAEGQP	CKFPFRFQGT
310	320	330	340	350	360
SYDSCTTEGR	TDGYRWCGTT	EDYDRDKKYG	FCPETAMSTV	GGNSEGAPCV	FPFTFLGNKY
370	380	390	400	410	420
ESCTSAGRSD	GKMWCATTAN	YDDDRKWGFC	PDQGYSLFLV	AAHEFGHAMG	LEHSQDPGAL
430	440	450	460	470	480
MAPIYTYTKN	FRLSQDDIKG	IQELYGASPD	IDLGTGPTPT	LGPVTPEICK	QDIVFDGIAQ
490	500	510	520	530	540
IRGEIFFFKD	RFIWRTVTPR	DKPMGPLLVA	TFWPELPEKI	DAVYEAPQEE	KAVFFAGNEY
550	560	570	580	590	600
WIYSASTLER	GYPKPLTSLG	LPPDVQRVDA	AFNWSKNKKT	YIFAGDKFWR	YNEVKKKMDP
610	620	630	640	650	660
GFPKLIADAW	NAIPDNLDAV	VDLQGGGHSY	FFKGAYYLKL	ENQSLKSVKF	GSIKSDWLGC

The following domains have been identified in 72 kDa type IV collagenase:

Residues	Length	Domain ID	_
1-29	29	Signal peptide	_
30-109	90	Activation peptide	50
110-660	551	72 kDa type IV collagenase (4-73)	50

The human Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 precursor (Swiss-Prot P16035 (SEQ ID NO: 4)) has the following sequence:

10	20	30	40	50	60
MGAAARTLRL	ALGLLLLATL	LRPADACSCS	PVHPQQAFCN	ADVVIRAKAV	SEKEVDSGND
70	80	90	100	110	120
IYGNPIKRIQ	YEIKQIKMFK	GPEKDIEFIY	TAPSSAVCGV	SLDVGGKKEY	LIAGKAEGDG
130	140	150	160	170	180
KMHITLCDFI	VPWDTLSTTQ	KKSLNHRYQM	GCECKITRCP	MIPCYISSPD	ECLWMDWVTE
190 KNINGHOAKE	200	210	220 KOEFI DI EDP		

10	20	30	40	50	60
MKSGSGGGSP	TSLWGLLFLS	AALSLWPTSG	EICGPGIDIR	NDYQQLKRLE	NCTVIEGYLH
70	80	90	100	110	120
ILLISKAEDY	RSYRFPKLTV	ITEYLLLFRV	AGLESLGDLF	PNLTVIRGWK	LFYNYALVIF
130	140	150	160	170	180
EMTNLKDIGL	YNLRNITRGA	IRIEKNADLC	YLSTVDWSLI	LDAVSNNYIV	GNKPPKECGD
190	200	210	220	230	240
LCPGTMEEKP	MCEKTTINNE	YNYRCWTTNR	CQKMCPSTCG	KRACTENNEC	CHPECLGSCS
250	260	270	280	290	300
APDNDTACVA	CRHYYYAGVC	VPACPPNTYR	FEGWRCVDRD	FCANILSAES	SDSEGFVIHD
310	320	330	340	350	360
GECMQECPSG	FIRNGSQSMY	CIPCEGPCPK	VCEEEKKTKT	IDSVTSAQML	QGCTIFKGNL
370	380	390	400	410	420
LINIRRGNNI	ASELENFMGL	IEVVTGYVKI	RHSHALVSLS	FLKNLRLILG	EEQLEGNYSF
430	440	450	460	470	480
YVLDNQNLQQ	LWDWDHRNLT	IKAGKMYFAF	NPKLCVSEIY	RMEEVTGTKG	RQSKGDINTR
490	500	510	520	530	540
NNGERASCES	DVLHFTSTTT	SKNRIIITWH	RYRPPDYRDL	ISFTVYYKEA	PFKNVTEYDG
550	560	570	580	590	600
QDACGSNSWN	MVDVDLPPNK	DVEPGILLHG	LKPWTQYAVY	VKAVTLTMVE	NDHIRGAKSE
610	620	630	640	650	660
ILYIRTNASV	PSIPLDVLSA	SNSSSQLIVK	WNPPSLPNGN	LSYYIVRWQR	QPQDGYLYRH
670	680	690	700	710	720
NYCSKDKIPI	RKYADGTIDI	EEVTENPKTE	VCGGEKGPCC	ACPKTEAEKQ	AEKEEAEYRK
730	740	750	760	770	780
VFENFLHNSI	FVPRPERKRR	DVMQVANTTM	SSRSRNTTAA	DTYNITDPEE	LETEYPFFES
790	800	810	820	830	840
RVDNKERTVI	SNLRPFTLYR	IDIHSCNHEA	EKLGCSASNF	VFARTMPAEG	ADDIPGPVTW
850	860	870	880	890	900
EPRPENSIFL	KWPEPENPNG	LILMYEIKYG	SQVEDQRECV	SRQEYRKYGG	AKLNRLNPGN
910	920	930	940	950	960
YTARIQATSL	SGNGSWTDPV	FFYVQAKTGY	ENFIHLIIAL	PVAVLLIVGG	LVIMLYVFHR
970	980	990	1000		1020
KRNNSRLGNG	VLYASVNPEY	FSAADVYVPD	EWEVAREKIT		GMVYEGVAKG
1030	1040	1050		1070	1080
VVKDEPETRV	AIKTVNEAAS	MRERIEFLNE		HVVRLLGVVS	QGQPTLVIME
1090 LMTRGDLKSY	1100 LRSLRPEMEN	1110 NPVLAPPSLS			
1150 CMVAEDFTVK	1160 IGDFGMTRDI	1170 YETDYYRKGG			
1210	1220	1230	1240		1260
VLWEIATLAE	QPYQGLSNEQ	VLRFVMEGGL	LDKPDNCPDM		YNPKMRPSFL
1270 EIISSIKEEM	1280 EPGFREVSFY	1290 YSEENKLPEP			1320 SSSLPLPDRH
1330 SGHKAENGPG	1340 PGVLVLRASF	1350 DERQPYAHMN	1360 GGRKNERALP	LPQSSTC	

Most preferably, the Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor assay detects one or more soluble forms of Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor is a single-pass type I membrane protein having a large extracellular domain, most or all of which is present in soluble forms of Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor generated either through alternative splicing event which deletes all or a portion of the transmembrane domain, or by proteolysis of the membrane-bound form. In the case of an immunoassay, one or more antibodies that bind to epitopes within this extracellular domain may be used to detect these soluble form(s). The following domains have been identified in Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-30 31-736	30 706	signal sequence Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor alpha chain (extracellular)

24 -continued

Residues	Length	Domain ID
741-1367	627	Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor beta chain
741-935	195	extracellular
936-959	24	transmembrane
960-1367	408	cytoplasmic

As used herein, the term "myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88 precursor (Swiss-Prot Q99836 (SEQ ID NO: 6)).

60	50	40	30	20	10
AEEMDFEYLE	TQVAADWTAL	RRLSLFLNVR	PLAALNMRVR	AAPVSSTSSL	MAAGGPGAGS
120	110	100	90	80	70
EDCQKYILKQ	VLLELGPSIE	ELLTKLGRDD	RPGASVGRLL	TGRLLDAWQG	IRQLETQADP
180	170	160	150	140	130
DIQFVQEMIR	FDAFICYCPS	DDPLGHMPER	TAELAGITTL	VAAVDSSVPR	QQEEAEKPLQ
240	230	220	210	200	190
KECDFQTKFA	VVVSDDYLQS	LIEKRCRRMV	GTCVWSIASE	LCVSDRDVLP	QLEQTNYRLK
	290	280	270	260	250
KALSLP	TKSWFWTRLA	ITVCDYTNPC	KKEFPSILRF	RLIPIKYKAM	LSLSPGAHQK

As used herein, the term "neuronal cell adhesion molecule" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the neuronal cell adhesion molecule precursor (Swiss-Prot Q92823 (SEQ ID NO: 7)).

60	50	40	30	20	10
QSPKDYIIDP	DLVQPPTITQ	EVPLDPKLLE	LFLCQMISAL	RLSAGRVPLI	MQLKIMPKKK
120	110	100	90	80	70
EGKAETYEGV	TGTLIINIMS	KDPLVTMKPG	TRNGTHFDID	KGKPPPSFSW	RENIVIQCEA
180	170	160	150	140	130
IGLPPPIIFW	QSLVLPCRPP	KLEPITLQSG	PSRSPLWTKE	AAVSNNIVVR	YQCTARNERG
240	230	220	210	200	190
KQPISVKVIS	RFNHTQTIQQ	DTREDYICYA	DLYFSNVLPE	SERVSQGLNG	MDNSFQRLPQ
300	290	280	270	260	250
			KSSRERPPTF		
ECIMEOEI II	EBBRONVEDE	DII EOMADINI	RODRERTTT	NEDDIEFICA	VDEBNDIIM
360	350	340	330	320	310
HTISVRVKAA	IAKNALGAIH	SEADSGNYQC	FEKTLQIIHV	LPKNRTVYKN	IIYWAKEDGM
420	410	400	390	380	370
KIDGDTIIFS	IEIAPDDPSR	RISWLTNGVP	ICRANGNPKP	VLSPGEDGTL	PYWITAPQNL
400	470	1.00	450	440	420
			450		430
PALLDCAFFG	NTLYQVIANR	AEPPRILTPA	LLANAFVNVL	QCNASNEYGY	NVQERSSAVY
540	530	520	510	500	490
			IYVLHENGTL		SPLPTIEWFK
		580		560	550
DERFTVDKDH	WLKDNRELPS	HDHTLSLTVL	SMVSFECKVK	QPEYAVVQRG	EIKDPTWIVK
660	650	640	630	620	610
FDLELTDQLD	APVYDVPNPP	LSVVAPTPTP	TLDSVSASAV	SGTYTCVANT	LVVADVSDDD

		-cont	tinued		
670				710	
KSVQLSWTPG	DDNNSPITKF	IIEYEDAMHK	PGLWHHQTEV	SGTQTTAQLK	LSPYVNYSFR
730	740	750		770	780
VMAVNSIGKS	LPSEASEQYL	TKASEPDKNP	TAVEGLGSEP	DNLVITWKPL	NGFESNGPGL
700	000	010	000	000	0.10
790	800	810	820	830	840
QYKVSWRQKD	GDDEWTSVVV	ANVSKYIVSG	TPTFVPYLIK	VQALNDMGFA	PEPAVVMGHS
850	860	870	880	890	900
	NVRVNVVNST				
GEDEFIVARG	14414414411	DAEVIIMDEVE	патканда	TRITIWRIQD	DDIGGIGGITE
910	920	930	940	950	960
KKILTFOGSK	THGMLPGLEP		VNGKGEGPAS	PDRVENTPEG	VPSAPSSLKT
TOTAL GOOT	11101121 0221		***************************************	12111111111	***************************************
970	980	990	1000	1010	1020
VNPTLDSLTL	EWDPPSHPNG	ILTEYTLKYO	PINSTHELGP	LVDLKIPANK	TRWTLKNLNF
		~			
1030	1040	1050	1060	1070	1080
STRYKFYFYA	QTSAGSGSQI	TEEAVTTVDE	AGILPPDVGA	GKVQAVNTRI	SNLTAAAAET
1090	1100	1110	1120	1130	1140
YANISWEYEG	PEHVNFYVEY	GVAGSKEEWR	KEIVNGSRSF	FGLKGLMPGT	AYKVRVGAVG
1150	1160	1170	1180	1190	1200
DSGFVSSEDV	FETGPAMASR	QVDIATQGWF	IGLMCAVALL	ILILLIVCFI	RRNKGGKYPV
1210	1220	1230	1240	1250	1260
KEKEDAHADP	EIQPMKEDDG	TFGEYSDAED	HKPLKKGSRT	PSDRTVKKED	SDDSLVDYGE
1270	1280	1290	1300		
GVNGQFNEDG	SFIGQYSGKK	EKEPAEGNES	SEAPSPVNAM	NSFV	

Most preferably, the neuronal cell adhesion molecule assay detects one or more soluble forms of neuronal cell adhesion molecule. The Neuronal cell adhesion molecule precursor encodes a single-pass type I membrane protein having a large extracellular domain, most or all of which is present in soluble forms of neuronal cell adhesion molecule

As used herein, the term "Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10" refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived from the Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor (Swiss-Prot P50591 (SEQ ID NO: 8))

10	20	30	40	50	60
MAMMEVQGGP	SLGQTCVLIV	IFTVLLQSLC	VAVTYVYFTN	ELKQMQDKYS	KSGIACFLKE
70	80	90	100	110	120
DDSYWDPNDE	ESMNSPCWQV	KWQLRQLVRK	MILRTSEETI	STVQEKQQNI	SPLVRERGPQ
130	140	150	160	170	180
RVAAHITGTR	GRSNTLSSPN	SKNEKALGRK	INSWESSRSG	HSFLSNLHLR	NGELVIHEKG
190	200	210	220	230	240
FYYIYSQTYF	RFQEEIKENT	KNDKQMVQYI	YKYTSYPDPI	LLMKSARNSC	WSKDAEYGLY
250 SIYQGGIFEL	260 KENDRIFVSV	270 TNEHLIDMDH	280 EASFFGAFLV	G	

generated either through alternative splicing event which <sup>50</sup> deletes all or a portion of the transmembrane domain, or by proteolysis of the membrane-bound form. In the case of an immunoassay, one or more antibodies that bind to epitopes within this extracellular domain may be used to detect these soluble form(s). The following domains have been identified in neuronal cell adhesion molecule:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-24	24	signal sequence
25-1304	1280	neuronal cell adhesion molecule
25-1167	1143	extracellular
1168-1190	23	transmembrane
1191-1304	114	cytoplasmic

This protein is also known as TRAIL and APO2L. Most preferably, the Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor assay detects one or more soluble forms of Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor. The Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor encodes a single-pass type II membrane protein having a large extracellular domain, most or all of which is present in soluble forms of Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor generated either through alternative splicing event which deletes all or a portion of the transmembrane domain, or by proteolysis of the membrane-bound form. In the case of an immunoassay, one or more antibodies that bind to epitopes within this extracellular domain may be used to detect these soluble 65 form(s). The following domains have been identified in Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10 precursor:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-281	281	Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10
1-17	17	cytoplasmic domain
18-38	21	Signal-anchor for type II membrane protein
39-281	243	extracellular domain

As used herein, the term "alpha-1-antitrypsin:leukocyte elastase complex" refers to a polypeptide complex present in a biological sample that comprises one or more polypeptides that are derived from the alpha-1-antitrypsin precursor and one or more polypeptides that are derived from the leukocyte elastase precursor.

The human alpha-1-antitrypsin precursor has the following sequence (Swiss-Prot P01009 (SEQ ID NO: 9)):

As used herein, the term "relating a signal to the presence or amount" of an analyte reflects the following understanding. Assay signals are typically related to the presence or amount of an analyte through the use of a standard curve calculated using known concentrations of the analyte of interest. As the term is used herein, an assay is "configured to detect" an analyte if an assay can generate a detectable signal indicative of the presence or amount of a physiologically relevant concentration of the analyte. Because an antibody epitope is on the order of 8 amino acids, an immunoassay configured to detect a marker of interest will also detect polypeptides related to the marker sequence, so long as those polypeptides contain the epitope(s) necessary

10	20	30	40	50	60
MPSSVSWGIL	LLAGLCCLVP	VSLAEDPQGD	AAQKTDTSHH	DQDHPTFNKI	TPNLAEFAFS
70	80	90		110	120
LYRQLAHQSN	STNIFFSPVS	IATAFAMLSL		LEGLNFNLTE	IPEAQIHEGF
130 QELLRTLNQP			160 VDKFLEDVKK	170 LYHSEAFTVN	180 FGDTEEAKKQ
190 INDYVEKGTQ			220 YIFFKGKWER	230 PFEVKDTEEE	
250	260	270	280	290	300
KVPMMKRLGM	FNIQHCKKLS	SWVLLMKYLG	NATAIFFLPD	EGKLQHLENE	LTHDIITKFL
310 ENEDRRSASL	320 HLPKLSITGT		340 GITKVFSNGA	350 DLSGVTEEAP	
370	380	390	400	410	KVVNPTQK
VLTIDEKGTE	AAGAMFLEAI	PMSIPPEVKF	NKPFVFLMIE	QNTKSPLFMG	

antitrypsin:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-24 25-418	24 394	signal sequence alpha-1-antitrypsin

The human leukocyte elastase precursor (Swiss-Prot P08246 (SEQ ID NO: 10)) has the following sequence:

The following domains have been identified in alpha-1- 35 to bind to the antibody or antibodies used in the assay. The term "related marker" as used herein with regard to a biomarker such as one of the kidney injury markers described herein refers to one or more fragments, variants, etc., of a particular marker or its biosynthetic parent that may 40 be detected as a surrogate for the marker itself or as independent biomarkers. The term also refers to one or more polypeptides present in a biological sample that are derived

10	20	30	40	50	60
MTLGRRLACL	FLACVLPALL	LGGTALASEI	VGGRRARPHA	WPFMVSLQLR	GGHFCGATLI
70	80	90	100	110	120
APNFVMSAAH	CVANVNVRAV	RVVLGAHNLS	RREPTRQVFA	VQRIFENGYD	PVNLLNDIVI
130	140	150	160	170	180
LQLNGSATIN	ANVQVAQLPA	QGRRLGNGVQ	CLAMGWGLLG	RNRGIASVLQ	ELNVTVVTSL
190	200	210	220	230	240
	~	DSGSPLVCNG	LIHGIASFVR	GGCASGLYPD	AFAPVAQFVN
250	260				
WIDSIIQRSE	DNPCPHPRDP	DPASRTH			

60

The following domains have been identified in leukocyte elastase:

Residues	Length	Domain ID
1-27	315	signal sequence
28-29	2	pro-peptide
30-267	238	leukocyte elastase

from the biomarker precursor complexed to additional species, such as binding proteins, receptors, heparin, lipids, sugars, etc.

In this regard, the skilled artisan will understand that the signals obtained from an immunoassay are a direct result of complexes formed between one or more antibodies and the target biomolecule (i.e., the analyte) and polypeptides containing the necessary epitope(s) to which the antibodies bind. While such assays may detect the full length biomarker and the assay result be expressed as a concentration of a

biomarker of interest, the signal from the assay is actually a result of all such "immunoreactive" polypeptides present in the sample. Expression of biomarkers may also be determined by means other than immunoassays, including protein measurements (such as dot blots, western blots, chromatographic methods, mass spectrometry, etc.) and nucleic acid measurements (mRNA quantitation). This list is not meant to be limiting.

The term "positive going" marker as that term is used herein refer to a marker that is determined to be elevated in subjects suffering from a disease or condition, relative to subjects not suffering from that disease or condition. The term "negative going" marker as that term is used herein refer to a marker that is determined to be reduced in subjects suffering from a disease or condition, relative to subjects not suffering from that disease or condition.

The term "subject" as used herein refers to a human or non-human organism. Thus, the methods and compositions described herein are applicable to both human and veteriary disease. Further, while a subject is preferably a living organism, the invention described herein may be used in post-mortem analysis as well. Preferred subjects are humans, and most preferably "patients," which as used herein refers to living humans that are receiving medical 25 care for a disease or condition. This includes persons with no defined illness who are being investigated for signs of pathology.

Preferably, an analyte is measured in a sample. Such a sample may be obtained from a subject, or may be obtained 30 from biological materials intended to be provided to the subject. For example, a sample may be obtained from a kidney being evaluated for possible transplantation into a subject, and an analyte measurement used to evaluate the kidney for preexisting damage. Preferred samples are body 35 fluid samples.

The term "body fluid sample" as used herein refers to a sample of bodily fluid obtained for the purpose of diagnosis, prognosis, classification or evaluation of a subject of interest, such as a patient or transplant donor. In certain embodiments, such a sample may be obtained for the purpose of determining the outcome of an ongoing condition or the effect of a treatment regimen on a condition. Preferred body fluid samples include blood, serum, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid, urine, saliva, sputum, and pleural effusions. In addition, one of skill in the art would realize that certain body fluid samples would be more readily analyzed following a fractionation or purification procedure, for example, separation of whole blood into serum or plasma components.

The term "diagnosis" as used herein refers to methods by 50 which the skilled artisan can estimate and/or determine the probability ("a likelihood") of whether or not a patient is suffering from a given disease or condition. In the case of the present invention, "diagnosis" includes using the results of an assay, most preferably an immunoassay, for a kidney 55 injury marker of the present invention, optionally together with other clinical characteristics, to arrive at a diagnosis (that is, the occurrence or nonoccurrence) of an acute renal injury or ARF for the subject from which a sample was obtained and assayed. That such a diagnosis is "determined" is not meant to imply that the diagnosis is 100% accurate. Many biomarkers are indicative of multiple conditions. The skilled clinician does not use biomarker results in an informational vacuum, but rather test results are used together with other clinical indicia to arrive at a diagnosis. Thus, a 65 measured biomarker level on one side of a predetermined diagnostic threshold indicates a greater likelihood of the

30

occurrence of disease in the subject relative to a measured level on the other side of the predetermined diagnostic threshold.

Similarly, a prognostic risk signals a probability ("a likelihood") that a given course or outcome will occur. A level or a change in level of a prognostic indicator, which in turn is associated with an increased probability of morbidity (e.g., worsening renal function, future ARF, or death) is referred to as being "indicative of an increased likelihood" of an adverse outcome in a patient.

Marker Assays

In general, immunoassays involve contacting a sample containing or suspected of containing a biomarker of interest with at least one antibody that specifically binds to the biomarker. A signal is then generated indicative of the presence or amount of complexes formed by the binding of polypeptides in the sample to the antibody. The signal is then related to the presence or amount of the biomarker in the sample. Numerous methods and devices are well known to the skilled artisan for the detection and analysis of biomarkers. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,143,576; 6,113,855; 6,019, 944; 5,985,579; 5,947,124; 5,939,272; 5,922,615; 5,885, 527; 5,851,776; 5,824,799; 5,679,526; 5,525,524; and 5,480,792, and The Immunoassay Handbook, David Wild, ed. Stockton Press, New York, 1994, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims.

The assay devices and methods known in the art can utilize labeled molecules in various sandwich, competitive, or non-competitive assay formats, to generate a signal that is related to the presence or amount of the biomarker of interest. Suitable assay formats also include chromatographic, mass spectrographic, and protein "blotting" methods. Additionally, certain methods and devices, such as biosensors and optical immunoassays, may be employed to determine the presence or amount of analytes without the need for a labeled molecule. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 5,631,171; and 5,955,377, each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety, including all tables, figures and claims. One skilled in the art also recognizes that robotic instrumentation including but not limited to Beckman ACCESS®, Abbott AXSYM®, Roche ELECSYS®, Dade Behring STRATUS® systems are among the immunoassay analyzers that are capable of performing immunoassays. But any suitable immunoassay may be utilized, for example, enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISA), radioimmunoassays (RIAs), competitive binding assays, and the like.

Antibodies or other polypeptides may be immobilized onto a variety of solid supports for use in assays. Solid phases that may be used to immobilize specific binding members include those developed and/or used as solid phases in solid phase binding assays. Examples of suitable solid phases include membrane filters, cellulose-based papers, beads (including polymeric, latex and paramagnetic particles), glass, silicon wafers, microparticles, nanoparticles, TentaGels, AgroGels, PEGA gels, SPOCC gels, and multiple-well plates. An assay strip could be prepared by coating the antibody or a plurality of antibodies in an array on solid support. This strip could then be dipped into the test sample and then processed quickly through washes and detection steps to generate a measurable signal, such as a colored spot. Antibodies or other polypeptides may be bound to specific zones of assay devices either by conjugating directly to an assay device surface, or by indirect binding. In an example of the later case, antibodies or other

polypeptides may be immobilized on particles or other solid supports, and that solid support immobilized to the device

Biological assays require methods for detection, and one of the most common methods for quantitation of results is to 5 conjugate a detectable label to a protein or nucleic acid that has affinity for one of the components in the biological system being studied. Detectable labels may include molecules that are themselves detectable (e.g., fluorescent moieties, electrochemical labels, metal chelates, etc.) as well as 10 molecules that may be indirectly detected by production of a detectable reaction product (e.g., enzymes such as horseradish peroxidase, alkaline phosphatase, etc.) or by a specific binding molecule which itself may be detectable (e.g., biotin, digoxigenin, maltose, oligohistidine, 2,4-dintroben- 15 zene, phenylarsenate, ssDNA, dsDNA, etc.).

Preparation of solid phases and detectable label conjugates often comprise the use of chemical cross-linkers. Cross-linking reagents contain at least two reactive groups, and are divided generally into homofunctional cross-linkers 20 (containing identical reactive groups) and heterofunctional cross-linkers (containing non-identical reactive groups). Homobifunctional cross-linkers that couple through amines, sulfhydryls or react non-specifically are available from many commercial sources. Maleimides, alkyl and aryl 25 halides, alpha-haloacyls and pyridyl disulfides are thiol reactive groups. Maleimides, alkyl and aryl halides, and alpha-haloacyls react with sulfhydryls to form thiol ether bonds, while pyridyl disulfides react with sulfhydryls to produce mixed disulfides. The pyridyl disulfide product is 30 cleavable. Imidoesters are also very useful for proteinprotein cross-links. A variety of heterobifunctional crosslinkers, each combining different attributes for successful conjugation, are commercially available.

In certain aspects, the present invention provides kits for 35 the analysis of the described kidney injury markers. The kit comprises reagents for the analysis of at least one test sample which comprise at least one antibody that a kidney injury marker. The kit can also include devices and instructions for performing one or more of the diagnostic and/or 40 prognostic correlations described herein. Preferred kits will comprise an antibody pair for performing a sandwich assay, or a labeled species for performing a competitive assay, for the analyte. Preferably, an antibody pair comprises a first antibody conjugated to a solid phase and a second antibody 45 Programs Biomed. 27: 65-8, 1988. conjugated to a detectable label, wherein each of the first and second antibodies that bind a kidney injury marker. Most preferably each of the antibodies are monoclonal antibodies. The instructions for use of the kit and performing the correlations can be in the form of labeling, which refers to 50 any written or recorded material that is attached to, or otherwise accompanies a kit at any time during its manufacture, transport, sale or use. For example, the term labeling encompasses advertising leaflets and brochures, packaging materials, instructions, audio or video cassettes, computer 55 discs, as well as writing imprinted directly on kits.

The term "antibody" as used herein refers to a peptide or polypeptide derived from, modeled after or substantially encoded by an immunoglobulin gene or immunoglobulin 60 genes, or fragments thereof, capable of specifically binding an antigen or epitope. See, e.g. Fundamental Immunology, 3rd Edition, W. E. Paul, ed., Raven Press, N.Y. (1993); Wilson (1994; J. Immunol. Methods 175:267-273; Yarmush (1992) J. Biochem. Biophys. Methods 25:85-97. The term 65 antibody includes antigen-binding portions, i.e., "antigen binding sites," (e.g., fragments, subsequences, complemen32

tarity determining regions (CDRs)) that retain capacity to bind antigen, including (i) a Fab fragment, a monovalent fragment consisting of the VL, VH, CL and CH1 domains; (ii) a F(ab')2 fragment, a bivalent fragment comprising two Fab fragments linked by a disulfide bridge at the hinge region; (iii) a Fd fragment consisting of the VH and CH1 domains; (iv) a Fv fragment consisting of the VL and VH domains of a single arm of an antibody, (v) a dAb fragment (Ward et al., (1989) Nature 341:544-546), which consists of a VH domain; and (vi) an isolated complementarity determining region (CDR). Single chain antibodies are also included by reference in the term "antibody."

Antibodies used in the immunoassays described herein preferably specifically bind to a kidney injury marker of the present invention. The term "specifically binds" is not intended to indicate that an antibody binds exclusively to its intended target since, as noted above, an antibody binds to any polypeptide displaying the epitope(s) to which the antibody binds. Rather, an antibody "specifically binds" if its affinity for its intended target is about 5-fold greater when compared to its affinity for a non-target molecule which does not display the appropriate epitope(s). Preferably the affinity of the antibody will be at least about 5 fold, preferably 10 fold, more preferably 25-fold, even more preferably 50-fold, and most preferably 100-fold or more, greater for a target molecule than its affinity for a non-target molecule. In preferred embodiments, Preferred antibodies bind with affinities of at least about 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>, and preferably between about  $10^8 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$  to about  $10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ , about  $10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1}$  to about  $10^{10}$  $M^{-1}$ , or about  $10^{10} M^{-1}$  to about  $10^{12} M^{-1}$ .

Affinity is calculated as  $K_d = k_{off}/k_{on} (k_{off})$  is the dissociation rate constant,  $K_{on}$  is the association rate constant and  $K_d$  is the equilibrium constant). Affinity can be determined at equilibrium by measuring the fraction bound (r) of labeled ligand at various concentrations (c). The data are graphed using the Scatchard equation: r/c=K(n-r): where r=moles of bound ligand/mole of receptor at equilibrium; c=free ligand concentration at equilibrium; K=equilibrium association constant; and n=number of ligand binding sites per receptor molecule. By graphical analysis, r/c is plotted on the Y-axis versus r on the X-axis, thus producing a Scatchard plot. Antibody affinity measurement by Scatchard analysis is well known in the art. See, e.g., van Erp et al., J. Immunoassay 12: 425-43, 1991; Nelson and Griswold, Comput. Methods

The term "epitope" refers to an antigenic determinant capable of specific binding to an antibody. Epitopes usually consist of chemically active surface groupings of molecules such as amino acids or sugar side chains and usually have specific three dimensional structural characteristics, as well as specific charge characteristics. Conformational and nonconformational epitopes are distinguished in that the binding to the former but not the latter is lost in the presence of denaturing solvents.

Numerous publications discuss the use of phage display technology to produce and screen libraries of polypeptides for binding to a selected analyte. See, e.g, Cwirla et al., *Proc.* Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 6378-82, 1990; Devlin et al., Science 249, 404-6, 1990, Scott and Smith, Science 249, 386-88, 1990; and Ladner et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,571,698. A basic concept of phage display methods is the establishment of a physical association between DNA encoding a polypeptide to be screened and the polypeptide. This physical association is provided by the phage particle, which displays a polypeptide as part of a capsid enclosing the phage genome which encodes the polypeptide. The establishment of a physical association between polypeptides and their genetic

material allows simultaneous mass screening of very large numbers of phage bearing different polypeptides. Phage displaying a polypeptide with affinity to a target bind to the target and these phage are enriched by affinity screening to the target. The identity of polypeptides displayed from these 5 phage can be determined from their respective genomes. Using these methods a polypeptide identified as having a binding affinity for a desired target can then be synthesized in bulk by conventional means. See, e.g., U.S. Pat. No. 6,057,098, which is hereby incorporated in its entirety, 10 including all tables, figures, and claims.

The antibodies that are generated by these methods may then be selected by first screening for affinity and specificity with the purified polypeptide of interest and, if required, comparing the results to the affinity and specificity of the 15 antibodies with polypeptides that are desired to be excluded from binding. The screening procedure can involve immobilization of the purified polypeptides in separate wells of microtiter plates. The solution containing a potential antibody or groups of antibodies is then placed into the respec- 20 tive microtiter wells and incubated for about 30 min to 2 h. The microtiter wells are then washed and a labeled secondary antibody (for example, an anti-mouse antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase if the raised antibodies are mouse antibodies) is added to the wells and incubated for 25 about 30 min and then washed. Substrate is added to the wells and a color reaction will appear where antibody to the immobilized polypeptide(s) are present.

The antibodies so identified may then be further analyzed for affinity and specificity in the assay design selected. In the 30 development of immunoassays for a target protein, the purified target protein acts as a standard with which to judge the sensitivity and specificity of the immunoassay using the antibodies that have been selected. Because the binding affinity of various antibodies may differ; certain antibody 35 pairs (e.g., in sandwich assays) may interfere with one another sterically, etc., assay performance of an antibody may be a more important measure than absolute affinity and specificity of an antibody.

While the present application describes antibody-based binding assays in detail, alternatives to antibodies as binding species in assays are well known in the art. These include receptors for a particular target, aptamers, etc. Aptamers are oligonucleic acid or peptide molecules that bind to a specific target molecule. Aptamers are usually created by selecting 45 them from a large random sequence pool, but natural aptamers also exist. High-affinity aptamers containing modified nucleotides conferring improved characteristics on the ligand, such as improved in vivo stability or improved delivery characteristics. Examples of such modifications 50 include chemical substitutions at the ribose and/or phosphate and/or base positions, and may include amino acid side chain functionalities.

Assay Correlations

The term "correlating" as used herein in reference to the 55 use of biomarkers refers to comparing the presence or amount of the biomarker(s) in a patient to its presence or amount in persons known to suffer from, or known to be at risk of, a given condition; or in persons known to be free of a given condition. Often, this takes the form of comparing an 60 assay result in the form of a biomarker concentration to a predetermined threshold selected to be indicative of the occurrence or nonoccurrence of a disease or the likelihood of some future outcome.

Selecting a diagnostic threshold involves, among other 65 things, consideration of the probability of disease, distribution of true and false diagnoses at different test thresholds,

and estimates of the consequences of treatment (or a failure to treat) based on the diagnosis. For example, when considering administering a specific therapy which is highly efficacious and has a low level of risk, few tests are needed because clinicians can accept substantial diagnostic uncertainty. On the other hand, in situations where treatment options are less effective and more risky, clinicians often need a higher degree of diagnostic certainty. Thus, cost/benefit analysis is involved in selecting a diagnostic threshold.

Suitable thresholds may be determined in a variety of ways. For example, one recommended diagnostic threshold for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction using cardiac troponin is the 97.5th percentile of the concentration seen in a normal population. Another method may be to look at serial samples from the same patient, where a prior "baseline" result is used to monitor for temporal changes in a biomarker level.

Population studies may also be used to select a decision threshold. Receiver Operating Characteristic ("ROC") arose from the field of signal detection theory developed during World War II for the analysis of radar images, and ROC analysis is often used to select a threshold able to best distinguish a "diseased" subpopulation from a "nondiseased" subpopulation. A false positive in this case occurs when the person tests positive, but actually does not have the disease. A false negative, on the other hand, occurs when the person tests negative, suggesting they are healthy, when they actually do have the disease. To draw a ROC curve, the true positive rate (TPR) and false positive rate (FPR) are determined as the decision threshold is varied continuously. Since TPR is equivalent with sensitivity and FPR is equal to 1-specificity, the ROC graph is sometimes called the sensitivity vs (1-specificity) plot. A perfect test will have an area under the ROC curve of 1.0; a random test will have an area of 0.5. A threshold is selected to provide an acceptable level of specificity and sensitivity.

In this context, "diseased" is meant to refer to a population having one characteristic (the presence of a disease or condition or the occurrence of some outcome) and "nondiseased" is meant to refer to a population lacking the characteristic. While a single decision threshold is the simplest application of such a method, multiple decision thresholds may be used. For example, below a first threshold, the absence of disease may be assigned with relatively high confidence, and above a second threshold the presence of disease may also be assigned with relatively high confidence. Between the two thresholds may be considered indeterminate. This is meant to be exemplary in nature only.

In addition to threshold comparisons, other methods for correlating assay results to a patient classification (occurrence or nonoccurrence of disease, likelihood of an outcome, etc.) include decision trees, rule sets, Bayesian methods, and neural network methods. These methods can produce probability values representing the degree to which a subject belongs to one classification out of a plurality of classifications.

Measures of test accuracy may be obtained as described in Fischer et al., *Intensive Care Med.* 29: 1043-51, 2003, and used to determine the effectiveness of a given biomarker. These measures include sensitivity and specificity, predictive values, likelihood ratios, diagnostic odds ratios, and ROC curve areas. The area under the curve ("AUC") of a ROC plot is equal to the probability that a classifier will rank a randomly chosen positive instance higher than a randomly chosen negative one. The area under the ROC curve may be thought of as equivalent to the Mann-Whitney U test, which

tests for the median difference between scores obtained in the two groups considered if the groups are of continuous data, or to the Wilcoxon test of ranks.

As discussed above, suitable tests may exhibit one or more of the following results on these various measures: a 5 specificity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably at least 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9 and most preferably at least 0.95, with a corresponding sensitivity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than 0.3, more preferably greater than 0.4, 10 still more preferably at least 0.5, even more preferably 0.6, yet more preferably greater than 0.7, still more preferably greater than 0.8, more preferably greater than 0.9, and most preferably greater than 0.95; a sensitivity of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably at least 0.7, still more 15 preferably at least 0.8, even more preferably at least 0.9 and most preferably at least 0.95, with a corresponding specificity greater than 0.2, preferably greater than 0.3, more preferably greater than 0.4, still more preferably at least 0.5, 0.7, still more preferably greater than 0.8, more preferably greater than 0.9, and most preferably greater than 0.95; at least 75% sensitivity, combined with at least 75% specificity; a ROC curve area of greater than 0.5, preferably at least 0.6, more preferably 0.7, still more preferably at least 0.8, 25 even more preferably at least 0.9, and most preferably at least 0.95; an odds ratio different from 1, preferably at least about 2 or more or about 0.5 or less, more preferably at least about 3 or more or about 0.33 or less, still more preferably at least about 4 or more or about 0.25 or less, even more 30 preferably at least about 5 or more or about 0.2 or less, and most preferably at least about 10 or more or about 0.1 or less; a positive likelihood ratio (calculated as sensitivity/(1specificity)) of greater than 1, at least 2, more preferably at least 3, still more preferably at least 5, and most preferably 35 at least 10; and or a negative likelihood ratio (calculated as (1-sensitivity)/specificity) of less than 1, less than or equal to 0.5, more preferably less than or equal to 0.3, and most preferably less than or equal to 0.1

Additional clinical indicia may be combined with the 40 kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention. These include other biomarkers related to renal status. Examples include the following, which recite the common biomarker name, followed by the Swiss-Prot entry number for that biomarker or its parent: Actin (P68133); Adenosine 45 deaminase binding protein (DPP4, P27487); Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein 1 (P02763); Alpha-1-microglobulin (P02760); Albumin (P02768); Angiotensinogenase (Renin, P00797); Annexin A2 (P07355); Beta-glucuronidase (P08236); B-2microglobulin (P61679); Beta-galactosidase (P16278); 50 BMP-7 (P18075); Brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP, BNP-32, NTproBNP; P16860); Calcium-binding protein Beta (S100-beta, P04271); Carbonic anhydrase (Q16790); Casein Kinase 2 (P68400); Ceruloplasmin (P00450); Clusterin (P10909); Complement C3 (P01024); Cysteine-rich protein 55 (CYR61, O00622); Cytochrome C (P99999); Epidermal growth factor (EGF, P01133); Endothelin-1 (P05305); Exosomal Fetuin-A (P02765); Fatty acid-binding protein, heart (FABP3, P05413); Fatty acid-binding protein, liver (P07148); Ferritin (light chain, P02793; heavy chain 60 P02794); Fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (P09467); GRO-alpha (CXCL1, (P09341); Growth Hormone (P01241); Hepatocyte growth factor (P14210); Insulin-like growth factor I (P01343); Immunoglobulin G; Immunoglobulin Light Chains (Kappa and Lambda); Interferon gamma (P01308); 65 Lysozyme (P61626); Interleukin-1alpha (P01583); Interleukin-2 (P60568); Interleukin-4 (P60568); Interleukin-9

36

(P15248); Interleukin-12p40 (P29460); Interleukin-13 (P35225); Interleukin-16 (Q14005); L1 cell adhesion molecule (P32004); Lactate dehydrogenase (P00338); Leucine Aminopeptidase (P28838); Meprin A-alpha subunit (Q16819); Meprin A-beta subunit (Q16820); Midkine (P21741); MIP2-alpha (CXCL2, P19875); MMP-2 (P08253); MMP-9 (P14780); Netrin-1 (O95631); Neutral endopeptidase (P08473); Osteopontin (P10451); Renal papillary antigen 1 (RPA1); Renal papillary antigen 2 (RPA2); Retinol binding protein (P09455); Ribonuclease; 5100 calcium-binding protein A6 (P06703); Serum Amyloid P Component (P02743); Sodium/Hydrogen exchanger isoform (NHE3, P48764); Spermidine/spermine N1-acetyltransferase (P21673); TGF-Beta1 (P01137); Transferrin (P02787); Trefoil factor 3 (TFF3, Q07654); Toll-Like protein 4 (O00206); Total protein; Tubulointerstitial nephritis antigen (Q9UJW2); Uromodulin (Tamm-Horsfall protein, P07911).

For purposes of risk stratification, Adiponectin (Q15848); even more preferably 0.6, yet more preferably greater than 20 Alkaline phosphatase (P05186); Aminopeptidase N (P15144); CalbindinD28k (P05937); Cystatin C (P01034); 8 subunit of FIFO ATPase (P03928); Gamma-glutamyltransferase (P19440); GSTa (alpha-glutathione-S-transferase, P08263); GSTpi (Glutathione-S-transferase P; GST class-pi; P09211); IGFBP-1 (P08833); IGFBP-2 (P18065); IGFBP-6 (P24592); Integral membrane protein 1 (Itm1, P46977); Interleukin-6 (P05231); Interleukin-8 (P10145); Interleukin-18 (Q14116); IP-10 (10 kDa interferon-gamma-induced protein, P02778); IRPR (IFRD1, O00458); Isovaleryl-CoA dehydrogenase (IVD, P26440); I-TAC/CXCL11 (O14625); Keratin 19 (P08727); Kim-1 (Hepatitis A virus cellular receptor 1, O43656); L-arginine:glycine amidinotransferase (P50440); Leptin (P41159); Lipocalin2 (NGAL, P80188); (P13500); MIG (Gamma-interferon-induced monokine Q07325); MIP-1a (P10147); MIP-3a (P78556); MIP-1beta (P13236); MIP-1d (Q16663); NAG (N-acetylbeta-D-glucosaminidase, P54802); Organic ion transporter (OCT2, O15244); Osteoprotegerin (O14788); P8 protein (O60356); Plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1, P05121); ProANP(1-98) (P01160); Protein phosphatase 1-beta (PPI-beta, P62140); Rab GDI-beta (P50395); Renal kallikrein (Q86U61); RT1.B-1 (alpha) chain of the integral membrane protein (Q5Y7A8); Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1A (sTNFR-I, P19438); Soluble tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 1B (sTNFR-II, P20333); Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 3 (TIMP-3, P35625); uPAR (O03405) may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention.

Other clinical indicia which may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) of the present invention includes demographic information (e.g., weight, sex, age, race), medical history (e.g., family history, type of surgery, pre-existing disease such as aneurism, congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, or sepsis, type of toxin exposure such as NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin), clinical variables (e.g., blood pressure, temperature, respiration rate), risk scores (APACHE score, PRE-DICT score, TIMI Risk Score for UA/NSTEMI, Framingham Risk Score), a urine total protein measurement, a glomerular filtration rate, an estimated glomerular filtration rate, a urine production rate, a serum or plasma creatinine concentration, a renal papillary antigen 1 (RPA1) measurement; a renal papillary antigen 2 (RPA2) measurement; a urine creatinine concentration, a fractional excretion of sodium, a urine sodium concentration, a urine creatinine to serum or plasma creatinine ratio, a urine specific gravity, a urine osmolality, a urine urea nitrogen to plasma urea nitrogen ratio, a plasma BUN to creatinine ratio, and/or a renal failure index calculated as urine sodium/(urine creatinine/plasma creatinine). Other measures of renal function which may be combined with the kidney injury marker assay result(s) are described hereinafter and in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 17<sup>th</sup> Ed., McGraw Hill, New York, pages 1741-1830, and Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2008, 47<sup>th</sup> Ed, McGraw Hill, New York, pages 785-815, each of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

Combining assay results/clinical indicia in this manner can comprise the use of multivariate logistical regression, log linear modeling, neural network analysis, n-of-m analysis, decision tree analysis, etc. This list is not meant to be 20 limiting.

Diagnosis of Acute Renal Failure

As noted above, the terms "acute renal (or kidney) injury" and "acute renal (or kidney) failure" as used herein are defined in part in terms of changes in serum creatinine from 25 a baseline value. Most definitions of ARF have common elements, including the use of serum creatinine and, often, urine output. Patients may present with renal dysfunction without an available baseline measure of renal function for use in this comparison. In such an event, one may estimate a baseline serum creatinine value by assuming the patient initially had a normal GFR. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the volume of fluid filtered from the renal (kidney) glomerular capillaries into the Bowman's capsule per unit time. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) can be calculated by measuring any chemical that has a steady level in the blood. and is freely filtered but neither reabsorbed nor secreted by the kidneys. GFR is typically expressed in units of ml/min:

$$GFR = \frac{\text{Urine Concentration} \times \text{Urine Flow}}{\text{Plasma Concentration}}$$

By normalizing the GFR to the body surface area, a GFR  $^{45}$  of approximately 75-100 ml/min per  $^{1.73}$  m $^{2}$  can be assumed. The rate therefore measured is the quantity of the substance in the urine that originated from a calculable volume of blood.

There are several different techniques used to calculate or 50 estimate the glomerular filtration rate (GFR or eGFR). In clinical practice, however, creatinine clearance is used to measure GFR. Creatinine is produced naturally by the body (creatinine is a metabolite of creatine, which is found in muscle). It is freely filtered by the glomerulus, but also 55 actively secreted by the renal tubules in very small amounts such that creatinine clearance overestimates actual GFR by 10-20%. This margin of error is acceptable considering the ease with which creatinine clearance is measured.

Creatinine clearance (CCr) can be calculated if values for 60 creatinine's urine concentration ( $U_{C_P}$ ), urine flow rate (V), and creatinine's plasma concentration ( $P_{C_P}$ ) are known. Since the product of urine concentration and urine flow rate yields creatinine's excretion rate, creatinine clearance is also said to be its excretion rate ( $U_{C_P}$ ×V) divided by its plasma 65 concentration. This is commonly represented mathematically as:

$$C_{Cr} = \frac{U_{Cr} \times V}{P_{Cr}}$$

Commonly a 24 hour urine collection is undertaken, from empty-bladder one morning to the contents of the bladder the following morning, with a comparative blood test then taken:

$$C_{Cr} = \frac{U_{Cr} \times 24$$
- hour volume  
 $P_{Cr} \times 24 \times 60 \text{ mins}$ 

To allow comparison of results between people of different sizes, the CCr is often corrected for the body surface area (BSA) and expressed compared to the average sized man as ml/min/1.73 m2. While most adults have a BSA that approaches 1.7 (1.6-1.9), extremely obese or slim patients should have their CCr corrected for their actual BSA:

$$C_{Cr-corrected} = \frac{C_{Cr} \times 1.73}{BSA}$$

The accuracy of a creatinine clearance measurement (even when collection is complete) is limited because as glomerular filtration rate (GFR) falls creatinine secretion is increased, and thus the rise in serum creatinine is less. Thus, creatinine excretion is much greater than the filtered load, resulting in a potentially large overestimation of the GFR (as much as a twofold difference). However, for clinical purposes it is important to determine whether renal function is stable or getting worse or better. This is often determined by monitoring serum creatinine alone. Like creatinine clearance, the serum creatinine will not be an accurate reflection of GFR in the non-steady-state condition of ARF. Nonetheless, the degree to which serum creatinine changes from baseline will reflect the change in GFR. Serum creatinine is readily and easily measured and it is specific for renal function.

For purposes of determining urine output on a Urine output on a mL/kg/hr basis, hourly urine collection and measurement is adequate. In the case where, for example, only a cumulative 24-h output was available and no patient weights are provided, minor modifications of the RIFLE urine output criteria have been described. For example, Bagshaw et al., *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 23: 1203-1210, 2008, assumes an average patient weight of 70 kg, and patients are assigned a RIFLE classification based on the following: <35 mL/h (Risk), <21 mL/h (Injury) or <4 mL/h (Failure).

Selecting a Treatment Regimen

Once a diagnosis is obtained, the clinician can readily select a treatment regimen that is compatible with the diagnosis, such as initiating renal replacement therapy, withdrawing delivery of compounds that are known to be damaging to the kidney, kidney transplantation, delaying or avoiding procedures that are known to be damaging to the kidney, modifying diuretic administration, initiating goal directed therapy, etc. The skilled artisan is aware of appropriate treatments for numerous diseases discussed in relation to the methods of diagnosis described herein. See, e.g., Merck Manual of Diagnosis and Therapy, 17th Ed. Merck Research Laboratories, Whitehouse Station, N.J., 1999. In addition, since the methods and compositions described

39

herein provide prognostic information, the markers of the present invention may be used to monitor a course of treatment. For example, improved or worsened prognostic state may indicate that a particular treatment is or is not efficacious.

One skilled in the art readily appreciates that the present invention is well adapted to carry out the objects and obtain the ends and advantages mentioned, as well as those inherent therein. The examples provided herein are representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended 10 as limitations on the scope of the invention.

#### Example 1

#### Contrast-Induced Nephropathy Sample Collection

The objective of this sample collection study is to collect samples of plasma and urine and clinical data from patients before and after receiving intravascular contrast media. Approximately 250 adults undergoing radiographic/angio- 20 graphic procedures involving intravascular administration of iodinated contrast media are enrolled. To be enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older;

undergoing a radiographic/angiographic procedure (such as a CT scan or coronary intervention) involving the intravascular administration of contrast media;

expected to be hospitalized for at least 48 hours after 30 contrast administration.

able and willing to provide written informed consent for study participation and to comply with all study procedures. Exclusion Criteria

renal transplant recipients;

acutely worsening renal function prior to the contrast procedure;

already receiving dialysis (either acute or chronic) or in imminent need of dialysis at enrollment;

expected to undergo a major surgical procedure (such as 40 involving cardiopulmonary bypass) or an additional imaging procedure with contrast media with significant risk for further renal insult within the 48 hrs following contrast administration;

participation in an interventional clinical study with an 45 known pregnancy; experimental therapy within the previous 30 days;

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus.

Immediately prior to the first contrast administration (and after any pre-procedure hydration), an EDTA anti-coagu- 50 lated blood sample (10 mL) and a urine sample (10 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 4 ( $\pm 0.5$ ), 8 ( $\pm 1$ ), 24 ( $\pm 2$ ) 48 ( $\pm 2$ ), and 72 (±2) hrs following the last administration of contrast media during the index contrast procedure. Blood is collected via 55 direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are processed to plasma at the clinical site, frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc., San Diego, Calif. The 60 study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

Serum creatinine is assessed at the site immediately prior to the first contrast administration (after any pre-procedure hydration) and at 4 ( $\pm$ 0.5), 8 ( $\pm$ 1), 24 ( $\pm$ 2) and 48 ( $\pm$ 2)), and 65 72 (±2) hours following the last administration of contrast (ideally at the same time as the study samples are obtained).

40

In addition, each patient's status is evaluated through day 30 with regard to additional serum and urine creatinine measurements, a need for dialysis, hospitalization status, and adverse clinical outcomes (including mortality).

Prior to contrast administration, each patient is assigned a risk based on the following assessment: systolic blood pressure <80 mm Hg=5 points; intra-arterial balloon pump=5 points; congestive heart failure (Class III-IV or history of pulmonary edema)=5 points; age >75 yrs=4 points; hematocrit level <39% for men, <35% for women=3 points; diabetes=3 points; contrast media volume=1 point for each 100 mL; serum creatinine level >1.5 g/dL=4 points OR estimated GFR 40-60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>=2 points, 20-40  $mL/min/1.73 \text{ m}^2=4 \text{ points}, <20 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2=6 \text{ points}.$ The risks assigned are as follows: risk for CIN and dialysis: 5 or less total points=risk of CIN—7.5%, risk of dialysis-0.04%; 6-10 total points=risk of CIN—14%, risk of dialysis—0.12%; 11-16 total points=risk of CIN—26.1%, risk of dialysis—1.09%; >16 total points=risk of CIN—57.3%, risk of dialysis—12.8%.

#### Example 2

#### Cardiac Surgery Sample Collection

The objective of this sample collection study is to collect samples of plasma and urine and clinical data from patients before and after undergoing cardiovascular surgery, a procedure known to be potentially damaging to kidney function. Approximately 900 adults undergoing such surgery are enrolled. To be enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older; undergoing cardiovascular surgery;

Toronto/Ottawa Predictive Risk Index for Renal Replacement risk score of at least 2 (Wijeysundera et al., JAMA 297: 1801-9, 2007); and

able and willing to provide written informed consent for study participation and to comply with all study procedures. **Exclusion Criteria** 

previous renal transplantation;

acutely worsening renal function prior to enrollment (e.g., any category of RIFLE criteria);

already receiving dialysis (either acute or chronic) or in imminent need of dialysis at enrollment;

currently enrolled in another clinical study or expected to be enrolled in another clinical study within 7 days of cardiac surgery that involves drug infusion or a therapeutic intervention for AKI;

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus.

Within 3 hours prior to the first incision (and after any pre-procedure hydration), an EDTA anti-coagulated blood sample (10 mL), whole blood (3 mL), and a urine sample (35 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 3 ( $\pm 0.5$ ), 6 ( $\pm 0.5$ ), 12 ( $\pm 1$ ), 24  $(\pm 2)$  and 48  $(\pm 2)$  hrs following the procedure and then daily on days 3 through 7 if the subject remains in the hospital. Blood is collected via direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are frozen and shipped to Astute

41

Medical, Inc., San Diego, Calif. The study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

#### Example 3

#### Acutely III Subject Sample Collection

The objective of this study is to collect samples from acutely ill patients. Approximately 1900 adults expected to be in the ICU for at least 48 hours will be enrolled. To be 10 enrolled in the study, each patient must meet all of the following inclusion criteria and none of the following exclusion criteria:

Inclusion Criteria

males and females 18 years of age or older;

Study population 1: approximately 300 patients that have at least one of:

shock (SBP<90 mmHg and/or need for vasopressor support to maintain MAP>60 mmHg and/or documented drop in SBP of at least 40 mmHg); and

Study population 2: approximately 300 patients that have at least one of:

IV antibiotics ordered in computerized physician order entry (CPOE) within 24 hours of enrollment;

contrast media exposure within 24 hours of enrollment; increased Intra-Abdominal Pressure with acute decompensated heart failure; and

severe trauma as the primary reason for ICU admission and likely to be hospitalized in the ICU for 48 hours after 30 enrollment;

Study population 3: approximately 300 patients expected to be hospitalized through acute care setting (ICU or ED) with a known risk factor for acute renal injury (e.g. sepsis, hypotension/shock (Shock=systolic BP<90 mmHg and/or 35 the need for vasopressor support to maintain a MAP>60 mmHg and/or a documented drop in SBP>40 mmHg), major trauma, hemorrhage, or major surgery); and/or expected to be hospitalized to the ICU for at least 24 hours after enrollment;

Study population 4: approximately 1000 patients that are 21 years of age or older, within 24 hours of being admitted into the ICU, expected to have an indwelling urinary catheter for at least 48 hours after enrollment, and have at least one of the following acute conditions within 24 hours prior to 45 enrollment:

(i) respiratory SOFA score of ≥2 (PaO2/FiO2<300), (ii) cardiovascular SOFA score of ≥1 (MAP<70 mm Hg and/or any vasopressor required).

Exclusion Criteria

known pregnancy;

institutionalized individuals;

previous renal transplantation;

known acutely worsening renal function prior to enrollment (e.g., any category of RIFLE criteria);

received dialysis (either acute or chronic) within 5 days prior to enrollment or in imminent need of dialysis at the time of enrollment:

known infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or a hepatitis virus;

meets any of the following:

- (i) active bleeding with an anticipated need for >4 units PRBC in a day;
- (ii) hemoglobin <7 g/dL;
- (iii) any other condition that in the physician's opinion 65 would contraindicate drawing serial blood samples for clinical study purposes;

42

meets only the SBP<90 mmHg inclusion criterion set forth above, and does not have shock in the attending physician's or principal investigator's opinion;

After obtaining informed consent, an EDTA anti-coagulated blood sample (10 mL) and a urine sample (25-50 mL) are collected from each patient. Blood and urine samples are then collected at 4 ( $\pm$ 0.5) and 8 ( $\pm$ 1) hours after contrast administration (if applicable); at 12 ( $\pm$ 1), 24 ( $\pm$ 2), 36 ( $\pm$ 2), 48 ( $\pm$ 2), 60 ( $\pm$ 2), 72 ( $\pm$ 2), and 84 ( $\pm$ 2) hours after enrollment, and thereafter daily up to day 7 to day 14 while the subject is hospitalized. Blood is collected via direct venipuncture or via other available venous access, such as an existing femoral sheath, central venous line, peripheral intravenous line or hep-lock. These study blood samples are processed to plasma at the clinical site, frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc., San Diego, Calif. The study urine samples are frozen and shipped to Astute Medical, Inc.

#### Example 4

#### Immunoassay Format

Analytes are measured using standard sandwich enzyme 25 immunoassay techniques. A first antibody which binds the analyte is immobilized in wells of a 96 well polystyrene microplate. Analyte standards and test samples are pipetted into the appropriate wells and any analyte present is bound by the immobilized antibody. After washing away any unbound substances, a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated second antibody which binds the analyte is added to the wells, thereby forming sandwich complexes with the analyte (if present) and the first antibody. Following a wash to remove any unbound antibody-enzyme reagent, a substrate solution comprising tetramethylbenzidine and hydrogen peroxide is added to the wells. Color develops in proportion to the amount of analyte present in the sample. The color development is stopped and the intensity of the color is measured at 540 nm or 570 nm. An analyte concentration is assigned to the test sample by comparison to a standard curve determined from the analyte standards.

Units for the concentrations reported in the following data tables are as follows: Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1—pg/mL, Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex—pg/mL, Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex—pg/ mL, Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor—ng/mL, Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88—ng/mL, Neuronal cell adhesion molecule—ng/mL, and Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10—pg/mL. In the case of those kidney injury markers which are membrane proteins as described herein, the assays used in these examples detect soluble forms thereof.

#### Example 5

#### Apparently Healthy Donor and Chronic Disease Patient Samples

Human urine samples from donors with no known chronic or acute disease ("Apparently Healthy Donors") were purchased from two vendors (Golden West Biologicals, Inc., 27625 Commerce Center Dr., Temecula, Calif. 92590 and Virginia Medical Research, Inc., 915 First Colonial Rd., Virginia Beach, Va. 23454). The urine samples were shipped and stored frozen at less than -20° C. The vendors supplied demographic information for the individual donors including gender, race (Black/White), smoking status and age.

44

Human urine samples from donors with various chronic diseases ("Chronic Disease Patients") including congestive heart failure, coronary artery disease, chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, diabetes mellitus and hypertension were purchased from Virginia Medi- 5 cal Research, Inc., 915 First Colonial Rd., Virginia Beach, Va. 23454. The urine samples were shipped and stored frozen at less than -20 degrees centigrade. The vendor provided a case report form for each individual donor with age, gender, race (Black/White), smoking status and alcohol use, height, weight, chronic disease(s) diagnosis, current medications and previous surgeries.

#### Example 6

#### Use of Kidney Injury Markers for Evaluating Renal Status in Patients

Patients from the intensive care unit (ICU) were enrolled in the following study. Each patient was classified by kidney 20 status as non-injury (0), risk of injury (R), injury (I), and failure (F) according to the maximum stage reached within 7 days of enrollment as determined by the RIFLE criteria. EDTA anti-coagulated blood samples (10 mL) and a urine samples (25-30 mL) were collected from each patient at 25 enrollment, 4 ( $\pm 0.5$ ) and 8 ( $\pm 1$ ) hours after contrast administration (if applicable); at 12 (±1), 24 (±2), and 48 (±2) hours after enrollment, and thereafter daily up to day 7 to day 14 while the subject is hospitalized. Markers were each measured by standard immunoassay methods using com- 30 mercially available assay reagents in the urine samples and the plasma component of the blood samples collected.

Two cohorts were defined to represent a "diseased" and a "normal" population. While these terms are used for convenience, "diseased" and "normal" simply represent two 35 cohorts for comparison (say RIFLE 0 vs RIFLE R, I and F: RIFLE 0 vs RIFLE R; RIFLE 0 and R vs RIFLE I and F; etc.). The time "prior max stage" represents the time at which a sample is collected, relative to the time a particular patient reaches the lowest disease stage as defined for that cohort, binned into three groups which are +/-12 hours. For

example, "24 hr prior" which uses 0 vs R, I, F as the two cohorts would mean 24 hr (+/-12 hours) prior to reaching stage R (or I if no sample at R, or F if no sample at R or I).

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated for each biomarker measured and the area under each ROC curve (AUC) is determined Patients in Cohort 2 were also separated according to the reason for adjudication to cohort 2 as being based on serum creatinine measurements (sCr), being based on urine output (UO), or being based on either serum creatinine measurements or urine output. Using the same example discussed above (0 vs R, I, F), for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements alone, the stage 0 cohort may include patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of urine output; for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of urine output alone, the stage 0 cohort may include patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements; and for those patients adjudicated to stage R, I, or F on the basis of serum creatinine measurements or urine output, the stage 0 cohort contains only patients in stage 0 for both serum creatinine measurements and urine output. Also, in the data for patients adjudicated on the basis of serum creatinine measurements or urine output, the adjudication method which yielded the most severe RIFLE stage is used.

The ability to distinguish cohort 1 from Cohort 2 was determined using ROC analysis. SE is the standard error of the AUC, n is the number of sample or individual patients ("pts," as indicated). Standard errors are calculated as described in Hanley, J. A., and McNeil, B. J., The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology (1982) 143: 29-36; p values are calculated with a two-tailed Z-test. An AUC<0.5 is indicative of a negative going marker for the comparison, and an AUC>0.5 is indicative of a positive going marker for the comparison.

Various threshold (or "cutoff") concentrations were selected, and the associated sensitivity and specificity for distinguishing cohort 1 from cohort 2 are determined OR is the odds ratio calculated for the particular cutoff concentration, and 95% CI is the confidence interval for the odds ratio.

TABLE 1

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.487	0.237	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.362
Average	328	5.13	328	22.4	328	0.362
Stdev	1910	21.0	1910	65.2	1910	0.176
p (t-test)		0.47		0.49		0.81
Min	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237
Max	13900	91.7	13900	267	13900	0.487
n (Samp)	53	19	53	19	53	2
n (Patient)	42	19	42	19	42	2
sCr only						
Median	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487
Average	193	0.387	193	111	193	0.487
Stdev	1440	0.137	1440	235	1440	0
p (t-test)		0.77		0.90		0.85
Min	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.487
Max	13900	0.487	13900	530	13900	0.487

TABLE 1-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1	
(patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected	
from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.	

n (Samp)	93	5	93	5	93	2
n (Patient) UO only	73	5	73	5	73	2
Median	0.487	0.237	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487
Average	348	6.40	348	117	348	0.425
Stdev	2070	23.6	2070	431	2070	0.125
p (t-test)		0.53		0.62		0.74
Min	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237
Max	13900	91.7	13900	1930	13900	0.487
n (Samp)	45	15	45	20	45	4
n (Patient)	35	15	35	20	35	4

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.32	0.47	0.39	0.44	0.57	0.58	0.35	0.63	0.54
SE	0.075	0.14	0.087	0.078	0.14	0.079	0.22	0.21	0.15
р	0.015	0.80	0.20	0.46	0.60	0.33	0.50	0.55	0.82
nCohort 1	53	93	45	53	93	45	53	93	45
nCohort 2	19	5	15	19	5	20	2	2	4
Cutoff 1	0	0	0	0	0	0.237	0	0.237	0.237
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	70%	100%	100%	75%
Spec 1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	49%	0%	44%	49%
Cutoff 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.237	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.237	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	0%
Cutoff 4	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487
Sens 4	5%	0%	7%	21%	40%	25%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 4	77%	82%	78%	77%	82%	78%	77%	82%	78%
Cutoff 5	85.2	0.487	3.84	85.2	0.487	3.84	85.2	0.487	3.84
Sens 5	5%	0%	7%	11%	40%	25%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 5	81%	82%	80%	81%	82%	80%	81%	82%	80%
Cutoff 6	201	154	201	201	154	201	201	154	201
Sens 6	0%	0%	0%	5%	20%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	91%	90%	93%	91%	90%	93%	91%	90%	93%
OR Quart 2	1.0	>3.6	5.1	0.70	0.96	>27	>0	>2.1	0
p Value	1.0	< 0.29	0.17	0.67	0.98	< 0.0044	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.56</td><td>na</td></na<>	< 0.56	na
95% CI of	0.058	>0.35	0.50	0.13	0.057	>2.8	>na	>0.18	na
OR Quart 2	17	na	52	3.7	16	na	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	34	>1.0	12	1.3	1.0	>7.3	>1.1	>0	3.7
p Value	0.0021	<0.98	0.030	0.70	1.0	< 0.088	< 0.96	<na< td=""><td>0.29</td></na<>	0.29
95% CI of	3.6	>0.062	1.3	0.30	0.059	>0.74	>0.061	>na	0.32
OR Quart 3	320	na	120	6.1	17	na	na	na	42
OR Quart 4	6.5	>1.1	3.5	2.2	2.0	>6.7	>1.2	>0	0
p Value	0.10	< 0.95	0.30	0.28	0.58	< 0.10	< 0.92	<na< td=""><td>na</td></na<>	na
95% CI of	0.68	>0.064	0.32	0.52	0.17	>0.69	>0.066	>na	na
OR Quart4	63	na	38	9.6	24	na	na	na	na

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	277	424	277	499	277	225
Average	558	408	558	702	558	700
Stdev	1110	392	1110	906	1110	897
p (t-test)		0.58		0.62		0.83
Min	0.297	0.335	0.297	0.335	0.297	140
Max	7800	1680	7800	3860	7800	1730
n (Samp)	51	18	51	18	51	3
n (Patient)	41	18	41	18	41	3
sCr only						
Median	286	459	286	982	286	774
Average	535	863	535	861	535	774
Stdev	943	767	943	592	943	776
p (t-test)		0.45		0.45		0.72
Min	0.297	217	0.297	0.335	0.297	225

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

	-		-			
Max	7800	1710	7800	1600	7800	1320
n (Samp)	90	5	90	5	90	2
n (Patient)	71	5	71	5	71	2
UO only						
Median	224	424	224	435	224	1680
Average	553	339	553	1260	553	1170
Stdev	1190	258	1190	2690	1190	801
p (t-test)		0.51		0.15		0.27
Min	0.297	0.335	0.297	0.335	0.297	140
Max	7800	812	7800	11800	7800	1820
n (Samp)	45	14	45	19	45	5
n (Patient)	35	14	35	19	35	5

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr	prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.52	0.68	0.53	0.57	0.70	0.64	0.57	0.69	0.78
SE	0.080	0.14	0.090	0.080	0.13	0.079	0.18	0.21	0.13
p	0.85	0.17	0.77	0.37	0.13	0.079	0.70	0.36	0.025
nCohort 1	51	90	45	51	90	45	51	90	45
nCohort 2	18	5	14	18	5	19	3	2	5
Cutoff 1	210	245	99.4	151	627	180	117	224	401
Sens 1	72%	80%	71%	72%	80%	74%	100%	100%	80%
Spec 1	39%	47%	36%	29%	73%	44%	29%	43%	67%
Cutoff 2	60.6	245	47.5	117	627	135	117	224	401
Sens 2	83%	80%	86%	83%	80%	84%	100%	100%	80%
Spec 2	20%	47%	24%	29%	73%	38%	29%	43%	67%
Cutoff 3	20.7	210	23.5	0.297	0.297	99.4	117	224	135
Sens 3	94%	100%	93%	100%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	10%	41%	16%	2%	1%	36%	29%	43%	38%
Cutoff 4	574	545	512	574	545	512	574	545	512
Sens 4	17%	40%	21%	44%	80%	42%	33%	50%	60%
Spec 4	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	755	763	664	755	763	664	755	763	664
Sens 5	11%	40%	14%	33%	60%	32%	33%	50%	60%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	1020	1020	1320	1020	1020	1320	1020	1020	1320
Sens 6	6%	40%	0%	17%	40%	16%	33%	50%	60%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	1.0	>2.1	0.56	1.9	0	6.8	>2.2	>1.0	>1.0
p Value	1.0	< 0.56	0.57	0.42	na	0.099	< 0.55	< 0.98	<1.0
95% CI of	0.20	>0.18	0.079	0.38	na	0.69	>0.17	>0.062	>0.056
OR Quart 2	4.9	na	4.0	9.9	na	67	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	2.3	>1.0	3.2	1.4	0.96	12	>0	>0	>1.1
p Value	0.28	<1.0	0.16	0.67	0.98	0.033	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.95</td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.95</td></na<>	< 0.95
95% CI of	0.52	>0.059	0.63	0.27	0.056	1.2	>na	>na	>0.061
OR Quart 3	10.0	na	16	7.7	16	110	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	0.65	>2.1	0.56	2.3	3.1	9.0	>1.0	>1.0	>3.6
p Value	0.61	< 0.56	0.57	0.30	0.34	0.057	<1.0	< 0.98	< 0.30
95% CI of	0.12	>0.18	0.079	0.48	0.30	0.94	>0.056	>0.062	>0.32
OR Quart 4	3.5	na	4.0	11	33	87	na	na	na

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0103	0.0103	0.0103	0.0169	nd	nd
Average	0.0275	0.0137	0.0275	0.0405	nd	nd
Stdev	0.0922	0.0113	0.0922	0.0818	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.54		0.59	nd	nd
Min	0.000123	0.000172	0.000123	0.000172	nd	nd
Max	0.679	0.0423	0.679	0.365	nd	nd
n (Samp)	54	17	54	19	nd	nd
n (Patient)	43	17	43	19	nd	nd
sCr only						
Median	0.0103	0.00132	0.0103	0.0381	0.0103	0.0292
Average	0.0278	0.00733	0.0278	0.0354	0.0278	0.0292
Stdev	0.0804	0.00927	0.0804	0.0263	0.0804	0
p (t-test)		0.57		0.83		0.98

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Min Max n (Samp) n (Patien UO only	it)	0.000123 0.679 91 73	0.000172 0.0197 5 5		000123 679	0.000519 0.0680 5 5	0.000 0.679 91 73		
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patien	)	0.0103 0.0292 0.0988 0.000123 0.679 47 37	0.0169 0.0166 0.0108 0.65 0.000519 0.0423 13	).0 ).0 ).0	0103 0292 0988 000123 679	0.0115 0.0335 0.0799 0.86 0.000172 0.365 20	0.010 0.029 0.098 0.000 0.679 47 37	2 (8 8 (0 123 (123)	
	0 h	r prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AK	I stage	48 hr	prior to AK	I stage
	sCr or UC	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC SE	0.50 0.081	0.34 0.14	0.59 0.092	0.59 0.078	0.72 0.13	0.56 0.078	nd nd	0.81	0.63 0.18
p p	0.081	0.14	0.092	0.078	0.13	0.078	na nd	0.19	0.18
nCohort 1	54	91	47	54	91	47	nd	91	47
nCohort 2	17	5	13	19	5	20	nd	2	3
Cutoff 1	0.00573	0.000172	0.00454	0.00132	0.0169	0.00454	nd	0.0254	0.000519
Sens 1	76%	80%	92%	74%	80%	70%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 1	30%	11%	36%	26%	67%	36%	nd	79%	30%
Cutoff 2	0.000519		0.00454	0.000172	0.0169	0.000519	nd	0.0254	0.000519
Sens 2	82%	80%	92%	89%	80%	85%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 2	20%	11%	36%	13%	67%	30%	nd	79%	30%
Cutoff 3	0.000172		0.00454	0.000123	0.000172	0.000172	nd	0.0254	0.000519
Sens 3	94%	100%	92%	100%	100%	90%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 3	13%	2%	36%	4%	11%	19%	nd	79%	30%
Cutoff 4	0.0169	0.0197	0.0169	0.0169	0.0197	0.0169	nd	0.0197	0.0169
Sens 4	35%	0%	46%	47%	60%	35%	nd	100%	33%
Spec 4	72%	71%	70%	72%	71%	70%	nd	71%	70%
Cutoff 5	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292	nd	0.0292	0.0292
Sens 5	6%	0%	8%	32%	60%	15%	nd	0%	33%
Spec 5	85%	84%	85%	85%	84%	85%	nd	84%	85%
Cutoff 6	0.0388	0.0423	0.0388	0.0388	0.0423	0.0388	nd	0.0423	0.0388
Sens 6	6%	0%	8%	21%	40%	10%	nd	0%	33%
Spec 6	93%	92%	91%	93%	92%	91%	nd	92%	91%
OR Quart 2	1.2	>2.2	7.0	1.0	0	3.8	nd	>0	>1.0
p Value	0.77	< 0.54	0.097	1.0	na	0.14	nd	<na< td=""><td>&lt;1.0</td></na<>	<1.0
95% CI of	0.27	>0.18	0.71	0.21	na	0.64	nd	>na	>0.056
OR Quart 2	5.7	na	69	4.8	na	23	nd	na	na
OR Quart 3	0.93	>0	3.5	1.0	1.0	3.8	nd	>0	>1.1
p Value	0.93	<na< td=""><td>0.30</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.14</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""><td>&lt;0.95</td></na<></td></na<>	0.30	1.0	1.0	0.14	nd	<na< td=""><td>&lt;0.95</td></na<>	<0.95
95% CI of	0.19	>na	0.32	0.21	0.059	0.64	nd	>na	>0.061
OR Quart 3	4.5	na	38	4.8	17	23	nd	na	na
OR Quart 4	0.93	>3.4	5.1	2.0	3.3	3.8	nd	>2.1	>1.0
p Value	0.93	<0.30	0.17	0.33	0.32	0.14	nd	<0.56	<1.0
95% CI of	0.19	>0.33	0.50 52	0.48 8.7	0.32	0.64	nd	>0.18	>0.056
OR Quart 4	4.5	na	3.7	X /	34	/ 4	nd	na	ng

Interstitial collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

23

34

nd

na

na

8.7

OR Quart 4

na

52

	0 hr prior t	o AKI stage	24 hr prior	to AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.231
Average	315	0.967	315	18.9	315	0.231
Stdev	2200	3.21	2200	68.2	2200	0.00389
p (t-test)		0.54		0.56		0.84
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	14.2	16000	297	16000	0.233
n (Samp)	53	19	53	19	53	2
n (Patient)	42	19	42	19	42	2
sCr only						
Median	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.228
Average	184	4.37	184	6.08	184	0.228
Stdev	1660	6.22	1660	13.1	1660	0

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

p (t-test)		0.81		0.81		0.88
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	14.2	16000	29.5	16000	0.228
n (Samp)	93	5	93	5	93	2
n (Patient)	73	5	73	5	73	2
UO only						
Median	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.231
Average	360	0.232	360	37.2	360	3.72
Stdev	2380	0.00268	2380	105	2380	6.99
p (t-test)		0.56		0.55		0.77
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	0.233	16000	384	16000	14.2
n (Samp)	45	15	45	20	45	4
n (Patient)	35	15	35	20	35	4

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr	prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.50	0.61	0.40	0.58	0.56	0.50	0.42	0.18	0.42
SE	0.078	0.14	0.087	0.078	0.14	0.078	0.22	0.18	0.16
p	0.96	0.41	0.25	0.33	0.65	0.98	0.71	0.086	0.63
nCohort 1	53	93	45	53	93	45	53	93	45
nCohort 2	19	5	15	19	5	20	2	2	4
Cutoff 1	0	0.228	0	0.228	0.228	0	0	0	0
Sens 1	100%	80%	100%	74%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	37%	0%	45%	37%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0.228	0	0	0.228	0	0	0	0
Sens 2	100%	80%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	37%	0%	0%	37%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233
Sens 4	5%	40%	0%	26%	20%	30%	0%	0%	25%
Spec 4	77%	78%	73%	77%	78%	73%	77%	78%	73%
Cutoff 5	2.99	2.13	2.99	2.99	2.13	2.99	2.99	2.13	2.99
Sens 5	5%	40%	0%	21%	20%	25%	0%	0%	25%
Spec 5	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	30.3	18.5	18.5	30.3	18.5	18.5	30.3	18.5	18.5
Sens 6	0%	0%	0%	11%	20%	15%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	91%	90%	93%	91%	90%	93%	91%	90%	93%
OR Quart 2	0.12	>1.0	>10	0	>1.0	0.43	>1.1	>0	1.1
p Value	0.061	<1.0	< 0.047	na	<1.0	0.27	< 0.96	<na< td=""><td>0.95</td></na<>	0.95
95% CI of	0.012	>0.059	>1.0	na	>0.059	0.095	>0.061	>na	0.061
OR Quart 2	1.1	na	na	na	na	1.9	na	na	20
OR Quart 3	3.1	>2.2	>5.5	2.6	>3.4	0.30	>0	>0	0
p Value	0.100	< 0.54	< 0.15	0.18	< 0.30	0.14	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>na</td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>na</td></na<>	na
95% CI of	0.80	>0.18	>0.53	0.65	>0.33	0.060	>na	>na	na
OR Quart 3	12	na	na	10	na	1.5	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	0.12	>2.1	>7.5	1.0	>1.0	0.70	>1.2	>2.3	2.4
p Value	0.061	< 0.56	< 0.085	1.0	<1.0	0.62	< 0.92	< 0.51	0.50
95% CI of	0.012	>0.18	>0.76	0.23	>0.059	0.17	>0.066	>0.19	0.19
OR Quart 4	1.1	na	na	4.3	na	2.8	na	na	31

72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	0 hr prior	to AKI stage	24 hr prior t	to AKI stage	48 hr prior t	o AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	63.6	11.3	63.6	82.5	nd	nd
Average	610	807	610	1160	nd	nd
Stdev	2290	2050	2290	3840	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.74		0.48	nd	nd
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	nd	nd
Max	16000	8520	16000	16000	nd	nd
n (Samp)	50	19	50	17	nd	nd
n (Patient)	40	19	40	17	nd	nd
sCr only						
Median	34.8	29.2	34.8	292	34.8	918
Average	736	628	736	509	736	918

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Stdev	2550	1220	2550	670	2550	1230
p (t-test)		0.92		0.86		0.92
Min	1.15	1.19	1.15	1.19	1.15	51.6
Max	16000	3060	16000	1450	16000	1780
n (Samp)	88	6	88	4	88	2
n (Patient)	72	6	72	4	72	2
UO only						
Median	21.1	1.19	21.1	158	21.1	3060
Average	607	816	607	1240	607	4730
Stdev	2400	2210	2400	3680	2400	5730
p (t-test)		0.77		0.41		0.012
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	30.3
Max	16000	8520	16000	16000	16000	11100
n (Samp)	45	15	45	19	45	3
n (Patient)	35	15	35	19	35	3

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr	prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.52	0.59	0.53	0.54	0.64	0.55	nd	0.72	0.82
SE	0.079	0.13	0.087	0.082	0.15	0.080	nd	0.21	0.15
p	0.85	0.49	0.73	0.62	0.37	0.51	nd	0.30	0.033
nCohort 1	50	88	45	50	88	45	nd	88	45
nCohort 2	19	6	15	17	4	19	nd	2	3
Cutoff 1	1.15	1.19	1.15	1.15	36.4	1.15	nd	36.4	21.1
Sens 1	95%	83%	93%	88%	75%	79%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 1	24%	45%	20%	24%	51%	20%	nd	51%	51%
Cutoff 2	1.15	1.19	1.15	1.15	1.15	0	nd	36.4	21.1
Sens 2	95%	83%	93%	88%	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 2	24%	45%	20%	24%	18%	0%	nd	51%	51%
Cutoff 3	1.15	1.15	1.15	0	1.15	0	nd	36.4	21.1
Sens 3	95%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%
Spec 3	24%	18%	20%	0%	18%	0%	nd	51%	51%
Cutoff 4	189	295	189	189	295	189	nd	295	189
Sens 4	32%	33%	33%	41%	50%	42%	nd	50%	67%
Spec 4	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	nd	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	462	579	419	462	579	419	nd	579	419
Sens 5	21%	33%	20%	18%	25%	26%	nd	50%	67%
Spec 5	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	nd	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	1190	1230	1190	1190	1230	1190	nd	1230	1190
Sens 6	16%	17%	13%	12%	25%	16%	nd	50%	67%
Spec 6	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%	91%	nd	91%	91%
OR Quart 2	4.1	>4.6	12	1.8	>1.0	1.0	nd	>0	>1.1
p Value	0.076	< 0.19	0.030	0.48	< 0.98	1.0	nd	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.95</td></na<>	< 0.95
95% CI of	0.86	>0.47	1.3	0.35	>0.062	0.20	nd	>na	>0.061
OR Quart 2	20	na	120	9.2	na	5.0	nd	na	na
OR Quart 3	1.0	>0	3.5	1.8	>1.0	1.8	nd	>1.0	>0
p Value	1.0	<na< td=""><td>0.30</td><td>0.48</td><td>&lt; 0.98</td><td>0.45</td><td>nd</td><td>&lt; 0.97</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.30	0.48	< 0.98	0.45	nd	< 0.97	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.17	>na	0.32	0.35	>0.062	0.39	nd	>0.061	>na
OR Quart 3	5.8	na	38	9.2	na	8.2	nd	na	na
OR Quart 4	1.8	>2.1	5.1	1.3	>2.2	1.4	nd	>1.0	>2.4
p Value	0.48	< 0.56	0.17	0.74	< 0.53	0.69	nd	<1.0	< 0.50
95% CI of	0.36	>0.18	0.50	0.25	>0.18	0.29	nd	>0.059	>0.19
OR Quart 4	9.1	na	52	7.2	na	6.4	nd	na	na

Neural cell adhesion molecule 1

	0 hr prior t	o AKI stage	24 hr prior to	o AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	2300	3540	2300	2640	2300	2890
Average	2930	3880	2930	4210	2930	3140
Stdev	2240	4050	2240	6650	2240	1810
p (t-test)		7.5E-4		6.1E-4		0.55
Min	6.83	221	6.83	216	6.83	293
Max	22000	40700	22000	55700	22000	6560
n (Samp)	460	117	460	125	460	45
n (Patient)	223	117	223	125	223	45

TABLE 1-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

n (Patient)	172	107	172	116	172	43
n (Samp)	432	107	432	116	432	43
Max	11700	40700	11700	55700	11700	9700
Min	173	506	173	224	173	293
p (t-test)		9.0E-8		4.0E-5		0.44
Stdev	2070	4820	2070	7120	2070	1990
Average	3010	4670	3010	4630	3010	3260
Median	2410	3860	2410	3060	2410	2880
UO only						
n (Patient)	374	39	374	45	374	25
n (Samp)	1008	39	1008	45	1008	25
Max	55700	6210	55700	10800	55700	6110
Min	6.83	221	6.83	216	6.83	387
p (t-test)		0.087		0.52		0.11
Stdev	3360	1650	3360	2380	3360	1630
Average	3480	2560	3480	3160	3480	2390
Median	2840	2320	2840	2390	2840	1990

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr	prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.61	0.40	0.66	0.56	0.46	0.58	0.56	0.37	0.56
SE	0.030	0.049	0.031	0.030	0.045	0.031	0.046	0.061	0.047
р	3.7E-4	0.044	6.1E-7	0.042	0.42	0.014	0.19	0.031	0.22
nCohort 1	460	1008	432	460	1008	432	460	1008	432
nCohort 2	117	39	107	125	45	116	45	25	43
Cutoff 1	2270	1090	2690	1950	1680	2040	2150	1190	2250
Sens 1	70%	72%	70%	70%	71%	71%	71%	72%	72%
Spec 1	50%	12%	55%	41%	25%	40%	47%	14%	45%
Cutoff 2	1550	994	2000	1250	1110	1560	1500	1110	1650
Sens 2	80%	82%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	81%
Spec 2	30%	10%	39%	20%	13%	29%	28%	13%	31%
Cutoff 3	994	615	1450	898	883	986	485	491	881
Sens 3	91%	92%	91%	90%	91%	91%	91%	92%	91%
Spec 3	13%	4%	26%	10%	8%	12%	3%	2%	9%
Cutoff 4	3540	4070	3650	3540	4070	3650	3540	4070	3650
Sens 4	50%	18%	54%	37%	31%	39%	38%	16%	35%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4180	4960	4430	4180	4960	4430	4180	4960	4430
Sens 5	31%	8%	33%	30%	20%	31%	31%	8%	26%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	5630	6470	6000	5630	6470	6000	5630	6470	6000
Sens 6	17%	0%	23%	20%	9%	20%	9%	0%	9%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	1.1	1.5	1.3	0.87	0.72	1.3	0.65	1.0	1.1
p Value	0.87	0.43	0.47	0.65	0.49	0.35	0.43	1.00	0.80
95% CI of	0.55	0.53	0.63	0.49	0.29	0.72	0.22	0.25	0.40
OR Quart 2	2.1	4.3	2.8	1.6	1.8	2.5	1.9	4.1	3.3
OR Quart 3	1.8	1.5	2.9	0.87	1.2	1.3	1.9	1.8	2.3
p Value	0.050	0.43	0.0021	0.65	0.67	0.43	0.15	0.36	0.083
95% CI of	1.00	0.53	1.5	0.49	0.53	0.69	0.80	0.51	0.90
OR Quart 3	3.4	4.3	5.7	1.6	2.7	2.4	4.5	6.1	5.8
OR Quart 4	2.6	2.6	3.7	1.7	1.2	2.1	1.6	2.6	1.9
p Value	0.0015	0.052	9.9E-5	0.048	0.67	0.015	0.29	0.11	0.17
95% CI of	1.4	0.99	1.9	1.0	0.53	1.2	0.67	0.80	0.75
OR Quart 4	4.7	6.8	7.3	3.0	2.7	3.7	3.9	8.3	5.1

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO	_						
Median	0.0285	0.0335	0.0285	0.0324	0.0285	0.0287	
Average	2.78	1.92	2.78	2.63	2.78	1.54	
Stdev	9.69	7.52	9.69	13.7	9.69	6.36	
p (t-test)		0.37		0.89		0.39	
Min	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	
Max	92.3	63.9	92.3	134	92.3	41.7	

TABLE 1-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

n (Samp) n (Patient)	449 222	115 115	449 222	124 124	449 222	47 47
sCr only		113	LLL	124	222	77
Median	0.0285	0.0257	0.0285	0.0317	0.0285	0.0287
Average	2.84	0.930	2.84	1.03	2.84	2.16
Stdev	11.0	3.19	11.0	3.99	11.0	8.53
p (t-test)		0.30		0.27		0.76
Min	0.0110	0.0139	0.0110	0.0139	0.0110	0.0110
Max	159	13.9	159	24.4	159	41.7
n (Samp)	997	36	997	45	997	24
n (Patient)	379	36	379	45	379	24
UO only						
Median	0.0287	0.0335	0.0287	0.0312	0.0287	0.0287
Average	3.05	3.56	3.05	3.58	3.05	0.744
Stdev	10.6	13.1	10.6	17.5	10.6	2.23
p (t-test)		0.67		0.68		0.15
Min	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0139
Max	92.3	79.6	92.3	134	92.3	12.3
n (Samp)	419	107	419	115	419	44
n (Patient)	175	107	175	115	175	44

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr	24 hr prior to AKI stage		48 hr	prior to AKI	í stage	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.52	0.42	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.46	
SE	0.030	0.051	0.031	0.030	0.044	0.030	0.045	0.060	0.047	
р	0.58	0.11	0.97	0.49	0.68	0.99	0.80	0.99	0.45	
nCohort 1	449	997	419	449	997	419	449	997	419	
nCohort 2	115	36	107	124	45	115	47	24	44	
Cutoff 1	0.0239	0.0217	0.0247	0.0237	0.0247	0.0239	0.0217	0.0239	0.0227	
Sens 1	70%	72%	71%	73%	71%	70%	74%	71%	70%	
Spec 1	38%	22%	40%	35%	41%	34%	27%	37%	26%	
Cutoff 2	0.0205	0.0162	0.0159	0.0217	0.0217	0.0227	0.0205	0.0205	0.0205	
Sens 2	80%	83%	86%	82%	82%	81%	83%	88%	84%	
Spec 2	22%	15%	16%	27%	22%	26%	22%	18%	19%	
Cutoff 3	0.0139	0.0110	0.0139	0.0147	0.0147	0.0147	0.0110	0.0139	0.0147	
Sens 3	93%	100%	92%	91%	91%	90%	98%	92%	91%	
Spec 3	8%	4%	7%	14%	11%	12%	4%	7%	12%	
Cutoff 4	0.0526	0.0439	0.0526	0.0526	0.0439	0.0526	0.0526	0.0439	0.0526	
Sens 4	16%	17%	17%	19%	18%	20%	19%	21%	18%	
Spec 4	73%	73%	72%	73%	73%	72%	73%	73%	72%	
Cutoff 5	1.17	0.327	1.42	1.17	0.327	1.42	1.17	0.327	1.42	
Sens 5	14%	11%	15%	14%	13%	16%	13%	17%	11%	
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	
Cutoff 6	6.80	6.49	8.01	6.80	6.49	8.01	6.80	6.49	8.01	
Sens 6	9%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	
OR Quart 2	1.0	1.3	2.7	1.7	0.62	1.8	2.3	0.66	2.5	
p Value	1.0	0.58	0.0019	0.076	0.34	0.053	0.063	0.53	0.054	
95% CI of	0.54	0.46	1.4	0.95	0.24	0.99	0.96	0.18	0.98	
OR Quart 2	1.8	3.9	5.0	3.1	1.6	3.2	5.6	2.4	6.3	
OR Quart 3	2.3	1.5	1.4	2.7	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	
p Value	0.0030	0.43	0.31	7.0E-4	0.10	0.10	0.35	0.43	0.33	
95% CI of	1.3	0.53	0.72	1.5	0.89	0.91	0.61	0.53	0.61	
OR Quart 3	4.0	4.3	2.7	4.8	4.0	3.0	3.9	4.3	4.4	
OR Quart 4	0.68	2.2	1.6	0.94	0.62	0.96	1.3	0.83	1.5	
p Value	0.25	0.11	0.18	0.85	0.34	0.89	0.63	0.76	0.44	
95% CI of	0.35	0.84	0.81	0.49	0.24	0.50	0.48	0.25	0.54	
OR Quart 4	1.3	6.0	3.0	1.8	1.6	1.8	3.3	2.7	4.0	

Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.000533	0.000171	0.000533	0.000533	0.000533	0.000165
Average	0.0182	0.0146	0.0182	0.0138	0.0182	0.000900
Stdev	0.0708	0.0619	0.0708	0.0330	0.0708	0.00127
p (t-test)		0.79		0.73		0.68
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000165

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Max	0.671	0.371	0.671	0.171	0.671	0.00237
n (Samp)	98	36	98	33	98	3
n (Patient)	64	36	64	33	64	3
sCr only						
Median	0.000533	0.000171	0.000533	0.000165	0.000533	0.000165
Average	0.0184	0.00598	0.0184	0.00792	0.0184	0.00225
Stdev	0.0636	0.0133	0.0636	0.0140	0.0636	0.00419
p (t-test)		0.52		0.59		0.61
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Max	0.671	0.0400	0.671	0.0359	0.671	0.00853
n (Samp)	192	11	192	11	192	4
n (Patient)	114	11	114	11	114	4
UO only						
Median	0.000533	0.000352	0.000533	0.000533	0.000533	0.000533
Average	0.0113	0.0169	0.0113	0.0134	0.0113	0.00485
Stdev	0.0229	0.0676	0.0229	0.0332	0.0229	0.0101
p (t-test)		0.48		0.68		0.50
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000165
Max	0.106	0.371	0.106	0.171	0.106	0.0253
n (Samp)	99	30	99	34	99	6
n (Patient)	61	30	61	34	61	6

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 hı	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.42	0.42	0.45	0.55	0.41	0.55	0.39	0.31	0.51
SE	0.057	0.092	0.061	0.059	0.093	0.058	0.18	0.15	0.12
p	0.17	0.41	0.39	0.37	0.31	0.37	0.54	0.21	0.92
nCohort 1	98	192	99	98	192	99	98	192	99
nCohort 2	36	11	30	33	11	34	3	4	6
Cutoff 1	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000171	0.000126	0.000171	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Sens 1	86%	91%	87%	73%	73%	74%	100%	75%	100%
Spec 1	8%	11%	9%	40%	11%	42%	8%	11%	9%
Cutoff 2	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0	0.000126	0.000126	0	0.000126
Sens 2	86%	91%	87%	94%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	8%	11%	9%	8%	0%	9%	8%	0%	9%
Cutoff 3	0	0.000126	0	0.000126	0	0.000126	0.000126	0	0.000126
Sens 3	100%	91%	100%	94%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	11%	0%	8%	0%	9%	8%	0%	9%
Cutoff 4	0.000533	0.00237	0.00309	0.000533	0.00237	0.00309	0.000533	0.00237	0.00309
Sens 4	22%	18%	27%	33%	27%	26%	33%	25%	17%
Spec 4	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	0.0212	0.0190	0.0212	0.0212	0.0190	0.0212	0.0212	0.0190	0.0212
Sens 5	14%	18%	13%	18%	18%	18%	0%	0%	17%
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	0.0484	0.0394	0.0393	0.0484	0.0394	0.0393	0.0484	0.0394	0.0393
Sens 6	3%	9%	3%	6%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	0.12	0	1.3	3.0	0	3.2	>1.1	>1.0	1.0
p Value	0.055	na	0.71	0.048	na	0.055	<0.96	< 0.99	1.0
95% CI of	0.014	na	0.37	1.0	na	0.98	>0.064	>0.062	0.13
OR Quart 2	1.0	na	4.3	8.8	na	10	na	na	7.7
OR Quart 3	3.4	3.9	0.64	0.23	1.4	2.1	>2.3	>2.1	0.48
p Value	0.024	0.10	0.53	0.083	0.70	0.23	< 0.52	< 0.55	0.56
95% CI of	1.2	0.77	0.16	0.044	0.29	0.62	>0.19	>0.18	0.041
OR Quart 3	10.0	20	2.5	1.2	6.4	7.1	na	na	5.6
OR Quart 4	2.2	1.0	3.1	1.3	1.4	1.7	>0	>1.0	0.46
p Value	0.16	0.98	0.051	0.61	0.68	0.39	<na< td=""><td>&lt;0.99</td><td>0.54</td></na<>	<0.99	0.54
95% CI of	0.74	0.14	0.99	0.43	0.30	0.50	>na	>0.062	0.039
OR Quart 4	6.6	7.5	9.5	4.2	6.6	5.9	na	na	5.4

TABLE 2

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO				
Median	0.487	0.487	nd	nd
Average	202	23.1	nd	nd
Stdev	1420	69.4	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.63	nd	nd
Min	0.237	0.237	nd	nd
Max	13900	267	nd	$_{ m nd}$
n (Samp)	97	15	nd	$_{ m nd}$
n (Patient)	74	15	nd	nd
sCr only				
Median	0.487	10.9	nd	nd
Average	181	181	nd	nd
Stdev	1340	303	nd	nd
p (t-test)		1.00	nd	nd
Min	0.237	0.487	nd	nd
Max	13900	530	nd	nd
n (Samp)	110	3	nd	nd
n (Patient) UO only	85	3	nd	nd
Median	0.237	0.487	0.237	5.71
Average	217	24.0	217	5.71
Stdev	1550	72.0	1550	7.39
p (t-test)	1000	0.64	1220	0.85
Min	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.487
Max	13900	267	13900	10.9
n (Samp)	82	14	82	2
n (Patient)	62	14	62	2

	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.63	0.80	0.63	nd	nd	0.77	
SE	0.082	0.15	0.085	nd	nd	0.20	
р	0.12	0.048	0.12	nd	nd	0.18	
nCohort 1	97	110	82	nd	nd	82	
nCohort 2	15	3	14	nd	nd	2	
Cutoff 1	0.237	0.237	0.237	nd	nd	0.237	
Sens 1	87%	100%	79%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 1	45%	44%	55%	nd	nd	55%	
Cutoff 2	0.237	0.237	0	nd	nd	0.237	
Sens 2	87%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 2	45%	44%	0%	nd	nd	55%	
Cutoff 3	0	0.237	0	nd	nd	0.237	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 3	0%	44%	0%	nd	nd	55%	
Cutoff 4	0.487	0.487	0.487	nd	nd	0.487	
Sens 4	20%	67%	14%	nd	nd	50%	
Spec 4	81%	82%	83%	nd	nd	83%	
Cutoff 5	0.487	0.487	0.487	nd	nd	0.487	
Sens 5	20%	67%	14%	nd	nd	50%	
Spec 5	81%	82%	83%	nd	nd	83%	
Cutoff 6	154	123	118	nd	nd	118	
Sens 6	7%	33%	7%	nd	nd	0%	
Spec 6	91%	90%	90%	nd	nd	90%	
OR Quart 2	>21	>1.0	2.1	nd	nd	>0	
p Value	< 0.0051	< 0.98	0.56	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>	
95% CI of	>2.5	>0.062	0.18	nd	nd	>na	
OR Quart 2	na	na	25	nd	nd	na	
OR Quart 3	>0	>0	14	nd	nd	>1.0	
p Value	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>0.018</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>&lt; 0.97</td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>0.018</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>&lt; 0.97</td></na<>	0.018	nd	nd	< 0.97	
95% CI of	>na	>na	1.6	nd	nd	>0.061	
OR Quart 3	na	na	120	nd	nd	na	
OR Quart 4	>3.4	>2.1	2.1	nd	nd	>1.0	
p Value	< 0.31	< 0.56	0.56	nd	nd	< 0.97	

TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

95% CI of	>0.33	>0.18	0.18	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 4	na	na	25	nd	nd	na

	Н	eat shock 70 kDa protein 1		
	24 hr prior t	to AKI stage	48 hr prior t	o AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO				
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	257 500 872 0.297 7800 95 73	658 1700 3070 0.0023 0.335 11800 14	nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	nd nd nd nd nd nd nd
sCr only  Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) UO only	283 534 897 0.297 7800 107 83	1510 1440 318 0.085 1090 1710 3 3	nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	nd nd nd nd nd nd nd
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	225 503 930 0.297 7800 82 62	435 1590 3220 0.014 0.335 11800 13	225 503 930 0.297 7800 82 62	1660 1660 215 0.083 1510 1820 2

	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48	hr prior to AKI st	age
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.70	0.93	0.62	nd	nd	0.96
SE	0.082	0.10	0.088	nd	nd	0.10
p	0.015	2.3E-5	0.17	nd	nd	5.5E-6
nCohort 1	95	107	82	nd	nd	82
nCohort 2	14	3	13	nd	nd	2
Cutoff 1	401	1040	246	nd	nd	1340
Sens 1	71%	100%	77%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 1	60%	91%	52%	nd	nd	94%
Cutoff 2	246	1040	125	nd	nd	1340
Sens 2	86%	100%	85%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 2	49%	91%	33%	nd	nd	94%
Cutoff 3	125	1040	23.5	nd	nd	1340
Sens 3	93%	100%	92%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 3	29%	91%	12%	nd	nd	94%
Cutoff 4	529	545	512	nd	nd	512
Sens 4	57%	100%	46%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 4	71%	70%	71%	nd	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	755	770	755	nd	nd	755
Sens 5	50%	100%	38%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	nd	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	1020	1040	1020	nd	nd	1020
Sens 6	36%	100%	23%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 6	91%	91%	90%	nd	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	2.1	>0	0.95	nd	nd	>0
p Value	0.56	<na< td=""><td>0.96</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.96	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.18	>na	0.12	nd	nd	>na
OR Quart 2	24	na	7.4	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 3	4.5	>0	2.1	nd	nd	>0
p Value	0.19	<na< td=""><td>0.42</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.42	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.47	>na	0.35	nd	nd	>na
OR Quart 3	43	na	13	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 4	8.7	>3.2	2.8	nd	nd	>2.2
	=					

TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

p Value	0.051	<0.32	0.26	nd	nd	<0.53
95% CI of	0.99	>0.32	0.48	nd	nd	>0.19
OR Quart 4	76	na	16	nd	nd	na

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	0 hr prior to	o AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0103	0.0170	0.0103	0.0197	nd	nd
Average	0.0238	0.0170	0.0238	0.0407	nd	nd
Stdev	0.0708	0.0233	0.0708	0.0903	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.89		0.41	nd	nd
Min	0.000123	0.000519	0.000123	0.00132	nd	nd
Max	0.679	0.0335	0.679	0.365	nd	nd
n (Samp)	95	2	95	15	nd	nd
n (Patient)	74	2	74	15	nd	nd
sCr only						
Median	nd	nd	0.0103	0.0197	nd	nd
Average	nd	nd	0.0263	0.0160	nd	nd
Stdev	nd	nd	0.0743	0.00637	nd	nd
p (t-test)	nd	nd		0.81	nd	nd
Min	nd	nd	0.000123	0.00862	nd	nd
Max	nd	nd	0.679	0.0197	nd	nd
n (Samp)	nd	nd	108	3	nd	nd
n (Patient)	nd	nd	85	3	nd	nd
UO only	<u></u>					
Median	nd	nd	0.0103	0.0150	0.0103	0.0261
Average	nd	nd	0.0248	0.0422	0.0248	0.0261
Stdev	nd	nd	0.0761	0.0935	0.0761	0.0247
p (t-test)	nd	nd		0.45		0.98
Min	nd	nd	0.000123	0.00132	0.000123	0.00862
Max	nd	nd	0.679	0.365	0.679	0.0436
n (Samp)	nd	nd	82	14	82	2
n (Patient)	nd	nd	64	14	64	2

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 h	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.51	nd	nd	0.62	0.57	0.62	nd	nd	0.65
SE	0.21	nd	$_{ m nd}$	0.082	0.17	0.085	nd	nd	0.21
р	0.96	nd	nd	0.16	0.68	0.16	nd	nd	0.48
nCohort 1	95	nd	$_{ m nd}$	95	108	82	nd	nd	82
nCohort 2	2	nd	$_{ m nd}$	15	3	14	nd	nd	2
Cutoff 1	0.000172	nd	nd	0.00862	0.00573	0.00862	nd	nd	0.00454
Sens 1	100%	nd	$_{ m nd}$	80%	100%	79%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 1	13%	nd	$_{ m nd}$	40%	31%	44%	nd	nd	34%
Cutoff 2	0.000172	nd	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	0.00862	0.00573	0.00454	nd	nd	0.00454
Sens 2	100%	nd	$_{ m nd}$	80%	100%	86%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 2	13%	nd	nd	40%	31%	34%	nd	nd	34%
Cutoff 3	0.000172	nd	$_{ m nd}$	0.00132	0.00573	0.00132	nd	nd	0.00454
Sens 3	100%	nd	nd	93%	100%	93%	nd	nd	100%
Spec 3	13%	nd	$_{ m nd}$	27%	31%	32%	nd	nd	34%
Cutoff 4	0.0197	nd	$_{ m nd}$	0.0197	0.0197	0.0197	nd	nd	0.0197
Sens 4	50%	nd	$\mathbf{nd}$	40%	0%	43%	nd	nd	50%
Spec 4	73%	nd	nd	73%	70%	72%	nd	nd	72%
Cutoff 5	0.0292	nd	$_{ m nd}$	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292	nd	nd	0.0292
Sens 5	50%	nd	nd	13%	0%	14%	nd	nd	50%
Spec 5	83%	nd	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	83%	82%	83%	nd	nd	83%
Cutoff 6	0.0423	nd	nd	0.0423	0.0423	0.0423	nd	nd	0.0423
Sens 6	0%	nd	nd	7%	0%	7%	nd	nd	50%
Spec 6	92%	nd	nd	92%	91%	91%	nd	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	0	nd	nd	4.3	>1.0	>8.0	nd	nd	>1.0
p Value	na	nd	nd	0.20	<1.0	< 0.064	nd	nd	< 0.97
95% CI of	na	nd	nd	0.45	>0.059	>0.88	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 2	na	nd	nd	42	na	na	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 3	0	nd	nd	5.9	>2.1	>3.4	nd	nd	>0
p Value	na	nd	nd	0.12	< 0.56	< 0.30	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	na	nd	nd	0.64	>0.18	>0.33	nd	nd	>na
OR Quart 3	na	nd	nd	54	na	na	nd	nd	na

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 4	0.96	nd	nd	5.7	>0	>6.3	nd	nd	>1.0
p Value	0.98	nd	nd	0.13	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.11</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>&lt; 0.97</td></na<>	< 0.11	nd	nd	< 0.97
95% CI of	0.057	nd	nd	0.61	>na	>0.68	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 4	16	nd	nd	52	na	na	nd	nd	na

Alpha-1-antitrypsin Neutrophil elastase complex

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior t	o AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO							
Median	16.2	272	16.2	43.9	16.2	10.7	
Average	65.3	222	65.3	168	65.3	39.0	
Stdev	120	142	120	184	120	44.5	
p (t-test)		0.013		0.011		0.57	
Min	0.946	14.8	0.946	2.36	0.946	1.04	
Max	400	329	400	400	400	97.9	
n (Samp)	93	4	93	12	93	7	
n (Patient)	67	4	67	12	67	7	
sCr only							
Median	17.7	154	17.7	206	17.7	5.41	
Average	73.1	154	73.1	206	73.1	136	
Stdev	124	196	124	274	124	229	
p (t-test)		0.37		0.14		0.40	
Min	0.946	14.8	0.946	12.3	0.946	1.23	
Max	400	292	400	400	400	400	
n (Samp)	117	2	117	2	117	3	
n (Patient)	83	2	83	2	83	3	
UO only							
Median	17.3	252	17.3	55.1	17.3	38.5	
Average	80.5	198	80.5	172	80.5	46.8	
Stdev	137	165	137	176	137	43.7	
p (t-test)		0.15		0.048		0.55	
Min	1.27	12.7	1.27	2.36	1.27	1.04	
Max	400	329	400	400	400	97.9	
n (Samp)	80	3	80	11	80	6	
n (Patient)	59	3	59	11	59	6	

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.79	0.67	0.71	0.70	0.68	0.69	0.45	0.39	0.53
SE	0.14	0.21	0.17	0.088	0.21	0.093	0.12	0.18	0.12
р	0.032	0.43	0.22	0.024	0.38	0.043	0.64	0.54	0.80
nCohort 1	93	117	80	93	117	80	93	117	80
nCohort 2	4	2	3	12	2	11	7	3	6
Cutoff 1	245	14.5	12.7	18.0	12.2	20.3	5.27	1.04	10.4
Sens 1	75%	100%	100%	75%	100%	73%	71%	100%	83%
Spec 1	89%	44%	42%	55%	41%	59%	23%	2%	34%
Cutoff 2	14.5	14.5	12.7	16.2	12.2	18.0	1.04	1.04	10.4
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	82%	86%	100%	83%
Spec 2	49%	44%	42%	51%	41%	52%	1%	2%	34%
Cutoff 3	14.5	14.5	12.7	12.2	12.2	16.2	0.946	1.04	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	49%	44%	42%	45%	41%	48%	1%	2%	0%
Cutoff 4	31.8	42.5	31.9	31.8	42.5	31.9	31.8	42.5	31.9
Sens 4	75%	50%	67%	58%	50%	64%	43%	33%	50%
Spec 4	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	57.4	76.0	76.0	57.4	76.0	76.0	57.4	76.0	76.0
Sens 5	75%	50%	67%	42%	50%	45%	43%	33%	33%
Spec 5	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	347	347	400	347	347	400	347	347	400
Sens 6	0%	0%	0%	33%	50%	0%	0%	33%	0%
Spec 6	90%	91%	100%	90%	91%	100%	90%	91%	100%
OR Quart 2	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0	2.1	>1.0	2.0	0	0	2.0
p Value	< 0.98	<1.0	<1.0	0.56	<1.0	0.58	na	na	0.58
95% CI of	>0.062	>0.060	>0.058	0.18	>0.060	0.17	na	na	0.17
OR Quart 2	na	na	na	25	na	24	na	na	24
OR Quart 3	>0	>0	>0	3.3	>0	3.1	0.31	0	0
p Value	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>0.32</td><td><na< td=""><td>0.34</td><td>0.32</td><td>na</td><td>na</td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>0.32</td><td><na< td=""><td>0.34</td><td>0.32</td><td>na</td><td>na</td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>0.32</td><td><na< td=""><td>0.34</td><td>0.32</td><td>na</td><td>na</td></na<></td></na<>	0.32	<na< td=""><td>0.34</td><td>0.32</td><td>na</td><td>na</td></na<>	0.34	0.32	na	na
95% CI of	>na	>na	>na	0.32	>na	0.30	0.030	na	na

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort
1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples
collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 3	na	na	na	34	na	33	3.2	na	na
OR Quart 4	>3.3	>1.0	>2.1	7.1	>1.0	5.8	1.0	2.1	3.2
p Value	< 0.32	<1.0	< 0.56	0.079	<1.0	0.12	1.0	0.56	0.34
95% CI of	>0.32	>0.060	>0.18	0.80	>0.060	0.62	0.18	0.18	0.30
OR Quart 4	na	na	na	64	na	55	5.5	24	33

Interstitial collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO				
Median	0.233	0.233		
Average	177	26.6		
Stdev	1620	76.2		
p (t-test)		0.72		
Min	0.228	0.228		
Max	16000	297		
n (Samp)	97	15		
n (Patient)	74	15		
sCr only				
Median	0.233	6.97		
Average	159	12.2		
Stdev	1530	15.3		
p (t-test)		0.87		
Min	0.228	0.233		
Max	16000	29.5		
n (Samp)	110	3		
n (Patient)	85	3		
UO only				
Median	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.231
Average	202	28.0	202	0.231
Stdev	1770	78.9	1770	0.00389
p (t-test)		0.71		0.87
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	297	16000	0.233
n (Samp)	82	14	82	2
n (Patient)	62	14	62	2

	24	hr prior to AKI sta	ıge	48	48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only		
AUC	0.55	0.78	0.51	nd	nd	0.34		
SE	0.082	0.16	0.084	nd	nd	0.21		
р	0.51	0.079	0.94	nd	nd	0.47		
nCohort 1	97	110	82	nd	nd	82		
nCohort 2	15	3	14	nd	nd	2		
Cutoff 1	0	0.228	0	nd	nd	0		
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%		
Spec 1	0%	37%	0%	nd	nd	0%		
Cutoff 2	0	0.228	0	nd	nd	0		
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%		
Spec 2	0%	37%	0%	nd	nd	0%		
Cutoff 3	0	0.228	0	nd	nd	0		
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%		
Spec 3	0%	37%	0%	nd	nd	0%		
Cutoff 4	0.233	0.233	0.233	nd	nd	0.233		
Sens 4	40%	67%	36%	nd	nd	0%		
Spec 4	81%	79%	79%	nd	nd	79%		
Cutoff 5	0.233	1.35	1.26	nd	nd	1.26		
Sens 5	40%	67%	36%	nd	nd	0%		
Spec 5	81%	80%	80%	nd	nd	80%		
Cutoff 6	18.2	18.5	10.7	nd	nd	10.7		
Sens 6	20%	33%	21%	nd	nd	0%		
Spec 6	91%	91%	90%	nd	nd	90%		
OR Quart 2	0.17	>0	1.0	nd	nd	>0		
p Value	0.12	<na< td=""><td>1.0</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	1.0	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>		
95% CI of	0.019	>na	0.22	nd	nd	>na		
OR Quart 2	1.6	na	4.6	nd	nd	na		
OR Quart 3	0.55	>1.0	0.22	nd	nd	>1.0		
p Value	0.45	<0.98	0.19	nd	nd	< 0.97		

TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

95% CI of	0.12	>0.062	0.022	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 3	2.6	na	2.1	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 4	1.3	>2.1	1.3	nd	nd	>1.0
p Value	0.74	< 0.56	0.71	nd	nd	< 0.97
95% CI of	0.33	>0.18	0.31	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 4	4.7	na	5.6	nd	nd	na

72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	24 hr prior t	o AKI stage	48 hr prior t	to AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO				
Median	28.1	269	nd	nd
Average	585	1600	nd	nd
Stdev	1940	4100	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.12	nd	nd
Min	1.15	1.15	nd	nd
Max	16000	16000	nd	nd
n (Samp)	91	15	nd	nd
n (Patient)	72	15	nd	nd
sCr only				
Median	30.3	527	nd	nd
Average	817	447	nd	nd
Stdev	2580	245	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.81	nd	nd
Min	1.15	171	nd	nd
Max	16000	642	nd	nd
n (Samp)	105	3	nd	nd
n (Patient) UO only	84	3	nd	nd
Median	16.2	231	16.2	5640
Average	624	1660	624	5640
Stdev	2060	4240	2060	7740
p (t-test)	2000	0.15	2000	0.0023
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	171
Max	16000	16000	16000	11100
n (Samp)	80	14	80	2
n (Patient)	63	14	63	2

	24	hr prior to AKI sta	ıge	48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.60	0.75	0.57	nd	nd	0.83	
SE	0.083	0.17	0.086	nd	nd	0.18	
p	0.22	0.14	0.40	nd	nd	0.066	
nCohort 1	91	105	80	nd	nd	80	
nCohort 2	15	3	14	nd	nd	2	
Cutoff 1	1.15	164	1.15	nd	nd	164	
Sens 1	80%	100%	79%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 1	18%	65%	15%	nd	nd	68%	
Cutoff 2	1.15	164	0	nd	nd	164	
Sens 2	80%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 2	18%	65%	0%	nd	nd	68%	
Cutoff 3	0	164	0	nd	nd	164	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	nd	nd	100%	
Spec 3	0%	65%	0%	nd	nd	68%	
Cutoff 4	189	295	227	nd	nd	227	
Sens 4	60%	67%	50%	nd	nd	50%	
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	nd	nd	70%	
Cutoff 5	579	595	579	nd	nd	579	
Sens 5	33%	33%	29%	nd	nd	50%	
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	nd	nd	80%	
Cutoff 6	1380	1700	1380	nd	nd	1380	
Sens 6	20%	0%	21%	nd	nd	50%	
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	nd	nd	90%	
OR Quart 2	0.21	>0	0.61	nd	nd	>0	
p Value	0.18	<na< td=""><td>0.60</td><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.60	nd	nd	<na< td=""></na<>	
95% CI of	0.022	>na	0.092	nd	nd	>na	
OR Quart 2	2.0	na	4.0	nd	nd	na	
OR Quart 3	1.0	>1.0	1.4	nd	nd	>1.1	

TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

p Value	1.0	< 0.98	0.68	nd	nd	< 0.97
95% CI of	0.22	>0.062	0.28	nd	nd	>0.061
OR Quart 3	4.5	na	7.1	nd	nd	na
OR Quart 4	1.6	>2.2	1.8	nd	nd	>1.0
p Value	0.53	< 0.54	0.48	nd	nd	<1.0
95% CI of	0.39	>0.18	0.37	nd	nd	>0.058
OR Quart 4	6.4	na	8.4	nd	nd	na

Neural cell adhesion molecule 1

	0 hr prior to	o AKI stage	24 hr prior t	to AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	2660	3570	2660	2810	2660	2310
Average	3280	3960	3280	4330	3280	2870
Stdev	2980	2720	2980	6820	2980	2250
p (t-test)		0.087		0.014		0.39
Min	6.83	85.5	6.83	375	6.83	138
Max	48400	15000	48400	55700	48400	9700
n (Samp)	923	60	923	68	923	38
n (Patient)	359	60	359	68	359	38
sCr only	_					
Median	2820	2420	2820	2620	2820	2470
Average	3470	2380	3470	3790	3470	3290
Stdev	3270	1460	3270	2950	3270	2340
p (t-test)		0.20		0.68		0.83
Min	6.83	301	6.83	921	6.83	932
Max	55700	4670	55700	10800	55700	8410
n (Samp)	1219	15	1219	18	1219	16
n (Patient) UO only	439	15	439	18	439	16
Median	2740	4130	2740	3060	2740	2460
Average	3340	4790	3340	4830	3340	2990
Stdev	2980	4070	2980	7620	2980	2240
p (t-test)		6.9E-4		0.0014		0.50
Min	0.234	85.5	0.234	375	0.234	138
Max	48400	26600	48400	55700	48400	9700
n (Samp)	819	55	819	61	819	34
n (Patient)	285	55	285	61	285	34

	0 hr	prior to AK	I stage	24 hı	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.59	0.39	0.64	0.54	0.52	0.55	0.44	0.48	0.46
SE	0.040	0.078	0.041	0.037	0.070	0.039	0.049	0.073	0.052
p	0.029	0.15	8.7E-4	0.30	0.73	0.24	0.24	0.83	0.41
nCohort 1	923	1219	819	923	1219	819	923	1219	819
nCohort 2	60	15	55	68	18	61	38	16	34
Cutoff 1	2430	1120	2720	2030	2080	2030	1250	1050	1650
Sens 1	70%	73%	71%	71%	72%	70%	71%	75%	71%
Spec 1	46%	14%	50%	36%	35%	34%	17%	12%	26%
Cutoff 2	1680	848	2290	1210	1700	1220	957	965	1180
Sens 2	80%	80%	80%	81%	83%	80%	82%	81%	82%
Spec 2	28%	8%	41%	16%	26%	15%	11%	10%	15%
Cutoff 3	873	615	1220	1040	1080	1040	402	950	402
Sens 3	90%	93%	91%	91%	94%	90%	92%	94%	91%
Spec 3	8%	4%	15%	13%	12%	11%	2%	10%	2%
Cutoff 4	3890	4060	3930	3890	4060	3930	3890	4060	3930
Sens 4	45%	7%	53%	38%	39%	41%	26%	38%	26%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4730	4960	4750	4730	4960	4750	4730	4960	4750
Sens 5	35%	0%	44%	28%	28%	31%	21%	25%	21%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	6230	6520	6280	6230	6520	6280	6230	6520	6280
Sens 6	20%	0%	24%	16%	17%	18%	8%	6%	9%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.65	6.1	1.7	1.1	2.0	1.2	0.49	0.40	0.66
p Value	0.36	0.094	0.25	0.72	0.32	0.56	0.20	0.27	0.44
95% CI of	0.26	0.73	0.67	0.56	0.50	0.59	0.17	0.076	0.23
OR Quart 2	1.6	51	4.5	2.3	8.1	2.7	1.5	2.1	1.9

TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 3	1.5	2.0	1.8	0.93	1.3	0.84	1.1	0.80	1.1
p Value	0.27	0.57	0.25	0.84	0.70	0.67	0.82	0.74	0.81
95% CI of	0.72	0.18	0.68	0.44	0.30	0.37	0.46	0.21	0.45
OR Quart 3	3.3	22	4.5	2.0	6.0	1.9	2.7	3.0	2.8
OR Quart 4	1.9	6.1	3.7	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.0
p Value	0.082	0.094	0.0029	0.24	0.48	0.16	0.66	1.00	0.99
95% CI of	0.92	0.73	1.6	0.76	0.40	0.82	0.52	0.29	0.39
OR Quart 4	3.9	51	8.8	3.0	7.1	3.4	2.9	3.5	2.6

Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	0.000533	0.000352	0.000533	0.000533	0.000533	0.00237
Average	0.0158	0.0168	0.0158	0.0123	0.0158	0.00355
Stdev	0.0587	0.0263	0.0587	0.0363	0.0587	0.00366
p (t-test)		0.96		0.78		0.64
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000171
Max	0.671	0.0804	0.671	0.171	0.671	0.00853
n (Samp)	197	10	197	23	197	5
n (Patient)	118	10	118	23	118	5
sCr only						
Median	nd	nd	0.000533	0.000168	nd	nd
Average	nd	nd	0.0165	0.000259	nd	nd
Stdev	nd	nd	0.0575	0.000183	nd	nd
p (t-test)	nd	nd		0.57	nd	nd
Min	nd	nd	0.000126	0.000165	nd	nd
Max	nd	nd	0.671	0.000533	nd	nd
n (Samp)	nd	nd	239	4	nd	nd
n (Patient)	nd	nd	138	4	nd	nd
UO only						
Median	0.000533	0.000352	0.000533	0.000533	0.000533	0.00145
Average	0.0131	0.0168	0.0131	0.0128	0.0131	0.00305
Stdev	0.0363	0.0263	0.0363	0.0370	0.0363	0.00350
p (t-test)		0.75		0.97		0.50
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000171
Max	0.371	0.0804	0.371	0.171	0.371	0.00853
n (Samp)	181	10	181	22	181	6
n (Patient)	105	10	105	22	105	6

	0 hr j	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 h	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.54	nd	0.53	0.46	0.34	0.46	0.62	nd	0.60	
SE	0.095	nd	0.096	0.065	0.15	0.066	0.14	nd	0.12	
p	0.71	nd	0.74	0.49	0.28	0.56	0.36	nd	0.42	
nCohort 1	197	nd	181	197	239	181	197	nd	181	
nCohort 2	10	nd	10	23	4	22	5	nd	6	
Cutoff 1	0.000165	nd	0.000165	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000171	nd	0.000171	
Sens 1	80%	nd	80%	91%	100%	91%	80%	nd	83%	
Spec 1	35%	nd	33%	10%	10%	10%	42%	nd	41%	
Cutoff 2	0.000165	nd	0.000165	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000171	nd	0.000171	
Sens 2	80%	nd	80%	91%	100%	91%	80%	nd	83%	
Spec 2	35%	nd	33%	10%	10%	10%	42%	nd	41%	
Cutoff 3	0	nd	0	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000165	nd	0.000165	
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	91%	100%	91%	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 3	0%	nd	0%	10%	10%	10%	35%	nd	33%	
Cutoff 4	0.00167	nd	0.00309	0.00167	0.00309	0.00309	0.00167	nd	0.00309	
Sens 4	40%	nd	40%	22%	0%	23%	60%	nd	33%	
Spec 4	70%	nd	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	nd	70%	
Cutoff 5	0.0184	nd	0.0188	0.0184	0.0188	0.0188	0.0184	nd	0.0188	
Sens 5	40%	nd	40%	17%	0%	18%	0%	nd	0%	
Spec 5	80%	nd	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	nd	80%	
Cutoff 6	0.0387	nd	0.0366	0.0387	0.0393	0.0366	0.0387	nd	0.0366	
Sens 6	10%	nd	10%	9%	0%	9%	0%	nd	0%	
Spec 6	90%	nd	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	nd	90%	
OR Quart 2	2.0	nd	1.5	0	>1.0	0.38	>1.0	nd	>3.1	
p Value	0.42	nd	0.67	na	<0.99	0.26	<1.0	nd	< 0.33	
95% CI of	0.36	nd	0.24	na	>0.062	0.069	>0.061	nd	>0.31	

15

20

35

40

#### TABLE 2-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in urine samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 2	12	nd	9.4	па	na	2.0	na	nd	па
OR Quart 3	0	nd	0.48	3.1	>3.2	1.2	>3.2	nd	>3.1
p Value	na	nd	0.55	0.046	< 0.33	0.75	< 0.32	nd	< 0.33
95% CI of	na	nd	0.042	1.0	>0.32	0.35	>0.32	$_{ m nd}$	>0.31
OR Quart 3	na	nd	5.5	9.4	na	4.3	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	2.0	nd	2.0	1.0	>0	2.0	>1.0	nd	>0
p Value	0.42	nd	0.42	1.0	<na< td=""><td>0.24</td><td>&lt;1.0</td><td><math>_{ m nd}</math></td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.24	<1.0	$_{ m nd}$	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.36	nd	0.36	0.27	>na	0.63	>0.061	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	>na
OR Quart 4	12	nd	12	3.7	na	6.5	na	$_{ m nd}$	na

### TABLE 3

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected within 12 hours of reaching stage R from Cohort 1 (patients that reached, but did not progress beyond, RIFLE stage R) and from Cohort 2 (patients that reached RIFLE stage I or F).

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected within 12
hours of reaching stage R from Cohort 1 (patients that reached, but did
not progress beyond, RIFLE stage R) and from Cohort 2
(patients that reached RIFLE stage I or F).
Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

TABLE 3-continued

	sCr o	sCr or UO		only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	25
Median	0.0287	0.0287	0.0257	0.0285	0.0335	0.0286	
Average	1.65	2.49	0.779	0.732	2.34	2.49	
Stdev	7.15	8.75	2.82	1.39	8.97	9.35	
p (t-test)		0.53		0.96		0.93	
Min	0.0110	0.0110	0.0139	0.0139	0.0110	0.0110	
Max	63.9	50.6	13.9	3.82	63.9	50.6	30
n (Samp)	121	43	47	11	99	30	
n (Patient)	121	43	47	11	99	30	

-	At Enrollment					
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only			
AUC	0.53	0.59	0.49			
SE	0.052	0.099	0.061			
p	0.61	0.36	0.88			
nCohort 1	121	47	99			
nCohort 2	43	11	30			
Cutoff 1	0.0239	0.0237	0.0239			
Sens 1	77%	73%	73%			
Spec 1	35%	47%	32%			
Cutoff 2	0.0227	0.0227	0.0227			

Sens 2	84%	82%	83%
Spec 2	30%	38%	28%
Cutoff 3	0.0159	0.0139	0.0159
Sens 3	91%	91%	90%
Spec 3	15%	6%	15%
Cutoff 4	0.0439	0.0363	0.0439
Sens 4	26%	36%	20%
Spec 4	73%	74%	73%
Cutoff 5	0.0526	0.0439	0.0526
Sens 5	21%	36%	17%
Spec 5	85%	81%	84%
Cutoff 6	1.70	2.23	1.70
Sens 6	16%	18%	17%
Spec 6	90%	91%	91%
OR Quart 2	2.8	0.92	1.3
p Value	0.050	0.94	0.71
95% CI of	1.00	0.11	0.37
OR Quart 2	7.9	7.6	4.3
OR Quart 3	1.6	1.6	3.1
p Value	0.42	0.62	0.051
95% CI of	0.53	0.23	0.99
OR Quart 3	4.6	12	9.5
OR Quart 4	1.8	2.2	0.64
p Value	0.29	0.42	0.53
95% CI of	0.61	0.33	0.16
OR Quart 4	5.2	14	2.5

#### TABLE 4

Comparison of the maximum marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in urine samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase i	inhibitor 2	complex
-----------------------------------	-------------	---------

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr to AK	•	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487
Average	400	101	400	101	400	0.487
Stdev	2140	197	2140	197	2140	0
p (t-test)		0.70		0.70		0.75
Min	0.237	0.487	0.237	0.487	0.237	0.487
Max	13900	530	13900	530	13900	0.487
n (Samp)	42	8	42	8	42	3
n (Patient)	42	8	42	8	42	3

TABLE 4-continued

n (Patient)	35	5	35	5	35	3
n (Samp)	35	5	35	5	35	3
Max	13900	267	13900	267	13900	0.487
Min	0.237	0.487	0.237	0.487	0.237	0.487
p (t-test)		0.72		0.72		0.75
Stdev	2350	119	2350	119	2350	0
Average	435	53.8	435	53.8	435	0.487
Median	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487
UO only						
n (Patient)	73	4	73	4	nd	nd
n (Samp)	73	4	73	4	nd	nd
Max	13900	530	13900	530	nd	nd
Min	0.237	0.487	0.237	0.487	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.90		0.90	nd	nd
Stdev	1630	263	1630	263	nd	nd
Average	238	136	238	136	nd	nd
Median	0.487	5.71	0.487	5.71	nd	nd

	0 hr p	rior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AK	I stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.68	0.76	0.69	0.68	0.76	0.69	0.56	nd	0.63
SE	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.18	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	0.18
p	0.11	0.076	0.17	0.11	0.076	0.17	0.74	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	0.48
nCohort 1	42	73	35	42	73	35	42	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	35
nCohort 2	8	4	5	8	4	5	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	nd	0.237
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	31%	42%	46%	31%	42%	46%	31%	nd	46%
Cutoff 2	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	nd	0.237
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	31%	42%	46%	31%	42%	46%	31%	nd	46%
Cutoff 3	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	0.237	nd	0.237
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	31%	42%	46%	31%	42%	46%	31%	nd	46%
Cutoff 4	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	nd	0.487
Sens 4	38%	50%	20%	38%	50%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 4	81%	82%	80%	81%	82%	80%	81%	$_{ m nd}$	80%
Cutoff 5	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	0.487	nd	0.487
Sens 5	38%	50%	20%	38%	50%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	82%	80%	81%	82%	80%	81%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	261	154	201	261	154	201	261	nd	201
Sens 6	25%	25%	20%	25%	25%	20%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	>7.5	>2.2	>6.7	>7.5	>2.2	>6.7	>4.1	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	>3.9
p Value	< 0.090	< 0.53	< 0.12	< 0.090	< 0.53	< 0.12	< 0.25	nd	< 0.28
95% CI of	>0.73	>0.19	>0.60	>0.73	>0.19	>0.60	>0.36	nd	>0.33
OR Quart 2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	na
OR Quart 3	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	>0	$^{\mathrm{nd}}$	>0
p Value	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart 3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	>3.6	>2.1	>1.1	>3.6	>2.1	>1.1	>0	nd	>0
p Value	< 0.30	< 0.56	<0.94	< 0.30	< 0.56	<0.94	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	>0.32	>0.18	>0.060	>0.32	>0.18	>0.060	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr to AK		48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	338	1320	338	1320	338	1320
Average	633	2600	633	2600	633	4450
Stdev	1230	4080	1230	4080	1230	6370
p (t-test)		0.013		0.013		0.0012
Min	0.297	250	0.297	250	0.297	250

TABLE 4-continued

Max	7800	11800	7800	11800	7800	11800
n (Samp)	41	7	41	7	41	3
n (Patient)	41	7	41	7	41	3
sCr only	_					
Median	408	1300	408	1300	nd	nd
Average	620	1140	620	1140	$_{ m nd}$	nd
Stdev	1040	648	1040	648	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.33		0.33	nd	nd
Min	0.297	250	0.297	250	$_{ m nd}$	nd
Max	7800	1710	7800	1710	$_{ m nd}$	nd
n (Samp)	71	4	71	4	nd	nd
n (Patient)	71	4	71	4	nd	nd
UO only						
Median	277	934	277	934	277	1320
Average	664	3480	664	3480	664	4450
Stdev	1330	5560	1330	5560	1330	6370
p (t-test)		0.013		0.013		0.0031
Min	0.297	250	0.297	250	0.297	250
Max	7800	11800	7800	11800	7800	11800
n (Samp)	35	4	35	4	35	3
n (Patient)	35	4	35	4	35	3

	0 hr p	rior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AK	I stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.83	0.80	0.75	0.83	0.80	0.75	0.79	nd	0.79
SE	0.099	0.14	0.15	0.099	0.14	0.15	0.16	nd	0.16
p	8.2E-4	0.027	0.084	8.2E-4	0.027	0.084	0.066	nd	0.077
nCohort 1	41	71	35	41	71	35	41	nd	35
nCohort 2	7	4	4	7	4	4	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	1020	1040	512	1020	1040	512	245	nd	225
Sens 1	71%	75%	75%	71%	75%	75%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	88%	90%	66%	88%	90%	66%	46%	nd	49%
Cutoff 2	512	245	225	512	245	225	245	nd	225
Sens 2	86%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	66%	41%	49%	66%	41%	49%	46%	nd	49%
Cutoff 3	245	245	225	245	245	225	245	nd	225
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	46%	41%	49%	46%	41%	49%	46%	nd	49%
Cutoff 4	596	627	596	596	627	596	596	nd	596
Sens 4	71%	75%	50%	71%	75%	50%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 4	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	811	812	755	811	812	755	811	nd	755
Sens 5	71%	75%	50%	71%	75%	50%	67%	nd	67%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	1150	1040	1340	1150	1040	1340	1150	nd	1340
Sens 6	57%	75%	25%	57%	75%	25%	67%	nd	33%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	>1.1	>1.0	>1.0	>1.1	>1.0	>1.0	>1.1	nd	>1.0
p Value	< 0.95	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.95	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.95	$_{ m nd}$	<1.0
95% CI of	>0.061	>0.058	>0.054	>0.061	>0.058	>0.054	>0.060	nd	>0.054
OR Quart 2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	>1.1	>0	>1.0	>1.1	>0	>1.0	>0	$_{ m nd}$	>0
p Value	< 0.95	<na< td=""><td>&lt;1.0</td><td>&lt; 0.95</td><td><na< td=""><td>&lt;1.0</td><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<1.0	< 0.95	<na< td=""><td>&lt;1.0</td><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<1.0	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	>0.061	>na	>0.054	>0.061	>na	>0.054	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart 3	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	$_{ m nd}$	na
OR Quart 4	>8.6	>3.4	>2.2	>8.6	>3.4	>2.2	>2.4	$_{ m nd}$	>2.2
p Value	< 0.072	< 0.31	< 0.54	< 0.072	< 0.31	< 0.54	< 0.49	nd	< 0.54
95% CI of	>0.83	>0.32	>0.17	>0.83	>0.32	>0.17	>0.19	$_{ m nd}$	>0.17
OR Quart 4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na

Interstitial collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

		prior I stage		prior I stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO	_						
Median	0.233	6.57	0.233	6.57	0.233	0.228	
Average	396	48.1	396	48.1	396	2.21	

TABLE 4-continued

Stdev	2470	102	2470	102	2470	3.43
p (t-test)		0.69		0.69		0.79
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	297	16000	297	16000	6.17
n (Samp)	42	8	42	8	42	3
n (Patient)	42	8	42	8	42	3
sCr only	_					
Median	0.233	6.57	0.233	6.57	nd	nd
Average	233	10.7	233	10.7	nd	nd
Stdev	1870	12.9	1870	12.9	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.81		0.81	nd	nd
Min	0.228	0.233	0.228	0.233	nd	nd
Max	16000	29.5	16000	29.5	nd	nd
n (Samp)	73	4	73	4	nd	nd
n (Patient)	73	4	73	4	nd	nd
UO only						
Median	0.233	6.17	0.233	6.17	0.233	0.228
Average	462	69.6	462	69.6	462	2.21
Stdev	2700	129	2700	129	2700	3.43
p (t-test)		0.75		0.75		0.77
Min	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228	0.228
Max	16000	297	16000	297	16000	6.17
n (Samp)	35	5	35	5	35	3
n (Patient)	35	5	35	5	35	3

	0 hr p	orior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AK	I stage	48 hr	prior to AK	I stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.68	0.77	0.59	0.68	0.77	0.59	0.41	nd	0.35
SE	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.18	nd	0.18
p	0.12	0.055	0.51	0.12	0.055	0.51	0.63	nd	0.41
nCohort 1	42	73	35	42	73	35	42	nd	35
nCohort 2	8	4	5	8	4	5	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	0.228	5.57	0	0.228	5.57	0	0	nd	0
Sens 1	75%	75%	100%	75%	75%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	45%	79%	0%	45%	79%	0%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0.228	0	0	0.228	0	0	nd	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	0%	36%	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0.228	0	0	0.228	0	0	nd	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	0%	36%	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 4	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	nd	0.233
Sens 4	62%	75%	60%	62%	75%	60%	33%	nd	33%
Spec 4	76%	75%	71%	76%	75%	71%	76%	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	6.97	6.17	7.29	6.97	6.17	7.29	6.97	nd	7.29
Sens 5	38%	50%	40%	38%	50%	40%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	82%	80%	81%	82%	80%	81%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	30.3	30.3	18.5	30.3	30.3	18.5	30.3	nd	18.5
Sens 6	25%	0%	40%	25%	0%	40%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	0	>0	0	0	>0	0	0	nd	0
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td><na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td>na</td></na<></td></na<>	na	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td>na</td></na<>	na	na	nd	na
95% CI of	na	>na	na	na	>na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	1.0	>1.1	0.44	1.0	>1.1	0.44	0	nd	0
p Value	1.0	<0.97	0.54	1.0	<0.97	0.54	na	nd	na
95% CI of	0.12	>0.061	0.034	0.12	>0.061	0.034	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	8.6	na	5.9	8.6	na	5.9	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	2.2	>3.4	1.0	2.2	>3.4	1.0	2.4	nd	2.6
p Value	0.42	<0.31	1.0	0.42	< 0.31	1.0	0.49	nd	0.48
95% CI of	0.33	>0.32	0.11	0.33	>0.32	0.11	0.19	nd	0.19
OR Quart 4	15	na	8.9	15	na	8.9	32	nd	34

## TABLE 4-continued

Comparison of the maximum marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in urine samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

	72 kDa	type IV collagen	ase:Metalloprote	inase inhibitor 2 o	complex	
		prior I stage		r prior II stage		prior I stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	117	411	117	411	117	269
Average	756	2460	756	2460	756	685
Stdev	2540	5500	2540	5500	2540	961
p (t-test)		0.17		0.17		0.96
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15
Max	16000	16000	16000	16000	16000	1780
n (Samp)	40	8	40	8	40	3
n (Patient) sCr only	40	8	40	8	40	3
Median	110	398	110	398	nd	nd
Average	889	402	889	402	nd	nd
Stdev	2800	219	2800	219	nd	nd
p (t-test)		0.73		0.73	nd	nd
Min	1.15	171	1.15	171	nd	nd
Max	16000	642	16000	642	nd	nd
n (Samp)	72	4	72	4	nd	nd
n (Patient) UO only	72 —	4	72	4	nd	nd
Median	57.4	295	57.4	295	57.4	269
Average	772	3670	772	3670	772	685
Stdev	2710	6930	2710	6930	2710	961
p (t-test)		0.083		0.083		0.96
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15
Max	16000	16000	16000	16000	16000	1780
n (Samp)	35	5	35	5	35	3
OD COLOR	2.5	_	2.5	_		•

	0 hr p	rior to AKI	stage	24 hr	prior to AK	I stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.56	nd	0.57
SE	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.18	nd	0.18
p	0.099	0.21	0.21	0.099	0.21	0.21	0.73	nd	0.69
nCohort 1	40	72	35	40	72	35	40	nd	35
nCohort 2	8	4	5	8	4	5	3	nd	3
Cutoff 1	234	234	234	234	234	234	0	nd	0
Sens 1	75%	75%	80%	75%	75%	80%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	68%	64%	69%	68%	64%	69%	0%	$_{ m nd}$	0%
Cutoff 2	164	164	234	164	164	234	0	nd	0
Sens 2	88%	100%	80%	88%	100%	80%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	57%	57%	69%	57%	57%	69%	0%	$_{ m nd}$	0%
Cutoff 3	0	164	0	0	164	0	0	nd	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	0%	57%	0%	0%	57%	0%	0%	$_{ m nd}$	0%
Cutoff 4	398	419	398	398	419	398	398	nd	398
Sens 4	50%	50%	40%	50%	50%	40%	33%	nd	33%
Spec 4	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	$_{ m nd}$	71%
Cutoff 5	615	656	579	615	656	579	615	nd	579
Sens 5	38%	0%	40%	38%	0%	40%	33%	nd	33%
Spec 5	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	$_{ m nd}$	80%
Cutoff 6	1230	1380	1230	1230	1380	1230	1230	nd	1230
Sens 6	25%	0%	40%	25%	0%	40%	33%	nd	33%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	0	>0	0	0	>0	0	0	nd	0
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td><na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td>na</td></na<></td></na<>	na	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td>na</td></na<>	na	na	nd	na
95% CI of	na	>na	na	na	>na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 2	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	5.5	>3.6	2.2	5.5	>3.6	2.2	0.90	nd	1.0
p Value	0.16	< 0.29	0.54	0.16	< 0.29	0.54	0.94	nd	1.0
95% CI of	0.51	>0.34	0.17	0.51	>0.34	0.17	0.049	nd	0.053
OR Quart 3	59	na	30	59	na	30	17	nd	19
OR Quart 4	3.7	>1.1	2.2	3.7	>1.1	2.2	0.90	nd	0.89
p Value	0.29	< 0.97	0.54	0.29	< 0.97	0.54	0.94	nd	0.94

#### TABLE 4-continued

Comparison of the maximum marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in urine samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

95% CI of 0.32 >0.061 0.17 0.32 >0.061 0.17 0.049 nd 0.047 OR Quart 4 42 na 30 42 na 30 17 nd 17

		prior II stage		r prior II stage		prior I stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	2820	4940	2820	4490	2820	3900
Average	3370	6950	3370	6450	3370	4660
Stdev	2580	9680	2580	9690	2580	2330
(t-test)		1.0E-5		1.4E-4		0.053
Min	6.83	171	6.83	171	6.83	1650
Max	22000	55700	22000	55700	22000	9700
ı (Samp)	223	30	223	30	223	16
n (Patient)	223	30	223	30	223	16
Cr only	<u> </u>					
Median	3740	4080	3740	4080	3740	5050
Average	4470	4560	4470	4510	4470	5290
Stdev	4470	2180	4470	2210	4470	1870
(t-test)		0.95		0.97		0.63
Min	6.83	171	6.83	171	6.83	3280
Max	55700	7860	55700	7860	55700	7860
ı (Samp)	374	13	374	13	374	7
n (Patient)	374	13	374	13	374	7
JO only						
Median	3220	5250	3220	5050	3220	4490
Average	3650	8910	3650	8250	3650	4750
Stdev	2320	11500	2320	11500	2320	2360
(t-test)		2.8E-7		6.5E-6		0.090
Min	485	1700	485	1120	485	1650
Max	11700	55700	11700	55700	11700	9700
ı (Samp)	172	23	172	23	172	14
n (Patient)	172	23	172	23	172	14

	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.73	0.58	0.75	0.68	0.57	0.69	0.69	0.67	0.65
SE	0.054	0.084	0.061	0.056	0.084	0.064	0.076	0.11	0.082
p	1.9E-5	0.34	3.2E-5	0.0016	0.38	0.0035	0.013	0.13	0.074
nCohort 1	223	374	172	223	374	172	223	374	172
nCohort 2	30	13	23	30	13	23	16	7	14
Cutoff 1	3720	3410	3960	3110	3250	3110	3250	3970	3280
Sens 1	70%	77%	74%	70%	77%	74%	75%	71%	71%
Spec 1	65%	46%	65%	57%	44%	49%	59%	56%	52%
Cutoff 2	3250	3250	3280	2440	2870	2460	3110	3720	2690
Sens 2	80%	85%	83%	80%	85%	83%	81%	86%	86%
Spec 2	59%	44%	52%	43%	37%	39%	57%	50%	43%
Cutoff 3	2210	2200	2690	1740	2200	1740	1700	3250	1700
Sens 3	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%	91%	94%	100%	93%
Spec 3	41%	27%	43%	27%	27%	22%	26%	44%	21%
Cutoff 4	3940	5270	4360	3940	5270	4360	3940	5270	4360
Sens 4	63%	31%	65%	57%	31%	61%	50%	29%	50%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4960	6450	5580	4960	6450	5580	4960	6450	5580
Sens 5	50%	23%	48%	47%	23%	43%	38%	29%	36%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	6160	7760	6670	6160	7760	6670	6160	7760	6670
Sens 6	33%	15%	35%	30%	15%	35%	25%	29%	21%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	1.5	4.1	4.2	2.1	4.1	1.3	2.0	>2.0	0.98
p Value	0.65	0.21	0.21	0.31	0.21	0.72	0.58	< 0.56	0.98
95% CI of	0.25	0.45	0.45	0.50	0.45	0.28	0.18	>0.18	0.13
OR Quart 2	9.5	37	39	8.8	37	6.3	23	na	7.3
OR Quart 3	5.1	5.2	7.8	2.1	5.2	2.1	6.4	>3.1	2.7
p Value	0.043	0.14	0.059	0.31	0.14	0.32	0.089	< 0.33	0.25
95% CI of	1.1	0.59	0.93	0.50	0.59	0.49	0.75	>0.32	0.49

TABLE 4-continued

OR Quart 3	25	45	66	8.8	45	8.9	55	na	15
OR Quart 4	10	3.0	14	6.1	3.0	3.8	7.7	>2.0	2.6
p Value	0.0027	0.34	0.014	0.0061	0.34	0.052	0.061	< 0.57	0.27
95% CI of	2.2	0.31	1.7	22	0.31	0.99	0.91	>0.18	0.48
OR Quart 4	46	30	110		30	15	64	na	14

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

		prior I stage		prior I stage		prior I stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0363	0.348	0.0363	0.338	0.0363	0.336
Average	4.58	11.4	4.58	10.8	4.58	1.86
Stdev	13.0	31.2	13.0	31.3	13.0	2.64
p (t-test)		0.032		0.048		0.40
Min	0.0110	0.0159	0.0110	0.0159	0.0110	0.0159
Max	92.3	134	92.3	134	92.3	8.63
n (Samp)	222	30	222	30	222	16
n (Patient)	222	30	222	30	222	16
sCr only						
Median	0.0410	0.0597	0.0410	0.0410	0.0410	0.636
Average	5.75	2.45	5.75	2.45	5.75	2.67
Stdev	16.4	3.54	16.4	3.54	16.4	3.52
p (t-test)		0.47		0.47		0.62
Min	0.0110	0.0159	0.0110	0.0159	0.0110	0.0159
Max	159	9.58	159	9.58	159	8.63
n (Samp)	379	13	379	13	379	7
n (Patient)	379	13	379	13	379	7
UO only						
Median	0.0439	1.51	0.0439	0.670	0.0439	0.336
Average	5.67	17.5	5.67	13.4	5.67	1.74
Stdev	15.3	37.7	15.3	35.4	15.3	2.70
p (t-test)		0.0059		0.064		0.34
Min	0.0110	0.0217	0.0110	0.0217	0.0110	0.0239
Max	92.3	134	92.3	134	92.3	9.58
n (Samp)	175	23	175	23	175	14
n (Patient)	175	23	175	23	175	14

					•				
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.63	0.55	0.63	0.61	0.52	0.61	0.59	0.56	0.56
SE	0.057	0.084	0.066	0.058	0.082	0.066	0.077	0.11	0.082
p	0.020	0.51	0.050	0.047	0.84	0.10	0.24	0.60	0.49
nCohort 1	222	379	175	222	379	175	222	379	175
nCohort 2	30	13	23	30	13	23	16	7	14
Cutoff 1	0.0335	0.0257	0.0335	0.0285	0.0239	0.0335	0.0285	0.0392	0.0335
Sens 1	70%	77%	74%	70%	85%	74%	75%	71%	71%
Spec 1	48%	31%	39%	41%	27%	39%	41%	49%	39%
Cutoff 2	0.0257	0.0239	0.0285	0.0239	0.0239	0.0285	0.0247	0.0217	0.0247
Sens 2	80%	85%	83%	80%	85%	83%	81%	86%	86%
Spec 2	39%	27%	34%	39%	27%	34%	39%	20%	30%
Cutoff 3	0.0239	0.0217	0.0239	0.0239	0.0217	0.0239	0.0237	0.0147	0.0239
Sens 3	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%	91%	94%	100%	93%
Spec 3	38%	20%	30%	38%	20%	30%	38%	8%	30%
Cutoff 4	0.775	1.53	1.42	0.775	1.53	1.42	0.775	1.53	1.42
Sens 4	47%	38%	52%	47%	38%	43%	44%	43%	36%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4.08	5.16	4.69	4.08	5.16	4.69	4.08	5.16	4.69
Sens 5	27%	23%	30%	23%	23%	22%	12%	29%	7%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	11.8	16.4	15.0	11.8	16.4	15.0	11.8	16.4	15.0
Sens 6	13%	0%	22%	10%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	12	1.5	4.5	6.5	2.0	4.5	4.1	0	>8.2
p Value	0.021	0.65	0.067	0.019	0.42	0.067	0.21	na	< 0.053
95% CI of	1.4	0.25	0.90	1.4	0.37	0.90	0.45	na	>0.97
OR Quart 2	94	9.3	22	30	11	22	38	na	na

24 hr prior to AKI stage

48 hr prior to AKI stage

0 hr prior to AKI stage

TABLE 4-continued

Comparison of the maximum marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in urine samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 3	7.8	2.0	2.1	3.2	1.5	3.3	5.4	1.5	>3.2
p Value	0.059	0.42	0.41	0.16	0.65	0.16	0.13	0.65	< 0.32
95% CI of	0.92	0.37	0.36	0.62	0.25	0.63	0.61	0.25	>0.32
OR Quart 3	65	11	12	17	9.3	17	47	9.3	na
OR Quart 4	15	2.0	5.2	6.5	2.0	3.8	6.4	0.99	>4.3
p Value	0.011	0.42	0.043	0.019	0.42	0.11	0.089	0.99	< 0.20
95% CI of	1.8	0.37	1.1	1.4	0.37	0.75	0.75	0.14	>0.46
OR Quart 4	120	11	25	30	11	19	55	7.2	na

TABLE 5

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	641	1370	641	1200	641	2350
Average	1400	2760	1400	1990	1400	2240
Stdev	2010	3320	2010	2130	2010	2100
p (t-test)		0.057		0.25		0.26
Min	0.288	0.288	0.288	128	0.288	54.3
Max	10000	10700	10000	9450	10000	6660
n (Samp)	54	14	54	24	54	9
n (Patient)	53	14	53	24	53	9
sCr only	_					
Median	840	1950	840	1240	840	1720
Average	1580	2450	1580	1240	1580	1470
Stdev	2190	1690	2190	1030	2190	1030
p (t-test)		0.50		0.83		0.93
Min	0.288	1070	0.288	514	0.288	340
Max	10700	4330	10700	1970	10700	2350
n (Samp)	111	3	111	2	111	3
n (Patient)	93	3	93	2	93	3
UO only	_					
Median	641	1370	641	1220	641	963
Average	1390	2740	1390	2040	1390	2010
Stdev	1860	3550	1860	2110	1860	2200
p (t-test)		0.073		0.18		0.38
Min	0.288	0.288	0.288	128	0.288	54.3
Max	10000	10700	10000	9450	10000	6660
n (Samp)	48	12	48	25	48	9
n (Patient)	44	12	44	25	44	9

	0 hr j	prior to AKI	stage	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.61	0.75	0.58	0.66	0.59	0.66	0.65	0.62	0.59
SE	0.088	0.17	0.095	0.070	0.21	0.070	0.11	0.18	0.11
р	0.21	0.13	0.43	0.023	0.69	0.025	0.16	0.49	0.39
nCohort 1	54	111	48	54	111	48	54	111	48
nCohort 2	14	3	12	24	2	25	9	3	9
Cutoff 1	705	1000	387	837	507	837	500	336	310
Sens 1	71%	100%	75%	71%	100%	72%	78%	100%	78%
Spec 1	54%	56%	42%	56%	41%	54%	44%	31%	38%
Cutoff 2	0.288	1000	0.288	514	507	664	310	336	248
Sens 2	86%	100%	83%	83%	100%	80%	89%	100%	89%
Spec 2	4%	56%	4%	46%	41%	52%	37%	31%	29%
Cutoff 3	0	1000	0	310	507	310	48.9	336	48.9
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	92%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	56%	0%	37%	41%	38%	11%	31%	12%
Cutoff 4	1370	1500	1500	1370	1500	1500	1370	1500	1500
Sens 4	50%	67%	42%	46%	50%	48%	56%	67%	44%

Spec 4	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	2700	2550	2700	2700	2550	2700	2700	2550	2700
Sens 5	36%	33%	33%	21%	0%	24%	33%	0%	33%
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	3540	3540	3630	3540	3540	3630	3540	3540	3630
Sens 6	29%	33%	25%	12%	0%	12%	11%	0%	11%
Spec 6	91%	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%	91%	90%	92%
OR Quart 2	0.62	>0	0.62	3.6	>1.0	5.1	2.0	>1.0	3.5
p Value	0.63	<na< td=""><td>0.63</td><td>0.15</td><td>&lt; 0.98</td><td>0.068</td><td>0.59</td><td>&lt;1.0</td><td>0.30</td></na<>	0.63	0.15	< 0.98	0.068	0.59	<1.0	0.30
95% CI of	0.090	>na	0.087	0.63	>0.062	0.89	0.16	>0.060	0.32
OR Quart 2	4.3	na	4.3	21	na	29	25	na	39
OR Quart 3	1.0	>1.0	1.0	5.0	>0	6.4	0.93	>0	1.0
p Value	1.0	< 0.98	1.0	0.071	<na< td=""><td>0.036</td><td>0.96</td><td><na< td=""><td>1.0</td></na<></td></na<>	0.036	0.96	<na< td=""><td>1.0</td></na<>	1.0
95% CI of	0.17	>0.062	0.17	0.87	>na	1.1	0.053	>na	0.056
OR Quart 3	5.8	na	6.0	28	na	36	16	na	18
OR Quart 4	2.5	>2.1	1.5	7.0	>1.0	5.8	6.4	>2.1	4.7
p Value	0.25	< 0.56	0.67	0.026	<1.0	0.046	0.11	< 0.56	0.19
95% CI of	0.52	>0.18	0.26	1.3	>0.060	1.0	0.65	>0.18	0.46
OR Quart 4	13	na	8.0	38	na	33	63	na	49

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	o AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	0.0498	0.0502	0.0498	0.0556	0.0498	0.0331
Average	0.207	0.622	0.207	0.470	0.207	0.899
Stdev	0.797	3.22	0.797	2.43	0.797	4.04
p (t-test)		0.28		0.38		0.15
Min	9.84E-5	0.000208	9.84E-5	0.000211	9.84E-5	0.000208
Max	6.23	18.2	6.23	14.8	6.23	19.4
n (Samp)	79	32	79	37	79	23
n (Patient) sCr only	70	32	70	37	70	23
Median	— 0.0498	0.0255	0.0498	0.0595	0.0498	0.0398
Average	0.124	2.30	0.124	1.92	0.124	2.20
Stdev	0.523	6.44	0.523	5.22	0.523	6.46
p (t-test)		1.0E-5		1.4E-5		2.5E-5
Min	9.84E-5	0.000208	9.84E-5	0.000211	9.84E-5	0.0214
Max	6.23	18.2	6.23	14.8	6.23	19.4
n (Samp)	187	8	187	8	187	9
n (Patient) UO only	126	8	126	8	126	9
Median	0.0498	0.0572	0.0498	0.0556	0.0498	0.0354
Average	0.233	0.808	0.233	0.589	0.233	0.0641
Stdev	0.851	3.96	0.851	3.27	0.851	0.126
p (t-test)		0.25		0.39		0.42
Min	0.000208	0.000208	0.000208	0.000211	0.000208	0.000208
Max	6.23	21.0	6.23	20.5	6.23	0.543
n (Samp)	69	28	69	39	69	17
n (Patient)	57	28	57	39	57	17

	0 hr	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.48	0.34	0.51	0.55	0.50	0.55	0.40	0.49	0.38	
SE	0.061	0.11	0.065	0.058	0.10	0.058	0.070	0.099	0.080	
р	0.72	0.14	0.82	0.37	0.98	0.35	0.17	0.93	0.14	
nCohort 1	79	187	69	79	187	69	79	187	69	
nCohort 2	32	8	28	37	8	39	23	9	17	
Cutoff 1	0.0219	0.0137	0.0398	0.0373	0.00497	0.0373	0.0212	0.0283	0.0212	
Sens 1	72%	75%	71%	73%	75%	74%	83%	78%	76%	
Spec 1	28%	14%	46%	43%	9%	43%	24%	28%	23%	
Cutoff 2	0.0178	0.000208	0.0212	0.0251	0.000211	0.0325	0.0212	0.0219	0.000224	
Sens 2	81%	88%	82%	81%	88%	82%	83%	89%	82%	
Spec 2	20%	3%	23%	28%	5%	39%	24%	23%	7%	
Cutoff 3	0.0134	0.000172	0.0134	0.00497	0.000208	0.0178	0.000208	0.0212	0.000208	
Sens 3	91%	100%	93%	92%	100%	92%	96%	100%	94%	
Spec 3	16%	1%	14%	10%	3%	22%	3%	18%	3%	
Cutoff 4	0.0839	0.0729	0.0839	0.0839	0.0729	0.0839	0.0839	0.0729	0.0839	

TABLE 5-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Sens 4	16%	12%	21%	27%	25%	26%	9%	33%	6%
Spec 4	73%	70%	72%	73%	70%	72%	73%	70%	72%
Cutoff 5	0.0986	0.0876	0.101	0.0986	0.0876	0.101	0.0986	0.0876	0.101
Sens 5	12%	12%	14%	24%	25%	21%	9%	22%	6%
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	0.135	0.133	0.176	0.135	0.133	0.176	0.135	0.133	0.176
Sens 6	12%	12%	7%	14%	25%	5%	9%	11%	6%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.4	0	2.1	3.8	0.49	8.4
p Value	0.24	1.0	1.0	0.56	na	0.24	0.13	0.57	0.060
95% CI of	0.62	0.061	0.27	0.44	na	0.62	0.69	0.043	0.91
OR Quart 2	6.7	16	3.7	4.5	na	6.8	21	5.6	77
OR Quart 3	1.5	2.0	2.1	1.9	0.98	3.2	6.4	2.1	6.2
p Value	0.54	0.57	0.22	0.26	0.98	0.050	0.028	0.41	0.11
95% CI of	0.43	0.18	0.63	0.62	0.19	1.00	1.2	0.36	0.66
OR Quart 3	5.0	23	7.3	6.0	5.1	11	33	12	58
OR Quart 4	1.5	4.4	0.95	1.7	0.64	2.1	3.8	1.0	6.6
p Value	0.49	0.20	0.94	0.39	0.63	0.24	0.13	1.0	0.10
95% CI of	0.45	0.47	0.26	0.53	0.10	0.62	0.69	0.14	0.70
OR Quart 4	5.2	41	3.5	5.2	4.0	6.8	21	7.4	62

Neural cell adhesion molecule 1

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior	to AKI stage	48 hr prior	to AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	183000	192000	183000	180000	183000	172000
Average	191000	193000	191000	185000	191000	179000
Stdev	79300	67000	79300	78800	79300	59800
p (t-test)		0.83		0.64		0.48
Min	1370	63300	1370	190	1370	49200
Max	520000	371000	520000	506000	520000	297000
n (Samp)	122	52	122	55	122	25
n (Patient)	88	52	88	55	88	25
sCr only	_					
Median	181000	199000	181000	210000	181000	179000
Average	184000	200000	184000	227000	184000	180000
Stdev	70200	62800	70200	97800	70200	54800
p (t-test)		0.38		0.030		0.87
Min	190	118000	190	129000	190	108000
Max	520000	316000	520000	506000	520000	280000
n (Samp)	291	16	291	14	291	9
n (Patient)	164	16	164	14	164	9
UO only						
Median	180000	182000	180000	180000	180000	172000
Average	189000	187000	189000	176000	189000	178000
Stdev	81700	69000	81700	63300	81700	59400
p (t-test)		0.92		0.32		0.57
Min	1080	63300	1080	190	1080	49200
Max	520000	371000	520000	337000	520000	297000
n (Samp)	124	43	124	57	124	23
n (Patient)	81	43	81	57	81	23

	0 hr	prior to AKI	stage	24 h	r prior to AKI	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.53	0.58	0.50	0.48	0.64	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.49
SE	0.048	0.077	0.051	0.047	0.082	0.047	0.064	0.099	0.066
p	0.59	0.30	0.98	0.72	0.098	0.63	0.71	0.90	0.84
nCohort 1	122	291	124	122	291	124	122	291	124
nCohort 2	52	16	43	55	14	57	25	9	23
Cutoff 1	152000	160000	141000	151000	175000	144000	147000	144000	147000
Sens 1	71%	75%	72%	71%	71%	70%	72%	78%	74%
Spec 1	32%	37%	23%	32%	46%	25%	27%	26%	28%
Cutoff 2	134000	125000	130000	133000	164000	123000	125000	115000	125000
Sens 2	81%	81%	81%	80%	86%	81%	80%	89%	83%
Spec 2	20%	17%	19%	20%	39%	12%	14%	14%	15%
Cutoff 3	106000	118000	105000	107000	133000	107000	115000	107000	115000
Sens 3	90%	94%	91%	91%	93%	91%	92%	100%	91%
Spec 3	9%	14%	9%	10%	21%	10%	11%	11%	12%

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Cutoff 4	212000	207000	209000	212000	207000	209000	212000	207000	209000
Sens 4	31%	44%	33%	29%	50%	25%	32%	22%	35%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	227000	227000	228000	227000	227000	228000	227000	227000	228000
Sens 5	25%	38%	21%	25%	43%	23%	32%	22%	35%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	262000	262000	257000	262000	262000	257000	262000	262000	257000
Sens 6	13%	12%	14%	7%	14%	9%	8%	11%	9%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.87	0.48	0.66	0.75	2.1	1.1	0.32	1.0	0.21
p Value	0.76	0.41	0.41	0.53	0.41	0.77	0.11	1.0	0.058
95% CI of	0.34	0.085	0.24	0.30	0.37	0.47	0.078	0.14	0.041
OR Quart 2	2.2	2.7	1.8	1.9	12	2.8	1.3	7.3	1.1
OR Quart 3	0.89	0.99	0.86	0.75	1.0	0.74	0.85	1.5	0.85
p Value	0.81	0.98	0.75	0.53	1.0	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.77
95% CI of	0.35	0.24	0.33	0.30	0.14	0.29	0.27	0.25	0.27
OR Quart 3	2.3	4.1	2.2	1.9	7.3	1.9	2.6	9.4	2.6
OR Quart 4	1.2	1.5	0.86	1.1	3.1	1.4	0.88	1.0	0.72
p Value	0.70	0.53	0.75	0.76	0.17	0.46	0.82	1.0	0.59
95% CI of	0.48	0.41	0.33	0.48	0.61	0.58	0.28	0.14	0.22
OR Quart 4	2.9	5.6	2.2	2.7	16	3.3	2.7	7.3	2.3

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior to	o AKI stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO	_						
Median	0.0228	0.0313	0.0228	0.0239	0.0228	0.0313	
Average	8.36	2.81	8.36	4.03	8.36	6.36	
Stdev	43.2	6.38	43.2	9.01	43.2	14.2	
p (t-test)		0.40		0.54		0.85	
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	
Max	292	31.9	292	35.0	292	44.8	
n (Samp)	95	43	95	39	95	17	
n (Patient)	69	43	69	39	69	17	
sCr only	<u> </u>						
Median	0.0313	0.0315	0.0313	0.0228	0.0313	4.67	
Average	6.23	2.84	6.23	5.61	6.23	13.8	
Stdev	29.8	9.15	29.8	11.2	29.8	18.7	
p (t-test)		0.70		0.93		0.45	
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	
Max	292	31.9	292	35.0	292	44.8	
n (Samp)	223	12	223	18	223	9	
n (Patient)	138	12	138	18	138	9	
UO only							
Median	0.0313	0.0313	0.0313	0.0313	0.0313	0.0313	
Average	9.24	2.29	9.24	4.53	9.24	0.671	
Stdev	42.8	4.74	42.8	16.5	42.8	2.10	
p (t-test)		0.32		0.51		0.46	
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	
Max	292	16.7	292	98.4	292	7.88	
n (Samp)	98	38	98	39	98	14	
n (Patient)	67	38	67	39	67	14	

	0 hr p	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.51	0.49	0.47	0.52	0.44	0.47	0.65	0.70	0.49	
SE	0.053	0.086	0.056	0.055	0.073	0.055	0.077	0.099	0.083	
р	0.82	0.90	0.54	0.69	0.41	0.55	0.051	0.043	0.94	
nCohort 1	95	223	98	95	223	98	95	223	98	
nCohort 2	43	12	38	39	18	39	17	9	14	
Cutoff 1	0.0162	0.0205	0.0197	0.0197	0.0162	0.0197	0.0247	0.0313	0.0269	
Sens 1	81%	75%	71%	79%	83%	77%	88%	78%	79%	
Spec 1	15%	24%	21%	25%	13%	21%	53%	54%	47%	
Cutoff 2	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0247	0.0205	0.0197	
Sens 2	81%	83%	82%	85%	83%	82%	88%	89%	86%	
Spec 2	15%	13%	10%	15%	13%	10%	53%	24%	21%	
Cutoff 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0162	0	0.0162	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	93%	

Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	10%
Cutoff 4	0.0317	0.171	0.0363	0.0317	0.171	0.0363	0.0317	0.171	0.0363
Sens 4	30%	25%	26%	28%	22%	26%	41%	56%	14%
Spec 4	73%	70%	71%	73%	70%	71%	73%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	0.328	3.32	1.53	0.328	3.32	1.53	0.328	3.32	1.53
Sens 5	30%	8%	21%	28%	22%	21%	35%	56%	7%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	4.64	10.8	7.32	4.64	10.8	7.32	4.64	10.8	7.32
Sens 6	19%	8%	16%	21%	22%	10%	29%	33%	7%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	0.54	2.1	0.85	1.1	0.75	0.90	1.6	1.0	2.2
p Value	0.26	0.41	0.78	0.85	0.71	0.85	0.64	1.0	0.40
95% CI of	0.19	0.36	0.28	0.38	0.16	0.31	0.24	0.061	0.36
OR Quart 2	1.6	12	2.6	3.2	3.5	2.6	10	16	13
OR Quart 3	0.76	1.5	0.85	1.0	1.3	0.77	2.8	2.0	3.5
p Value	0.60	0.65	0.78	1.0	0.71	0.63	0.24	0.57	0.14
95% CI of	0.28	0.25	0.28	0.34	0.33	0.26	0.50	0.18	0.65
OR Quart 3	2.1	9.5	2.6	3.0	5.1	2.3	16	23	19
OR Quart 4	1.1	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.4	4.3	5.4	1.0
p Value	0.87	0.64	0.30	0.65	0.49	0.55	0.086	0.13	1.0
95% CI of	0.41	0.25	0.61	0.45	0.42	0.49	0.81	0.61	0.13
OR Quart 4	2.9	9.7	4.8	3.6	5.9	3.8	23	48	7.6

Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	0.000368	0.000245	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000457
Average	0.00255	0.00199	0.00255	0.000350	0.00255	0.00458
Stdev	0.0181	0.00785	0.0181	9.42E-5	0.0181	0.0138
p (t-test)		0.86		0.46		0.72
Min	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224
Max	0.171	0.0441	0.171	0.000457	0.171	0.0463
n (Samp)	90	33	90	37	90	11
n (Patient)	63	33	63	37	63	11
sCr only						
Median	0.000368	0.000245	0.000368	0.000224	0.000368	0.000457
Average	0.00184	0.000291	0.00184	0.000301	0.00184	0.000368
Stdev	0.0129	8.43E-5	0.0129	0.000121	0.0129	0.000122
p (t-test)		0.72		0.77		0.80
Min	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224
Max	0.171	0.000457	0.171	0.000457	0.171	0.000457
n (Samp)	202	9	202	6	202	5
n (Patient)	121	9	121	6	121	5
UO only						
Median	0.000332	0.000245	0.000332	0.000368	0.000332	0.000457
Average	0.00450	0.00230	0.00450	0.000348	0.00450	0.00456
Stdev	0.0265	0.00850	0.0265	9.37E-5	0.0265	0.0138
p (t-test)		0.67		0.34		0.99
Min	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224	0.000224
Max	0.194	0.0441	0.194	0.000457	0.194	0.0463
n (Samp)	94	28	94	38	94	11
n (Patient)	58	28	58	38	58	11

	0 hr	prior to AKI s	stage	24 h	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only		
AUC	0.42	0.40	0.45	0.53	0.36	0.55	0.71	0.60	0.68		
SE	0.059	0.10	0.063	0.057	0.12	0.056	0.091	0.14	0.093		
р	0.17	0.35	0.44	0.63	0.26	0.34	0.022	0.47	0.053		
nCohort 1	90	202	94	90	202	94	90	202	94		
nCohort 2	33	9	28	37	6	38	11	5	11		
Cutoff 1	0	0.000224	0	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224	0.000296	0.000224	0.000296		
Sens 1	100%	78%	100%	81%	100%	84%	91%	80%	82%		
Spec 1	0%	24%	0%	21%	0%	24%	43%	24%	50%		
Cutoff 2	0	0.000126	0	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224	0.000296	0.000224	0.000296		
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%	81%	100%	84%	91%	80%	82%		
Spec 2	0%	0%	0%	21%	0%	24%	43%	24%	50%		
Cutoff 3	0	0.000126	0	0	0.000126	0	0.000296	0.000126	0.000224		

TABLE 5-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage R, I or F in Cohort 2.

Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%	100%	91%
Spec 3	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	43%	0%	24%
Cutoff 4	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368
Sens 4	18%	11%	18%	32%	33%	32%	64%	60%	55%
Spec 4	71%	72%	72%	71%	72%	72%	71%	72%	72%
Cutoff 5	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457
Sens 5	6%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	9%
Spec 5	96%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%
Cutoff 6	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457
Sens 6	6%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	9%
Spec 6	96%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%
OR Quart 2	1.5	0	1.9	1.5	0	1.2	0	0.98	1.0
p Value	0.52	na	0.32	0.46	na	0.78	na	0.99	1.0
95% CI of	0.42	na	0.54	0.51	na	0.39	na	0.060	0.059
OR Quart 2	5.4	na	6.6	4.5	na	3.5	na	16	17
OR Quart 3	2.5	6.6	1.8	1.3	0	1.6	11	0.98	3.3
p Value	0.14	0.085	0.35	0.63	na	0.42	0.029	0.99	0.32
95% CI of	0.73	0.77	0.52	0.44	na	0.53	1.3	0.060	0.32
OR Quart 3	8.4	57	6.3	3.9	na	4.6	99	16	34
OR Quart 4	3.0	2.1	1.6	0.96	2.1	1.4	2.0	2.0	7.1
p Value	0.075	0.55	0.48	0.94	0.41	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.079
95% CI of	0.90	0.18	0.44	0.31	0.36	0.46	0.17	0.18	0.80
OR Quart 4	10	24	5.7	3.0	12	4.0	24	23	64

TABLE 6

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

		Heat shock 70 kDa protein	1 1		
	24 hr prior	to AKI stage	48 hr prio	r to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO					
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) UO only	934 1680 2210 0.288 10700 113 92	840 957 694 0.33 16.7 2280 9	934 1680 2210 0.288 10700 113 92	928 967 860 0.44 0.288 1970 6	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	934 1650 2190 0.288 10700 99 77	840 957 694 0.35 16.7 2280 9	934 1650 2190 0.288 10700 99	336 817 870 0.40 0.288 1970 5	

	24	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only		
AUC	0.46	nd	0.47	0.44	nd	0.39		
SE	0.10	nd	0.10	0.12	nd	0.14		
p	0.73	nd	0.75	0.66	nd	0.44		
nCohort 1	113	nd	99	113	nd	99		
nCohort 2	9	nd	9	6	nd	5		
Cutoff 1	705	nd	664	252	nd	252		
Sens 1	78%	nd	78%	83%	nd	80%		
Spec 1	44%	nd	44%	23%	nd	25%		
Cutoff 2	114	nd	114	252	nd	252		
Sens 2	89%	nd	89%	83%	nd	80%		
Spec 2	15%	nd	16%	23%	nd	25%		

104

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from
Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA
samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F
in Cohort 2.

TABLE 6-continued

Cutoff 3	4.58	nd	4.58	0	nd	0
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	6%	nd	7%	0%	nd	0%
Cutoff 4	1620	nd	1610	1620	nd	1610
Sens 4	11%	nd	11%	33%	nd	20%
Spec 4	71%	nd	71%	71%	nd	71%
Cutoff 5	2930	nd	2930	2930	nd	2930
Sens 5	0%	nd	0%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	nd	81%	81%	nd	81%
Cutoff 6	3970	nd	4330	3970	nd	4330
Sens 6	0%	nd	0%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	90%	nd	91%	90%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	3.3	nd	3.2	2.1	nd	>2.2
p Value	0.31	nd	0.32	0.56	nd	< 0.54
95% CI of	0.33	nd	0.32	0.18	nd	>0.18
OR Quart 2	34	nd	33	24	nd	na
OR Quart 3	3.2	nd	3.2	1.0	nd	>2.2
p Value	0.32	nd	0.32	1.0	nd	< 0.54
95% CI of	0.32	nd	0.32	0.060	nd	>0.18
OR Quart 3	33	nd	33	17	nd	na
OR Quart 4	2.1	nd	2.1	2.1	nd	>1.0
p Value	0.54	nd	0.56	0.54	nd	< 0.98
95% CI of	0.18	nd	0.18	0.18	nd	>0.062
OR Quart 4	25	nd	24	25	nd	na

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0484	0.0572	0.0484	0.0619	0.0484	0.0426
Average	0.346	2.67	0.346	0.938	0.346	1.38
Stdev	2.22	6.87	2.22	3.58	2.22	5.00
p (t-test)		0.017		0.32		0.13
Min	9.84E-5	0.0144	9.84E-5	0.000211	9.84E-5	0.000211
Max	21.0	18.2	21.0	14.8	21.0	19.4
n (Samp)	183	7	183	17	183	15
n (Patient)	122	7	122	17	122	15
sCr only						
Median	0.0498	0.0888	0.0498	7.45	0.0498	0.0596
Average	0.300	6.12	0.300	7.45	0.300	4.90
Stdev	2.01	10.5	2.01	10.4	2.01	9.70
p (t-test)		1.2E-5		3.9E-6		9.6E-5
Min	9.84E-5	0.0144	9.84E-5	0.0742	9.84E-5	0.0214
Max	21.0	18.2	21.0	14.8	21.0	19.4
n (Samp)	222	3	222		222	4
n (Patient)	145	3	145	2 2	145	4
UO only						
Median	0.0498	0.0572	0.0498	0.0572	0.0498	0.0449
Average	0.393	0.0892	0.393	0.0668	0.393	0.102
Stdev	2.37	0.0767	2.37	0.0525	2.37	0.149
p (t-test)		0.80		0.57		0.67
Min	0.000208	0.0390	0.000208	0.000211	0.000208	0.000211
Max	21.0	0.204	21.0	0.192	21.0	0.543
n (Samp)	159	4	159	17	159	12
n (Patient)	102	4	102	17	102	12

	0 hr	prior to AKI s	stage	24 h	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.65	0.65	0.62	0.60	0.85	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.52	
SE	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.075	0.17	0.075	0.079	0.15	0.088	
р	0.19	0.38	0.42	0.17	0.038	0.61	0.58	0.55	0.80	
nCohort 1	183	222	159	183	222	159	183	222	159	
nCohort 2	7	3	4	17	2	17	15	4	12	
Cutoff 1	0.0556	0.0137	0.0556	0.0407	0.0729	0.0373	0.0219	0.0390	0.0219	
Sens 1	71%	100%	75%	71%	100%	71%	73%	75%	75%	
Spec 1	60%	15%	57%	44%	72%	40%	25%	41%	23%	
Cutoff 2	0.0373	0.0137	0.0373	0.0297	0.0729	0.0253	0.0212	0.0212	0.0212	

TABLE 6-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F in Cohort 2.

Sens 2	86%	100%	100%	82%	100%	82%	93%	100%	92%
Spec 2	42%	15%	40%	33%	72%	25%	21%	20%	18%
Cutoff 3	0.0137	0.0137	0.0373	0.00949	0.0729	0.00497	0.0212	0.0212	0.0212
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	94%	100%	94%	93%	100%	92%
Spec 3	15%	15%	40%	11%	72%	9%	21%	20%	18%
Cutoff 4	0.0692	0.0699	0.0729	0.0692	0.0699	0.0729	0.0692	0.0699	0.0729
Sens 4	43%	67%	25%	41%	100%	35%	40%	50%	42%
Spec 4	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%	71%	71%	70%
Cutoff 5	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839
Sens 5	43%	67%	25%	29%	50%	29%	33%	25%	33%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	0.129	0.133	0.134	0.129	0.133	0.134	0.129	0.133	0.134
Sens 6	29%	33%	25%	18%	50%	12%	20%	25%	17%
Spec 6	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%	90%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	0.98	0	>1.0	2.1	>0	1.4	1.2	0.98	1.3
p Value	0.99	na	<1.0	0.41	<na< td=""><td>0.69</td><td>0.75</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.72</td></na<>	0.69	0.75	0.99	0.72
95% CI of	0.059	na	>0.060	0.36	>na	0.29	0.32	0.060	0.28
OR Quart 2	16	na	na	12	na	6.5	5.0	16	6.4
OR Quart 3	2.0	0	>2.1	2.7	>1.0	1.8	0.23	1.0	0.31
p Value	0.56	na	< 0.56	0.26	< 0.99	0.46	0.20	1.0	0.32
95% CI of	0.18	na	>0.18	0.49	>0.062	0.39	0.025	0.061	0.031
OR Quart 3	23	na	na	14	na	7.8	2.2	16	3.1
OR Quart 4	3.1	2.0	>1.0	3.3	>1.0	1.8	1.2	0.98	1.3
p Value	0.34	0.58	<1.0	0.16	< 0.99	0.46	0.75	0.99	0.72
95% CI of	0.31	0.18	>0.060	0.63	>0.062	0.39	0.32	0.060	0.28
OR Quart 4	31	23	na	17	na	7.8	5.0	16	6.4

Neural cell adhesion molecule 1

	0 hr prior t	o AKI stage	24 hr prior	to AKI stage	48 hr prior	to AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	184000	178000	184000	185000	184000	156000
Average	189000	187000	189000	191000	189000	158000
Stdev	70300	63200	70300	93000	70300	51300
p (t-test)		0.90		0.91		0.060
Min	791	93200	791	190	791	49200
Max	520000	316000	520000	506000	520000	280000
n (Samp)	285	16	285	28	285	19
n (Patient)	163	16	163	28	163	19
sCr only						
Median	181000	243000	181000	232000	181000	175000
Average	184000	236000	184000	295000	184000	190000
Stdev	68800	73300	68800	135000	68800	53400
p (t-test)		0.14		5.0E-4		0.86
Min	190	140000	190	160000	190	140000
Max	520000	316000	520000	506000	520000	280000
n (Samp)	356	4	356	5	356	5
n (Patient)	197	4	197	5	197	5
UO only	<u></u>					
Median	183000	172000	183000	177000	183000	156000
Average	188000	168000	188000	169000	188000	155000
Stdev	72400	51800	72400	65000	72400	47600
p (t-test)		0.32		0.19		0.058
Min	791	93200	791	190	791	49200
Max	520000	282000	520000	331000	520000	230000
n (Samp)	261	13	261	26	261	17
n (Patient)	143	13	143	26	143	17

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 1	nr prior to AKI s	stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.49	0.73	0.41	0.48	0.79	0.43	0.36	0.51	0.36
SE	0.075	0.15	0.085	0.058	0.12	0.061	0.070	0.13	0.074
р	0.92	0.12	0.29	0.79	0.015	0.25	0.041	0.91	0.052
nCohort 1	285	356	261	285	356	261	285	356	261
nCohort 2	16	4	13	28	5	26	19	5	17
Cutoff 1	152000	230000	133000	158000	230000	130000	139000	166000	144000
Sens 1	75%	75%	77%	71%	80%	73%	74%	80%	71%

TABLE 6-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from
Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA
samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F
in Cohort 2.

Spec 1	30%	81%	21%	32%	81%	19%	21%	41%	26%
Cutoff 2	133000	140000	121000	125000	230000	121000	107000	166000	107000
Sens 2	81%	100%	85%	82%	80%	81%	84%	80%	82%
Spec 2	19%	24%	14%	14%	81%	14%	8%	41%	9%
Cutoff 3	95600	140000	95600	91800	160000	91800	91800	140000	91800
Sens 3	94%	100%	92%	93%	100%	92%	95%	100%	94%
Spec 3	6%	24%	6%	5%	37%	5%	5%	24%	5%
Cutoff 4	214000	207000	214000	214000	207000	214000	214000	207000	214000
Sens 4	25%	75%	8%	29%	80%	19%	11%	20%	12%
Spec 4	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%
Cutoff 5	233000	228000	233000	233000	228000	233000	233000	228000	233000
Sens 5	19%	75%	8%	14%	80%	12%	5%	20%	0%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	266000	262000	265000	266000	262000	265000	266000	262000	265000
Sens 6	12%	25%	8%	11%	40%	4%	5%	20%	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.75	0	3.1	1.0	>1.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.5
p Value	0.71	na	0.33	0.98	< 0.99	0.24	0.41	0.57	0.64
95% CI of	0.16	na	0.32	0.34	>0.062	0.61	0.37	0.18	0.25
OR Quart 2	3.5	na	31	3.0	na	7.4	12	23	9.5
OR Quart 3	1.3	0	5.3	0.86	>0	1.3	3.8	1.0	3.8
p Value	0.72	na	0.13	0.79	<na< td=""><td>0.73</td><td>0.11</td><td>1.0</td><td>0.11</td></na<>	0.73	0.11	1.0	0.11
95% CI of	0.33	na	0.60	0.27	>na	0.33	0.75	0.062	0.76
OR Quart 3	5.0	na	47	2.7	na	4.9	19	16	19
OR Quart 4	1.0	3.1	4.2	1.2	>4.1	2.5	3.2	0.99	2.7
p Value	0.98	0.34	0.20	0.77	< 0.21	0.15	0.17	0.99	0.25
95% CI of	0.24	0.31	0.46	0.40	>0.45	0.72	0.62	0.061	0.50
OR Quart 4	4.2	30	39	3.4	na	8.4	16	16	14

Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	48 hr prior to	AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	0.000368	0.000245	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368
Average	0.00279	0.000236	0.00279	0.000326	0.00279	0.000351
Stdev	0.0186	1.14E-5	0.0186	9.54E-5	0.0186	0.000109
p (t-test)		0.68		0.59		0.70
Min	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224
Max	0.194	0.000245	0.194	0.000457	0.194	0.000457
n (Samp)	203	9	203	17	203	9
n (Patient)	120	9	120	17	120	9
sCr only	<u>—</u>					
Median	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.000368	0.000340
Average	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.00235	0.000340
Stdev	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.0169	0.000165
p (t-test)	nd	nd	nd	nd		0.87
Min	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.000126	0.000224
Max	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.194	0.000457
n (Samp)	nd	nd	nd	nd	246	2
n (Patient) UO only	nd	nd	nd	nd	142	2
	_					
Median	0.000368	0.000245	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000307
Average	0.00296	0.000236	0.00296	0.000326	0.00296	0.000337
Stdev	0.0193	1.14E-5	0.0193	9.54E-5	0.0193	0.000108
p (t-test)		0.67		0.57		0.70
Min	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224
Max	0.194	0.000245	0.194	0.000457	0.194	0.000457
n (Samp)	189	9	189	17	189	8
n (Patient)	106	9	106	17	106	8

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.24	nd	0.25	0.47	nd	0.48	0.56	0.48	0.54
SE	0.095	nd	0.096	0.074	nd	0.074	0.10	0.21	0.11
p	0.0060	nd	0.0098	0.73	nd	0.82	0.54	0.94	0.74
nCohort 1	203	nd	189	203	nd	189	203	246	189
nCohort 2	9	nd	9	17	nd	17	9	2	8

#### TABLE 6-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from
Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R) and in EDTA
samples collected from subjects at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage I or F
in Cohort 2.

Cutoff 1	0.000126	nd	0.000126	0.000224	nd	0.000224	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224
Sens 1	100%	nd	100%	76%	nd	76%	89%	100%	88%
Spec 1	0%	nd	1%	23%	$_{ m nd}$	24%	23%	0%	24%
Cutoff 2	0.000126	nd	0.000126	0.000126	nd	0.000126	0.000224	0.000126	0.000224
Sens 2	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	89%	100%	88%
Spec 2	0%	nd	1%	0%	$_{ m nd}$	1%	23%	0%	24%
Cutoff 3	0.000126	nd	0.000126	0.000126	nd	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	nd	1%	0%	nd	1%	0%	0%	1%
Cutoff 4	0.000368	nd	0.000368	0.000368	nd	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368	0.000368
Sens 4	0%	nd	0%	24%	nd	24%	44%	50%	38%
Spec 4	71%	nd	71%	71%	nd	71%	71%	73%	71%
Cutoff 5	0.000457	nd	0.000457	0.000457	nd	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457
Sens 5	0%	nd	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 5	96%	nd	95%	96%	nd	95%	96%	96%	95%
Cutoff 6	0.000457	nd	0.000457	0.000457	nd	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457	0.000457
Sens 6	0%	nd	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	96%	nd	95%	96%	nd	95%	96%	96%	95%
OR Quart 2	>0	nd	>0	0.32	nd	1.3	4.2	0	3.1
p Value	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""><td>0.33</td><td>nd</td><td>0.70</td><td>0.20</td><td>na</td><td>0.33</td></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""><td>0.33</td><td>nd</td><td>0.70</td><td>0.20</td><td>na</td><td>0.33</td></na<>	0.33	nd	0.70	0.20	na	0.33
95% CI of	>na	nd	>na	0.032	nd	0.33	0.46	na	0.31
OR Quart 2	na	nd	na	3.2	$_{ m nd}$	5.2	39	na	31
OR Quart 3	>5.5	nd	>5.6	3.4	nd	1.0	0	0	1.0
p Value	< 0.12	nd	< 0.12	0.080	nd	1.0	na	na	1.0
95% CI of	>0.62	nd	>0.63	0.87	nd	0.24	na	na	0.061
OR Quart 3	na	nd	na	13	nd	4.2	na	na	16
OR Quart 4	>4.3	nd	>4.4	1.4	nd	1.0	4.2	1.0	3.1
p Value	<0.20	nd	< 0.19	0.70	nd	0.98	0.20	1.0	0.34
95% CI of	>0.47	nd	>0.48	0.29	nd	0.24	0.46	0.061	0.31
OR Quart 4	na	nd	na	6.4	nd	4.3	39	16	31

TABLE 7

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected within 12 hours of reaching stage R from Cohort 1 (patients that reached, but did not progress beyond, RIFLE stage R) and from Cohort 2 (patients that reached RIFLE stage I or F).

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	sCr or UO		sCr	only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	0.0447	0.0717	nd	nd	0.0478	0.0804	
Average	0.574	0.103	nd	nd	0.707	0.0835	
Stdev	3.27	0.114	nd	nd	3.71	0.0515	
p (t-test)		0.62	nd	nd		0.62	
Min	0.000208	0.0214	nd	nd	0.000208	0.0214	
Max	20.5	0.432	nd	nd	21.0	0.192	
n (Samp)	39	12	nd	nd	32	9	
n (Patient)	39	12	nd	nd	32	9	

_		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.66	nd	0.71
SE	0.095	nd	0.11
p	0.088	nd	0.047
nCohort 1	39	nd	32
nCohort 2	12	nd	9
Cutoff 1	0.0331	nd	0.0545
Sens 1	75%	nd	78%
Spec 1	31%	nd	59%
Cutoff 2	0.0292	nd	0.0214
Sens 2	83%	nd	89%
Spec 2	28%	nd	25%
Cutoff 3	0.0214	nd	0.0179
Sens 3	92%	nd	100%
Spec 3	26%	nd	19%
Cutoff 4	0.0608	nd	0.0608
Sens 4	58%	nd	67%

111
TABLE 7-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected within 12 hours of reaching stage R from Cohort 1 (patients that reached, but did not progress beyond, RIFLE stage R) and from Cohort 2 (patients that reached RIFLE stage I or F).

Spec 4	72%	nd	72%
Cutoff 5	0.0692	nd	0.0668
Sens 5	50%	nd	67%
Spec 5	82%	nd	81%
Cutoff 6	0.0945	nd	0.0839
Sens 6	33%	nd	44%
Spec 6	92%	nd	91%
OR Quart 2	0.91	nd	0
p Value	0.93	nd	na
95% CI of	0.11	nd	na
OR Quart 2	7.7	nd	na
OR Quart 3	0.91	nd	1.0
p Value	0.93	nd	1.0
95% CI of	0.11	nd	0.11
OR Quart 3	7.7	nd	8.9
OR Quart 4	4.3	nd	3.3
p Value	0.13	nd	0.23
95% CI of	0.66	nd	0.47
OR Quart 4	28	nd	23

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

	sCr or UO		sCr	only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	0.0315	0.0228	nd	nd	0.0315	0.0228	
Average	6.86	1.36	nd	nd	2.72	1.74	
Stdev	27.8	5.65	nd	nd	5.07	6.41	
p (t-test)		0.41	nd	nd		0.58	
Min	0.0162	0.0162	nd	nd	0.0162	0.0162	
Max	172	24.0	nd	nd	16.7	24.0	
n (Samp)	38	18	nd	nd	32	14	
n (Patient)	38	18	nd	nd	32	14	

_		At Enrollment		_
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.28	nd	0.29	_
SE	0.078	nd	0.088	
p	0.0054	nd	0.017	
nCohort 1	38	nd	32	
nCohort 2	18	nd	14	
Cutoff 1	0.0197	nd	0.0197	
Sens 1	72%	nd	71%	
Spec 1	18%	nd	16%	
Cutoff 2	0	nd	0	
Sens 2	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 2	0%	nd	0%	
Cutoff 3	0	nd	0	
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 3	0%	nd	0%	
Cutoff 4	0.601	nd	0.444	
Sens 4	6%	nd	7%	
Spec 4	71%	nd	72%	
Cutoff 5	6.98	nd	6.98	
Sens 5	6%	nd	7%	
Spec 5	82%	nd	81%	
Cutoff 6	13.4	nd	10.8	
Sens 6	6%	nd	7%	
Spec 6	92%	nd	91%	
OR Quart 2	2.2	nd	0.50	
p Value	0.55	nd	0.59	
95% CI of	0.17	nd	0.039	
OR Quart 2	27	nd	6.4	
OR Quart 3	17	nd	5.0	
p Value	0.015	nd	0.096	
95% CI of	1.8	nd	0.75	
OR Quart 3	170	nd	33	
OR Quart 4	13	nd	4.2	
p Value	0.028	nd nd	0.15	
p value 95% CI of	1.3		0.13	
OR Quart 4	1.3	nd nd	29	
OIL Quant F	150	IIG	27	_

TABLE 8

			Hea	t shock 70 kDa prot	tein 1		
			0 hr prior to A	KI stage		24 hr prior to AK	I stage
		-	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort	1	Cohort 2
	sCr or UO						
	Median		618	2280	618		2280
	Average	1	400	2650	1400		2650
	Stdev	2	2030	2030	2030		2030
	p (t-test)			0.30			0.30
	Min	•	0.288	840	0.2	288	840
	Max n (Samp)	10	53	4840 3	10000 53		4840 3
	n (Samp) n (Patient)		53	3	53		3
	UO only		55	9	55		3
	Median		641	1560	641		1560
	Average	1	410	1560	1410		1560
	Stdev		1930	1020	1930		1020
	p (t-test)			0.92			0.92
	Min		0.288	840	0.2	288	840
	Max	10	0000	2280	10000		2280
	n (Samp)		44	2	44		2
	n (Patient)		44	2	44		2
		0	hr prior to AKI sta	ge	:	24 hr prior to AK	I stage
		sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	2	0.77	nd	0.66	0.77	nd	0.66
SE		0.16	nd	0.22	0.16	nd	0.22
p		0.093	nd	0.46	0.093	nd	0.46
	hort 1	53	nd	44	53	nd	44
Cuto	hort 2	3 837	nd nd	2 837	3 837	nd nd	2 837
Sens		100%	nd nd	100%	100%	nd nd	100%
Spec		57%	nd	55%	57%	nd	55%
Cuto		837	nd	837	837	nd	837
Sens		100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec	: 2	57%	nd	55%	57%	nd	55%
Cuto	off 3	837	nd	837	837	nd	837
Sens		100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec		57%	nd	55%	57%	nd	55%
Cuto		1370	nd	1370	1370	nd	1370
Sens		67%	nd	50%	67%	nd	50%
Spec Cuto		72% 2700	nd nd	70% 2860	72% 2700	nd nd	70% 2860
Sens		33%	nd nd	0%	33%	nd	0%
Spec		81%	nd	82%	81%	nd	82%
Cuto		3540	nd	3630	3540	nd	3630
Sens	6	33%	nd	0%	33%	nd	0%
Spec	: 6	91%	nd	91%	91%	nd	91%
OR (	Quart 2	>0	nd	>0	>0	nd	>0
p Va		<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
	CI of	>na	nd	>na	>na	nd	>na
	Quart 2	na	nd	na	na	nd	na
OR (	Quart 3	>1.1	nd nd	>1.1	>1.1	nd nd	>1.1
T7.	uuct	< 0.96	nd	<0.95	<0.96 >0.061	nd nd	<0.95 >0.060
p Va 95%		>0.061	p.d			HU	
95%	CI of	>0.061	nd nd	>0.060 na			
95% OR (	CI of Quart 3	na	nd	na	na	nd	na
95% OR (	CI of Quart 3 Quart 4						
95% OR ( OR ( p Va	CI of Quart 3 Quart 4	na >2.3	nd nd	na >1.0	na >2.3	nd nd	na >1.0

TABLE 8-continued

				Neural cell ad	hesion molect	ale 1			
		0 hr prior	to AKI stage	24	4 hr prior to A	AKI stage	48 hr p	orior to AKI sta	ge
		Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Coho	ort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Col	nort 2
sCr	or UO	_							
Ave	dian erage	184000 188000	172000 180000	1840 1880	000	172000 177000	184000 188000	1670 1650	00
Std p (t Mii	t-test)	79000 1370	49600 0.78 111000		370	44400 0.69 111000	79000 1370	208 1400	0.57
n (I			5200	000 88 88	245000 8 8	520000 88 88	1870	00 4 4	
	dian erage ev	181000 187000 75100	177000 188000 49800	1810 1870 751		177000 181000 38000	181000 187000 75100	1670 1650 237	00
Mir Ma	x	190 520000	0.98 140000 256000	5200		0.88 140000 230000	190 520000	1400 1870	00
n (l	Samp) Patient) O only	164 164 -	4 4		164 164	4 4	164 164		3 3
Ave Std		180000 187000 85300	162000 166000 45100	1800 1870 853		162000 166000 45100	180000 187000 85300	1580 1580 183	00 00
Mii Ma		1080 520000 81	0.56 111000 245000 6	10 5200	080 000 81	0.56 111000 245000 6	1080 520000 81	1400 1760	
	Patient)	81	6		81	6	81		3
	0	hr prior to AKI	stage	24	hr prior to Al	KI stage	48	hr prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC SE p	0.47 0.11 0.80	0.50 0.15 0.99	0.41 0.13 0.47	0.47 0.11 0.75	0.48 0.15 0.92	0.41 0.13 0.47	0.39 0.15 0.48	0.38 0.17 0.49	0.36 0.18 0.43
nCohort 1 nCohort 2 Cutoff 1	88 8 158000	164 4 165000	81 6 139000	88 8 158000	164 4 165000	81 6 139000	88 4 158000	164 3 139000	81 3 139000
Sens 1 Spec 1 Cutoff 2	75% 36% 139000	75% 40% 139000	83% 25% 139000	75% 36% 139000	75% 40% 139000	83% 25% 139000	75% 36% 139000	100% 22% 139000	100% 25% 139000
Sens 2 Spec 2 Cutoff 3	88% 24% 107000	100% 22% 139000	83% 25% 107000	88% 24% 107000	100% 22% 139000	83% 25% 107000	100% 24% 139000	100% 22% 139000	100% 25% 139000
Sens 3 Spec 3 Cutoff 4	100% 11% 216000	100% 22% 217000	100% 12% 214000	100% 11% 216000	100% 22% 217000	100% 12% 214000	100% 24% 216000	100% 22% 217000	100% 25% 214000
Sens 4 Spec 4 Cutoff 5	25% 70% 227000	25% 70% 230000	17% 70% 227000	25% 70% 227000	25% 70% 230000	17% 70% 227000	0% 70% 227000	0% 70% 230000	0% 70% 227000
Sens 5 Spec 5 Cutoff 6 Sens 6	25% 81% 272000 0%	25% 80% 272000 0%	17% 80% 258000 0%	25% 81% 272000 0%	0% 80% 272000 0%	17% 80% 258000 0%	0% 81% 272000 0%	0% 80% 272000 0%	0% 80% 258000 0%
Spec 6 OR Quart 2 p Value	91% 0.48 0.56	90% 1.0 1.0	90% 0 na	91% 0.48 0.56	90% 1.0 1.0	90% 0 na	91% >1.0 <0.98	90% >1.0 <0.99	90% >0 <na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of OR Quart 2 OR Quart 3	0.040 5.7 1.6		na na 4.7	0.040 5.7 1.6	0.060 17 1.0		>0.062 na >2.2	>0.062 na >1.0	>na na >2.2
p Value 95% CI of OR Quart 3	0.64 0.24 10	1.0 0.060 17	0.19 0.48 46	0.64 0.24 10	1.0 0.060 17	0.19	<0.53 >0.18 na	<0.99 >0.062 na	<0.53 >0.19 na
OR Quart 4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	>1.0	>1.0	>1.0

117

TABLE 8-continued

Comparison of the maximum marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0) and the maximum values in EDTA samples collected from subjects between enrollment and 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to reaching stage F in Cohort 2.

p Value	1.0	1.0	0.97	1.0	1.0	0.97	<0.98	<0.97	<0.97
95% CI of	0.13	0.060	0.061	0.13	0.060	0.061	>0.062	>0.064	>0.061
OR Quart 4	7.7	17	18	7.7	17	18	па	na	na
			Tumor nec	crosis factor lig	gand superfamil	y member 10			

	0 hr prior to	o AKI stage	24 hr prior	to AKI stage	48 hr prior	to AKI stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0228	0.0271	0.0228	0.0271	0.0228	0.0228
Average	11.3	3.14	11.3	3.14	11.3	2.39
Stdev	50.4	7.16	50.4	7.16	50.4	5.88
p (t-test)		0.58		0.58		0.64
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162
Max	292	20.9	292	20.9	292	15.7
n (Samp)	69	12	69	12	69	7
n (Patient)	69	12	69	12	69	7
sCr only						
Median	0.0313	0.0228	0.0313	0.0228	0.0313	0.0228
Average	9.00	2.64	9.00	2.64	9.00	5.25
Stdev	37.6	6.40	37.6	6.40	37.6	9.06
p (t-test)		0.68		0.68		0.86
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162
Max	292	15.7	292	15.7	292	15.7
n (Samp)	138	6	138	6	138	3
n (Patient)	138	6	138	6	138	3
UO only						
Median	0.0313	0.0271	0.0313	0.0271	0.0313	0.0228
Average	13.0	2.74	13.0	2.74	13.0	0.173
Stdev	51.4	7.33	51.4	7.33	51.4	0.367
p (t-test)		0.58		0.58		0.55
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162
Max	292	20.9	292	20.9	292	0.921
n (Samp)	67	8	67	8	67	6
n (Patient)	67	8	67	8	67	6

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hi	24 hr prior to AKI stage		48 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.50	0.37	0.44	0.50	0.37	0.44	0.48	0.41	0.35
SE	0.091	0.12	0.11	0.091	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.18	0.13
p	0.96	0.30	0.59	0.96	0.30	0.59	0.85	0.60	0.25
nCohort 1	69	138	67	69	138	67	69	138	67
nCohort 2	12	6	8	12	6	8	7	3	6
Cutoff 1	0.0197	0.0205	0.0197	0.0197	0.0205	0.0197	0.0197	0	0.0197
Sens 1	92%	83%	88%	92%	83%	88%	86%	100%	83%
Spec 1	17%	14%	12%	17%	14%	12%	17%	0%	12%
Cutoff 2	0.0197	0.0205	0.0197	0.0197	0.0205	0.0197	0.0197	0	0.0197
Sens 2	92%	83%	88%	92%	83%	88%	86%	100%	83%
Spec 2	17%	14%	12%	17%	14%	12%	17%	0%	12%
Cutoff 3	0.0197	0	0	0.0197	0	0	0	0	0
Sens 3	92%	100%	100%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	17%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Cutoff 4	0.0392	0.921	0.0591	0.0392	0.921	0.0591	0.0392	0.921	0.0591
Sens 4	25%	17%	25%	25%	17%	25%	29%	33%	17%
Spec 4	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%	71%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	2.12	3.82	3.20	2.12	3.82	3.20	2.12	3.82	3.20
Sens 5	17%	17%	12%	17%	17%	12%	14%	33%	0%
Spec 5	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%	81%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	5.31	15.7	23.0	5.31	15.7	23.0	5.31	15.7	23.0
Sens 6	17%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%
Spec 6	91%	91%	91%	91%	91%	91%	91%	91%	91%
OR Quart 2	6.3	0	2.1	6.3	0	2.1	0.47	0	>2.4
p Value	0.11	na	0.55	0.11	na	0.55	0.55	na	< 0.50
95% CI of	0.67	na	0.18	0.67	na	0.18	0.039	na	>0.20
OR Quart 2	60	na	26	60	na	26	5.7	na	na
OR Quart 3	3.4	4.4	1.0	3.4	4.4	1.0	1.6	1.0	>0
p Value	0.31	0.20	1.0	0.31	0.20	1.0	0.63	0.98	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.32	0.46	0.058	0.32	0.46	0.058	0.23	0.062	>na

TABLE 8-continued

OR Quart 3 OR Quart 4	35 3.2	41 1.0	17 5.1	35 3.2	41 1.0	17 5.1	11 0.47	17 1.0	na >5.4
p Value	0.34	1.0	0.16	0.34	1.0	0.16	0.55	0.98	<0.15
95% CI of	0.30	0.060	0.52	0.30	0.060	0.52	0.039	0.062	>0.55
OR Quart 4	33	17	51	33	17	51	5.7	17	na

TABLE 9

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	24 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	0.487 177 1320 0.237 13900 113 87	0.487 40.1 100 0.79 0.487 267 7	
sCr only  Median	0.487	10.9	
Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp)	171 1290 0.237 13900 118	181 303 0.99 0.487 530 3	
n (Patient) UO only	91	3	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	0.237 190 1430 0.237 13900 96 74	0.487 53.8 119 0.83 0.487 267 5	

	24 hr prior to AKI stage				
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only		
AUC	0.71	0.81	0.73		
SE	0.11	0.15	0.13		
p	0.063	0.046	0.081		
nCohort 1	113	118	96		
nCohort 2	7	3	5		
Cutoff 1	0.237	0.237	0.237		
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%		
Spec 1	45%	43%	52%		
Cutoff 2	0.237	0.237	0.237		
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%		
Spec 2	45%	43%	52%		
Cutoff 3	0.237	0.237	0.237		
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%		
Spec 3	45%	43%	52%		
Cutoff 4	0.487	0.487	0.487		
Sens 4	29%	67%	20%		
Spec 4	82%	82%	82%		
Cutoff 5	0.487	0.487	0.487		

TABLE 9-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort

1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in urine samples
collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48
hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Sens 5	29%	67%	20%
Spec 5	82%	82%	82%
Cutoff 6	123	154	118
Sens 6	14%	33%	20%
Spec 6	90%	91%	91%
OR Quart 2	>6.0	>1.0	>0
p Value	< 0.11	< 0.98	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	>0.66	>0.062	>na
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>0	>0	>4.8
p Value	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.18</td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.18</td></na<>	< 0.18
95% CI of	>na	>na	>0.49
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>2.1	>2.1	>1.0
p Value	< 0.54	< 0.56	<1.0
95% CI of	>0.18	>0.18	>0.059
OR Quart 4	na	na	na

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

	24 hr prior to AKI stag		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median	277	1420	
Average	581	2850	
Stdev	1100	4410	
p (t-test)		2.0E-4	
Min	0.297	250	
Max	7800	11800	
n (Samp)	111	6	
n (Patient)	86	6	
sCr only			
Median	289	1510	
Average	686	1440	
Stdev	1500	318	
p (t-test)		0.39	
Min	0.297	1090	
Max	11800	1710	
n (Samp)	115	3	
n (Patient)	89	3	
UO only			
Median	267	934	
Average	617	3480	
Stdev	1170	5560	
p (t-test)		3.5E-4	
Min	0.297	250	
Max	7800	11800	
n (Samp)	96	4	
n (Patient)	74	4	

_	24 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.83	0.92	0.77	
SE	0.10	0.11	0.14	
p	0.0018	1.9E-4	0.055	
nCohort 1	111	115	96	
nCohort 2	6	3	4	
Cutoff 1	529	1040	529	
Sens 1	83%	100%	75%	
Spec 1	70%	89%	70%	
Cutoff 2	529	1040	246	
Sens 2	83%	100%	100%	
Spec 2	70%	89%	49%	
Cutoff 3	246	1040	246	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	
Spec 3	48%	89%	49%	
Cutoff 4	529	596	574	
Sens 4	83%	100%	50%	

TABLE 9-continued

Spec 4	70%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	770	782	782
Sens 5	67%	100%	50%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	1040	1320	1340
Sens 6	67%	67%	25%
Spec 6	90%	91%	91%
OR Quart 2	>1.0	>0	>1.0
p Value	<0.98	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.98</td></na<>	< 0.98
95% CI of	>0.062	>na	>0.062
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>1.0	>0	>1.0
p Value	< 0.98	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.98</td></na<>	< 0.98
95% CI of	>0.062	>na	>0.062
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>4.5	>3.2	>2.2
p Value	< 0.19	< 0.32	< 0.54
95% CI of	>0.47	>0.32	>0.18
OR Quart 4	na	na	na

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	24 hr prior to	AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) sCr only	0.0103 0.0227 0.0655 0.000123 0.679 112 88	0.0103 0.0647 0.132 0.13 0.00862 0.365 7	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) UO only	0.0103 0.0261 0.0718 0.000123 0.679 117 92	0.0197 0.0160 0.00637 0.81 0.00862 0.0197 3	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	0.0103 0.0239 0.0705 0.000123 0.679 96 76	0.0103 0.0849 0.157 0.083 0.0103 0.365 5	

_	24 hr prior to AKI stage			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.61	0.57	0.65	
SE	0.12	0.17	0.14	
p	0.34	0.71	0.28	
nCohort 1	112	117	96	
nCohort 2	7	3	5	
Cutoff 1	0.00862	0.00573	0.00862	
Sens 1	86%	100%	100%	
Spec 1	41%	32%	44%	
Cutoff 2	0.00862	0.00573	0.00862	
Sens 2	86%	100%	100%	
Spec 2	41%	32%	44%	
Cutoff 3	0.00573	0.00573	0.00862	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	
Spec 3	33%	32%	44%	

TABLE 9-continued

Cutoff 4	0.0197	0.0211	0.0211
Sens 4	29%	0%	40%
Spec 4	71%	71%	72%
Cutoff 5	0.0292	0.0292	0.0292
Sens 5	14%	0%	20%
Spec 5	82%	81%	82%
Cutoff 6	0.0423	0.0423	0.0423
Sens 6	14%	0%	20%
Spec 6	92%	91%	92%
OR Quart 2	>4.5	>1.0	>1.0
p Value	< 0.19	< 0.98	< 0.98
95% CI of	>0.47	>0.062	>0.062
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>1.0	>2.1	>2.2
p Value	<1.0	< 0.54	< 0.54
95% CI of	>0.060	>0.18	>0.18
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>2.1	>0	>2.1
p Value	< 0.56	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.56</td></na<>	< 0.56
95% CI of	>0.18	>na	>0.18
OR Quart 4	na	na	na

Interstitial collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor2 complex

sCr or UO	Cohort 1 0.233	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median		6.17	
Average	152	50.7	
Stdev	1510	110	
p (t-test)		0.86	
Min	0.228	0.228	
Max	16000	297	
n (Samp)	113	7	
n (Patient)	87	7	
sCr only			
Median	0.233	6.97	
Average	149	12.2	
Stdev	1470	15.3	
p (t-test)		0.87	
Min	0.228	0.233	
Max	16000	29.5	
n (Samp)	118	3	
n (Patient)	91	3	
UO only			
Median	0.233	6.17	
Average	173	69.6	
Stdev	1630	129	
p (t-test)		0.89	
Min	0.228	0.228	
Max	16000	297	
n (Samp)	96	5	
n (Patient)	74	5	

		24 hr prior to AKI stage	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.66	0.79	0.62
SE	0.12	0.16	0.14
p	0.17	0.072	0.37
nCohort 1	113	118	96
nCohort 2	7	3	5
Cutoff 1	0.228	0.228	0
Sens 1	71%	100%	100%
Spec 1	38%	39%	0%
Cutoff 2	0	0.228	0
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	39%	0%
Cutoff 3	0	0.228	0

Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	39%	0%
Cutoff 4	0.233	0.233	0.233
Sens 4	57%	67%	60%
Spec 4	80%	79%	79%
Cutoff 5	1.26	1.35	1.26
Sens 5	57%	67%	60%
Spec 5	81%	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	14.2	18.5	10.7
Sens 6	29%	33%	40%
Spec 6	90%	92%	91%
OR Quart 2	>2.1	>0	0
p Value	< 0.54	<na< td=""><td>na</td></na<>	na
95% CI of	>0.18	>na	na
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>1.0	>1.0	0
p Value	< 0.98	<0.98	na
95% CI of	>0.062	>0.062	na
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>4.6	>2.1	1.5
p Value	< 0.18	<0.56	0.67
95% CI of	>0.48	>0.18	0.23
OR Quart 4	na	na	9.8

72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	24 hr prior	to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) sCr only	29.2 793 2550 1.15 16000 108 86	295 2740 5880 0.081 1.15 16000 7	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient) UO only	30.3 926 2880 1.15 16000 113 90	527 447 245 0.77 171 642 3	
Median Average Stdev p (t-test) Min Max n (Samp) n (Patient)	28.1 868 2710 1.15 16000 95 76	295 3670 6930 0.044 1.15 16000 5	

		24 hr prior to AKI stage	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.70	0.74	0.67
SE	0.11	0.17	0.14
р	0.081	0.16	0.21
nCohort 1	108	113	95
nCohort 2	7	3	5
Cutoff 1	234	164	234
Sens 1	71%	100%	80%
Spec 1	71%	65%	69%
Cutoff 2	164	164	234
Sens 2	86%	100%	80%

TABLE 9-continued

Spec 2	67%	65%	69%
Cutoff 3	0	164	0
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	65%	0%
Cutoff 4	227	365	365
Sens 4	71%	67%	40%
Spec 4	70%	71%	71%
Cutoff 5	595	656	595
Sens 5	43%	0%	40%
Spec 5	81%	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	1700	1780	1700
Sens 6	29%	0%	40%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	0	>0	0
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td></na<>	na
95% CI of	na	>na	na
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	3.1	>1.0	2.1
p Value	0.34	<0.98	0.56
95% CI of	0.30	>0.062	0.18
OR Quart 3	32	na	25
OR Quart 4	3.1	>2.1	2.1
p Value	0.34	<0.54	0.56
95% CI of	0.30	>0.18	0.18
OR Quart 4	32	na	25

Neural	cell	adhesion	molecule	1
--------	------	----------	----------	---

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage		orior to stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	2720	3990	2720	2690	2720	2100
Average	3340	4390	3340	6270	3340	2870
Stdev	2880	3520	2880	11900	2880	2900
p (t-test)		0.093		5.4E-5		0.60
Min	0.234	171	0.234	375	0.234	138
Max	48400	15000	48400	55700	48400	9700
n (Samp)	1261	22	1261	20	1261	10
n (Patient)	450	22	450	20	450	10
sCr only						
Median	2780	2260	2780	3970	2780	3900
Average	3450	2670	3450	3650	3450	3740
Stdev	3260	2170	3260	2070	3260	2410
p (t-test)		0.50		0.90		0.86
Min	0.234	171	0.234	1090	0.234	963
Max	55700	6800	55700	5590	55700	6210
n (Samp)	1325	8	1325	4	1325	4
n (Patient) UO only	465	8	465	4	465	4
Median	2840	4560	2840	4560	2840	3280
Average	3410	6830	3410	8190	3410	3570
Stdev	2840	6750	2840	12900	2840	3190
p (t-test)		1.4E-5		3.0E-10		0.88
Min	0.234	416	0.234	375	0.234	346
Max	48400	26600	48400	55700	48400	9700
n (Samp)	1116	14	1116	19	1116	7
n (Patient)	364	14	364	19	364	7

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr	24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.59	0.42	0.70	0.55	0.58	0.62	0.40	0.57	0.48
SE	0.064	0.11	0.079	0.067	0.15	0.069	0.095	0.15	0.11
p	0.16	0.44	0.010	0.45	0.59	0.084	0.32	0.65	0.88
nCohort 1	1261	1325	1116	1261	1325	1116	1261	1325	1116
nCohort 2	22	8	14	20	4	19	10	4	7
Cutoff 1	2200	1340	3860	2030	2870	2080	1180	2560	1650
Sens 1	73%	75%	71%	70%	75%	74%	70%	75%	71%

TABLE 9-continued

Spec 1	39%	19%	67%	35%	52%	34%	16%	46%	24%
Cutoff 2	1340	623	2310	1740	1090	1740	957	957	1180
Sens 2	82%	88%	86%	80%	100%	84%	80%	100%	86%
Spec 2	20%	5%	39%	28%	14%	26%	11%	10%	14%
Cutoff 3	623	169	1490	1110	1090	1110	341	957	325
Sens 3	91%	100%	93%	90%	100%	95%	90%	100%	100%
Spec 3	5%	0%	21%	15%	14%	13%	1%	10%	1%
Cutoff 4	3940	4040	4060	3940	4040	4060	3940	4040	4060
Sens 4	55%	12%	57%	45%	50%	58%	20%	50%	29%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4850	4930	4910	4850	4930	4910	4850	4930	4910
Sens 5	41%	12%	43%	35%	50%	42%	20%	50%	29%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	6440	6520	6470	6440	6520	6470	6440	6520	6470
Sens 6	23%	12%	29%	20%	0%	32%	10%	0%	14%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0.33	2.0	0.50	1.5	0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0
p Value	0.17	0.57	0.57	0.53	na	0.48	1.0	1.0	1.0
95% CI of	0.066	0.18	0.045	0.42	na	0.40	0.14	0.062	0.14
OR Quart 2	1.6	22	5.5	5.4	na	7.1	7.1	16	7.1
OR Quart 3	0.83	2.0	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.33	1.0	0	0
p Value	0.76	0.57	0.42	0.42	1.0	0.34	1.0	na	na
95% CI of	0.25	0.18	0.37	0.090	0.062	0.034	0.14	na	na
OR Quart 3	2.7	22	11	2.7	16	3.2	7.1	na	na
OR Quart 4	1.5	3.0	3.6	2.0	2.0	3.4	2.0	2.0	1.5
p Value	0.44	0.34	0.12	0.26	0.57	0.065	0.42	0.57	0.65
95% CI of	0.53	0.31	0.73	0.60	0.18	0.93	0.37	0.18	0.25
OR Quart 4	4.3	29	17	6.8	22	13	11	22	9.1

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

		0 hr prior to AKI stage		prior to I stage		prior to stage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO	_					
Median	0.0285	0.0287	0.0285	0.0387	0.0285	0.0287
Average	2.55	7.89	2.55	9.52	2.55	0.125
Stdev	9.75	24.9	9.75	30.0	9.75	0.289
p (t-test)		0.017		0.0029		0.46
Min	0.0110	0.0139	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0205
Max	159	113	159	134	159	0.894
n (Samp)	1234	21	1234	20	1234	9
n (Patient)	456	21	456	20	456	9
sCr only	_					
Median	0.0285	0.0243	0.0285	1.57	0.0285	0.0287
Average	2.78	1.22	2.78	1.66	2.78	0.0300
Stdev	10.9	3.38	10.9	1.89	10.9	0.00805
p (t-test)		0.69		0.84		0.62
Min	0.0110	0.0139	0.0110	0.0227	0.0110	0.0217
Max	159	9.58	159	3.47	159	0.0410
n (Samp)	1294	8	1294	4	1294	4
n (Patient)	471	8	471	4	471	4
UO only						
Median	0.0285	0.0311	0.0285	0.0410	0.0285	0.0363
Average	2.57	13.5	2.57	15.4	2.57	0.153
Stdev	9.97	27.1	9.97	38.8	9.97	0.327
p (t-test)		8.5E-5		6.1E-7		0.52
Min	0.0110	0.0139	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0205
Max	159	79.6	159	134	159	0.894
n (Samp)	1092	14	1092	19	1092	7
n (Patient)	372	14	372	19	372	7
	0 hr prior to Al	KI stage	24 hr prior t	o AKI stage	48 hr pric	r to AKI stage

sCr or UO sCr only

0.61

0.068

0.12

1234

0.58

0.15

0.59

1294

UO only sCr or UO sCr only

0.61

0.069

0.098

1092

0.46 0.099

0.72

1234

UO only

0.48

0.11

0.85

1092

0.49 0.15

0.95

1294

sCr or UO sCr only

0.45

0.11

0.61

1294

0.57

0.066

0.30

1234

AUC SE

nCohort 1

UO only

0.59 0.081

0.25

1092

TABLE 9-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

nCohort 2	21	8	14	20	4	19	9	4	7
Cutoff 1	0.0247	0.0239	0.0285	0.0247	0.0239	0.0247	0.0217	0.0285	0.0237
Sens 1	76%	88%	71%	70%	75%	74%	78%	75%	71%
Spec 1	41%	33%	51%	41%	33%	40%	22%	52%	28%
Cutoff 2	0.0239	0.0239	0.0247	0.0217	0.0217	0.0205	0.0205	0.0205	0.0217
Sens 2	81%	88%	86%	80%	100%	89%	89%	100%	86%
Spec 2	37%	33%	40%	22%	22%	17%	19%	18%	21%
Cutoff 3	0.0239	0.0110	0.0110	0.0205	0.0217	0.0162	0.0162	0.0205	0.0162
Sens 3	90%	100%	100%	90%	100%	95%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	34%	3%	3%	19%	22%	14%	15%	18%	14%
Cutoff 4	0.0439	0.0410	0.0439	0.0439	0.0410	0.0439	0.0439	0.0410	0.0439
Sens 4	24%	12%	29%	45%	50%	47%	11%	0%	14%
Spec 4	74%	70%	74%	74%	70%	74%	74%	70%	74%
Cutoff 5	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597	0.0597
Sens 5	24%	12%	29%	45%	50%	47%	11%	0%	14%
Spec 5	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%	80%	80%	81%
Cutoff 6	5.80	5.86	5.80	5.80	5.86	5.80	5.80	5.86	5.80
Sens 6	19%	12%	29%	15%	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	3.0	1.0	1.00	0.39	1.00	0.20	4.0	>3.0	3.0
p Value	0.18	1.00	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.14	0.21	< 0.34	0.34
95% CI of	0.61	0.062	0.14	0.076	0.062	0.023	0.45	>0.31	0.31
OR Quart 2	15	16	7.1	2.1	16	1.7	36	na	29
OR Quart 3	4.1	5.1	3.0	0.80	0	0.79	2.0	>0	1.0
p Value	0.078	0.14	0.18	0.74	na	0.73	0.57	<na< td=""><td>1.0</td></na<>	1.0
95% CI of	0.86	0.59	0.61	0.21	na	0.21	0.18	>na	0.062
OR Quart 3	19	44	15	3.0	na	3.0	22	na	16
OR Quart 4	2.5	1.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.8	2.0	>1.0	2.0
p Value	0.27	1.00	0.42	0.29	0.57	0.29	0.57	<1.00	0.57
95% CI of	0.48	0.062	0.36	0.60	0.18	0.60	0.18	>0.063	0.18
OR Quart 4	13	16	11	5.5	22	5.5	22	na	22

#### Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	0 hr prior to	AKI stage	24 hr prior to	AKI stage
sCr or UO	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.000533	0.000146	0.000533	0.000165
Average	0.0162	0.000146	0.0162	0.00319
Stdev	0.0567	2.76E-5	0.0567	0.00825
p (t-test)		0.69		0.52
Min	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126	0.000165
Max	0.671	0.000165	0.671	0.0236
n (Samp)	247	2	247	8
n (Patient)	141	2	141	8

24	hr	prior	to	AKI	stage	

	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr only			
Median	0.000533	0.000165	
Average	0.0159	0.000288	
Stdev	0.0562	0.000213	
p (t-test)		0.63	
Min	0.000126	0.000165	
Max	0.671	0.000533	
n (Samp)	252	3	
n (Patient)	145	3	
UO only			
Median	0.000533	0.000165	
Average	0.0141	0.000239	
Stdev	0.0390	0.000165	
p (t-test)		0.43	
Min	0.000126	0.000165	
Max	0.371	0.000533	
n (Samp)	233	5	
n (Patient)	128	5	

#### TABLE 9-continued

Comparison of marker levels in urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

	0 h	r prior to AKI st	age	24 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.13	nd	nd	0.38	0.33	0.28
SE	0.16	nd	nd	0.11	0.17	0.13
p	0.027	nd	nd	0.25	0.33	0.093
nCohort 1	247	nd	nd	247	252	233
nCohort 2	2	nd	nd	8	3	5
Cutoff 1	0	nd	nd	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Sens 1	100%	nd	nd	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	0%	nd	nd	11%	10%	12%
Cutoff 2	0	nd	nd	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Sens 2	100%	nd	nd	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	0%	nd	nd	11%	10%	12%
Cutoff 3	0	nd	nd	0.000126	0.000126	0.000126
Sens 3	100%	nd	nd	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	0%	nd	nd	11%	10%	12%
Cutoff 4	0.00247	nd	nd	0.00247	0.00237	0.00616
Sens 4	0%	nd	nd	12%	0%	0%
Spec 4	70%	nd	nd	70%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	0.0184	nd	nd	0.0184	0.0184	0.0190
Sens 5	0%	nd	nd	12%	0%	0%
Spec 5	80%	nd	nd	80%	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	0.0393	nd	nd	0.0393	0.0387	0.0387
Sens 6	0%	nd	nd	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	90%	nd	nd	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	>0	nd	nd	2.0	>0	>1.0
p Value	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>0.57</td><td><na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.98</td></na<></td></na<>	nd	nd	0.57	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.98</td></na<>	< 0.98
95% CI of	>na	nd	nd	0.18	>na	>0.063
OR Quart 2	na	nd	nd	23	na	na
OR Quart 3	>0	nd	nd	5.3	>3.1	>0
p Value	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td>nd</td><td>0.13</td><td>&lt; 0.33</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	nd	0.13	< 0.33	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	>na	nd	nd	0.61	>0.32	>na
OR Quart 3	na	nd	nd	47	na	na
OR Quart 4	>2.1	nd	nd	0	>0	>4.4
p Value	< 0.55	nd	nd	na	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.19</td></na<>	< 0.19
95% CI of	>0.19	nd	nd	na	>na	>0.47
OR Quart 4	na	nd	nd	na	na	na

# TABLE 10

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

## Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

	24 hr prior to AK	I stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median	905	1560	
Average	1560	1560	
Stdev	2100	1020	
p (t-test)		1.00	
Min	0.288	840	
Max	10700	2280	
n (Samp)	129	2	
n (Patient)	106	2	
UO only			
Median	929	1560	
Average	1550	1560	
Stdev	2080	1020	
p (t-test)		1.00	
Min	0.288	840	

TABLE 10-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Max	10700	2280
n (Samp)	113	2
n (Patient)	90	2

	24 hr prior to AKI stage					
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only			
AUC	0.64	nd	0.64			
SE	0.21	nd	0.21			
p	0.51	nd	0.52			
nCohort 1	129	nd	113			
nCohort 2	2	nd	2			
Cutoff 1	837	nd	837			
Sens 1	100%	nd	100%			
Spec 1	49%	nd	49%			
Cutoff 2	837	nd	837			
Sens 2	100%	nd	100%			
Spec 2	49%	nd	49%			
Cutoff 3	837	nd	837			
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%			
Spec 3	49%	nd	49%			
Cutoff 4	1560	nd	1560			
Sens 4	50%	nd	50%			
Spec 4	71%	nd	71%			
Cutoff 5	2550	nd	2550			
Sens 5	0%	nd	0%			
Spec 5	81%	nd	81%			
Cutoff 6	3630	nd	3540			
Sens 6	0%	nd	0%			
Spec 6	91%	nd	90%			
OR Quart 2	>1.0	nd	>1.0			
p Value	<1.0	nd	<1.0			
95% CI of	>0.060	nd	>0.060			
OR Quart 2	na	nd	na			
OR Quart 3	>0	nd	>0			
p Value	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>			
95% CI of	>na	nd	>na			
OR Quart 3	na	nd	na			
OR Quart 4	>1.0	nd	>1.0			
p Value	<1.0	nd nd	<1.0			
95% CI of	>0.060	nd nd	>0.060			
		nd nd				
OR Quart 4	na	IIG	na			

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage		rior to tage
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0484	0.0490	0.0484	0.0644	0.0484	0.0214
Average	0.521	0.0490	0.521	0.0732	0.521	0.0337
Stdev	2.80	0.0562	2.80	0.0681	2.80	0.0410
p (t-test)		0.81		0.75		0.76
Min	9.84E-5	0.00927	9.84E-5	0.000211	9.84E-5	0.000211
Max	21.0	0.0888	21.0	0.164	21.0	0.0795
n (Samp)	229	2	229	4	229	3
n (Patient) UO only	148	2	148	4	148	3
	<del>_</del>					
Median	nd	nd	0.0520	0.0319	0.0520	0.0108
Average	nd	nd	0.336	0.0570	0.336	0.0108
Stdev	nd	nd	2.14	0.0752	2.14	0.0150
p (t-test)	nd	nd		0.80		0.83
Min	nd	nd	0.000172	0.000211	0.000172	0.000211
Max	nd	nd	21.0	0.164	21.0	0.0214
n (Samp)	nd	nd	196	4	196	2
n (Patient)	nd	nd	124	4	124	2

## TABLE 10-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			24 hr prior to AKI stage			48 hr prior to AKI stage		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.46	nd	nd	0.56	nd	0.39	0.33	nd	0.11
SE	0.21	nd	nd	0.15	nd	0.15	0.17	nd	0.15
p	0.83	nd	nd	0.71	nd	0.47	0.32	nd	0.010
nCohort 1	229	$_{ m nd}$	$_{ m nd}$	229	nd	196	229	nd	196
nCohort 2	2	nd	nd	4	nd	4	3	nd	2
Cutoff 1	0.00767	$_{ m nd}$	$_{ m nd}$	0.0535	nd	0.00497	0.000208	nd	0.000208
Sens 1	100%	nd	nd	75%	nd	75%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 1	10%	nd	nd	55%	nd	9%	3%	nd	3%
Cutoff 2	0.00767	nd	nd	0.000208	nd	0.000208	0.000208	nd	0.000208
Sens 2	100%	nd	nd	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	10%	nd	nd	3%	nd	3%	3%	nd	3%
Cutoff 3	0.00767	nd	nd	0.000208	nd	0.000208	0.000208	nd	0.000208
Sens 3	100%	nd	nd	100%	nd	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	10%	nd	nd	3%	nd	3%	3%	nd	3%
Cutoff 4	0.0699	nd	nd	0.0699	nd	0.0769	0.0699	nd	0.0769
Sens 4	50%	nd	nd	50%	nd	25%	33%	nd	0%
Spec 4	70%	nd	nd	70%	nd	70%	70%	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	0.0888	nd	nd	0.0888	nd	0.0888	0.0888	nd	0.0888
Sens 5	0%	nd	nd	25%	nd	25%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	nd	nd	81%	nd	81%	81%	nd	81%
Cutoff 6	0.135	nd	nd	0.135	nd	0.135	0.135	nd	0.135
Sens 6	0%	nd	nd	25%	nd	25%	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	90%	nd	nd	90%	nd	90%	90%	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	0	nd	nd	0	nd	1.0	>1.0	nd	>0
p Value	na	nd	nd	na	nd	1.0	<0.99	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	na	nd	nd	na	nd	0.061	>0.062	nd	>na
OR Quart 2	na	nd	nd	na	nd	16	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	0	nd	nd	2.0	nd	0	>0	nd	>0
p Value	na	nd	nd	0.57	nd	na	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	na	nd	nd	0.18	nd	na	>na	nd	>na
OR Quart 3	na	nd	nd	23	nd	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 4	1.0	nd	nd	0.98	nd	2.0	>2.1	nd	>2.1
p Value	0.99	nd	nd	0.99	nd	0.57	< 0.56	nd	<0.54
95% CI of	0.062	nd	nd	0.060	nd	0.18	>0.18	nd	>0.19
OR Quart 4	17	nd	nd	16	nd	23	na	nd	na

Maural	0011	adhacian	molecule	1

	0 hr prior to AKI stage			prior to stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage	
sCr or UO	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	181000	111000	181000	166000	181000	162000
Average	186000	154000	186000	177000	186000	163000
Stdev	72800	88700	72800	50000	72800	22700
p (t-test)		0.45		0.76		0.52
Min	190	96200	190	125000	190	140000
Max	520000	256000	520000	245000	520000	187000
n (Samp)	369	3	369	6	369	4
n (Patient)	201	3	201	6	201	4

0.1		
Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
181000	154000	
186000	154000	
72400	19300	
)	0.53	
190	140000	
520000	167000	
376	2	
	2	
	186000 72400 ) 190 520000 0) 376	186000 154000 72400 19300 0.53 190 140000 520000 167000 0) 376 2

TABLE 10-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

		prior to stage	48 hr prior to AKI stage		
UO only	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	180000	165000	180000	162000	
Average	183000	164000	183000	162000	
Stdev	70100	51300	70100	20600	
p (t-test)		0.55		0.68	
Min	190	111000	190	147000	
Max	520000	245000	520000	176000	
n (Samp)	339	5	339	2	
n (Patient)	178	5	178	2	

	0 hr	prior to AK	I stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 h	r prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.35	nd	nd	0.47	nd	0.40	0.38	0.32	0.39
SE	0.17	$_{ m nd}$	nd	0.12	nd	0.14	0.15	0.21	0.21
p	0.39	nd	nd	0.79	nd	0.48	0.43	0.40	0.60
nCohort 1	369	nd	nd	369	nd	339	369	376	339
nCohort 2	3	nd	nd	6	nd	5	4	2	2
Cutoff 1	95600	$_{ m nd}$	nd	131000	nd	130000	147000	140000	147000
Sens 1	100%	nd	nd	83%	nd	80%	75%	100%	100%
Spec 1	7%	nd	nd	20%	nd	22%	28%	24%	30%
Cutoff 2	95600	$_{ m nd}$	nd	131000	nd	130000	140000	140000	147000
Sens 2	100%	nd	nd	83%	nd	80%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	7%	nd	nd	20%	nd	22%	24%	24%	30%
Cutoff 3	95600	nd	nd	125000	nd	109000	140000	140000	147000
Sens 3	100%	nd	nd	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	7%	nd	nd	16%	nd	12%	24%	24%	30%
Cutoff 4	208000	nd	nd	208000	nd	207000	208000	208000	207000
Sens 4	33%	nd	nd	33%	nd	20%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 4	70%	nd	nd	70%	nd	70%	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	229000	nd	nd	229000	nd	228000	229000	229000	228000
Sens 5	33%	nd	nd	33%	nd	20%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 5	80%	nd	nd	80%	nd	80%	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	268000	nd	nd	268000	nd	262000	268000	266000	262000
Sens 6	0%	nd	nd	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	0%
Spec 6	90%	nd	nd	90%	nd	90%	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	0	nd	nd	0	nd	0	>1.0	>0	>0
p Value	na	nd	nd	na	nd	na	< 0.99	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	na	nd	nd	na	nd	na	>0.063	>na	>na
OR Quart 2	na	nd	nd	na	nd	na	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	0	nd	nd	1.0	nd	2.0	>2.1	>1.0	>2.1
p Value	na	nd	nd	1.0	nd	0.57	< 0.56	<0.99	< 0.55
95% CI of	na	$_{ m nd}$	nd	0.14	nd	0.18	>0.18	>0.062	>0.18
OR Quart 3	na	nd	nd	7.3	nd	23	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	2.0	$_{ m nd}$	nd	1.0	nd	2.0	>1.0	>1.0	>0
p Value	0.57	$_{ m nd}$	nd	0.99	nd	0.57	< 0.99	< 0.99	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	0.18	$_{ m nd}$	nd	0.14	nd	0.18	>0.063	>0.063	>na
OR Quart 4	23	nd	nd	7.3	nd	23	na	na	na

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

	0 hr prior to AKI stage		24 hr prior to AKI stage		48 hr prior to AKI stage	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
sCr or UO						
Median	0.0313	0.0313	0.0313	0.0271	0.0313	0.0313
Average	7.00	1.03	7.00	0.615	7.00	3.95
Stdev	29.0	2.23	29.0	1.44	29.0	7.84
p (t-test)		0.65		0.59		0.83
Min	0.0162	0.0228	0.0162	0.0228	0.0162	0.0162
Max	292	5.02	292	3.56	292	15.7
n (Samp)	290	5	290	6	290	4
n (Patient)	174	5	174	6	174	4
sCr only						
Median	0.0313	0.0271	0.0313	0.0271	nd	nd
Average	6.84	0.0271	6.84	0.0271	nd	nd

TABLE 10-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

Stdev p (t-test)	28.6	0.00598 0.74	28.6	0.00598 0.74	nd nd	nd nd
Min	0.0162	0.0228	0.0162	0.0228	nd	nd
Max	292	0.0313	292	0.0313	nd	nd
n (Samp)	300	2	300	2	nd	nd
n (Patient)	180	2	180	2	nd	nd
UO only						
Median	nd	nd	0.0313	0.0228	0.0313	0.0313
Average	nd	nd	6.81	0.0262	6.81	0.0313
Stdev	nd	nd	29.7	0.00463	29.7	0
p (t-test)	nd	nd		0.61		0.75
Min	nd	nd	0.0162	0.0228	0.0162	0.0313
Max	nd	nd	292	0.0313	292	0.0313
n (Samp)	nd	nd	271	5	271	2
n (Patient)	nd	nd	158	5	158	2

	0 hr	prior to AK	stage	24 hr	prior to AKI	stage	48 hr	prior to AKI	stage
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.48	0.40	nd	0.45	0.40	0.37	0.48	nd	0.46
SE	0.13	0.21	nd	0.12	0.21	0.14	0.15	nd	0.21
p	0.89	0.62	nd	0.67	0.62	0.35	0.89	nd	0.86
nCohort 1	290	300	nd	290	300	271	290	nd	271
nCohort 2	5	2	nd	6	2	5	4	nd	2
Cutoff 1	0.0205	0.0205	nd	0.0205	0.0205	0.0205	0.0269	nd	0.0269
Sens 1	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	75%	nd	100%
Spec 1	23%	23%	nd	23%	23%	23%	42%	nd	41%
Cutoff 2	0.0205	0.0205	nd	0.0205	0.0205	0.0205	0	nd	0.0269
Sens 2	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 2	23%	23%	nd	23%	23%	23%	0%	nd	41%
Cutoff 3	0.0205	0.0205	nd	0.0205	0.0205	0.0205	0	nd	0.0269
Sens 3	100%	100%	nd	100%	100%	100%	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	23%	23%	nd	23%	23%	23%	0%	nd	41%
Cutoff 4	0.171	0.0943	nd	0.171	0.0943	0.0700	0.171	nd	0.0700
Sens 4	20%	0%	nd	17%	0%	0%	25%	nd	0%
Spec 4	70%	70%	nd	70%	70%	70%	70%	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	3.61	3.61	nd	3.61	3.61	3.32	3.61	nd	3.32
Sens 5	20%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	25%	nd	0%
Spec 5	81%	80%	nd	81%	80%	80%	81%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	14.0	14.0	nd	14.0	14.0	13.4	14.0	nd	13.4
Sens 6	0%	0%	nd	0%	0%	0%	25%	nd	0%
Spec 6	90%	90%	nd	90%	90%	90%	90%	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	0	>0	nd	0	>0	>0	0	nd	>0
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td>na</td><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	nd	na	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>na</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	na	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of	na	>na	nd	na	>na	>na	na	nd	>na
OR Quart 2	na	na	nd	na	na	na	na	nd	na
OR Quart 3	4.2	>2.1	nd	5.3	>2.1	>5.4	2.0	nd	>2.1
p Value	0.21	< 0.56	nd	0.13	< 0.56	< 0.13	0.57	nd	< 0.55
95% CI of	0.45	>0.18	nd	0.60	>0.18	>0.61	0.18	nd	>0.19
OR Quart 3	38	na	nd	46	na	na	23	nd	na
OR Quart 4	0	>0	nd	0	>0	>0	1.0	nd	>0
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>nd</td><td>na</td><td><na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>0.99</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	nd	na	<na< td=""><td><na< td=""><td>0.99</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<></td></na<>	<na< td=""><td>0.99</td><td>nd</td><td><na< td=""></na<></td></na<>	0.99	nd	<na< td=""></na<>
95% CI of			nd				0.062	nd	
OR Quart 4	na	>na	nd	na na	>na	>na	17	nd	>na na
OK Quan 4	na	na	ша	на	na	na	1 /	щ	на

Myeloid differentiation primary response protein MyD88

	24 hr prior to AKI stage		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
sCr or UO			
Median	0.000368	0.000368	
Average	0.00229	0.000350	
Stdev	0.0167	0.000118	
p (t-test)		0.84	
Min	0.000126	0.000224	
Max	0.194	0.000457	
n (Samp)	253	3	
n (Patient)	144	3	

#### TABLE 10-continued

Comparison of marker levels in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0, R, or I) and in EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects who progress to RIFLE stage F) at 0, 24 hours, and 48 hours prior to the subject reaching RIFLE stage I.

UO only			
Median	0.000245	0.000413	
Average	0.00239	0.000413	
Stdev	0.0171	6.30E-5	
p (t-test)		0.87	
Min	0.000126	0.000368	
Max	0.194	0.000457	
n (Samp)	240	2	
n (Patient)	129	2	

		24 hr prior to AKI stag	ge	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.53	nd	0.74	
SE	0.17	nd	0.20	
p	0.87	nd	0.23	
nCohort 1	253	nd	240	
nCohort 2	3	nd	2	
Cutoff 1	0.000126	nd	0.000296	
Sens 1	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 1	0%	nd	53%	
Cutoff 2	0.000126	nd	0.000296	
Sens 2	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 2	0%	nd	53%	
Cutoff 3	0.000126	nd	0.000296	
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%	
Spec 3	0%	nd	53%	
Cutoff 4	0.000368	nd	0.000368	
Sens 4	33%	nd	50%	
Spec 4	73%	nd	74%	
Cutoff 5	0.000457	nd	0.000457	
Sens 5	0%	nd	0%	
Spec 5	96%	nd	96%	
Cutoff 6	0.000457	nd	0.000457	
Sens 6	0%	nd	0%	
Spec 6	96%	nd	96%	
OR Quart 2	1.0	nd	>0	
p Value	1.0	nd	<na< td=""><td></td></na<>	
95% CI of	0.061	nd	>na	
OR Quart 2	16	nd	na	
OR Quart 3	0	nd	>2.1	
p Value	na	nd	<0.56	
95% CI of	na	nd	>0.18	
OR Quart 3	na	nd	na	
OR Quart 4	1.0	nd	>0	
p Value	1.0	nd	<na< td=""><td></td></na<>	
95% CI of	0.061	nd	>na	
OR Quart 4	16	nd	na	

## TABLE 11

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

	Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex							
	sCr or UO sCr only UO only							
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2		
Median	0.487	10.9	0.487	343	0.237	31.8		
Average	85.9	135	82.5	295	63.8	151		
Stdev	314	197	298	263	303	204		
p (t-test)		0.65		0.23		0.44		
Min	0.237	0.487	0.237	10.9	0.237	0.487		

**147**TABLE 11-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from

Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in
enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F
within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were
included in Cohort 2.

Max	1930	530	1930	530	1930	530
n (Samp)	49	9	55	3	41	8
n (Patient)	49	9	55	3	41	8

		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.79	0.90	0.82
SE	0.094	0.12	0.094
p	0.0019	7.3E-4	6.2E-4
nCohort 1	49	55	41
nCohort 2	9	3	8
Cutoff 1	0.237	3.84	0.237
Sens 1	100%	100%	100%
Spec 1	49%	82%	56%
Cutoff 2	0.237	3.84	0.237
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%
Spec 2	49%	82%	56%
Cutoff 3	0.237	3.84	0.237
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	49%	82%	56%
Cutoff 4	0.487	0.487	0.487
Sens 4	56%	100%	50%
Spec 4	82%	80%	83%
Cutoff 5	0.487	0.487	0.487
Sens 5	56%	100%	50%
Spec 5	82%	80%	83%
Cutoff 6	201	201	85.2
Sens 6	33%	67%	38%
Spec 6	92%	91%	90%
OR Quart 2	>2.2	>0	>1.1
p Value	< 0.55	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.95</td></na<>	< 0.95
95% CI of	>0.17	>na	>0.061
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>2.3	>0	>4.0
p Value	< 0.51	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.26</td></na<>	< 0.26
95% CI of	>0.19	>na	>0.35
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>7.0	>3.5	>5.3
p Value	< 0.097	< 0.30	< 0.16
95% CI of	>0.71	>0.32	>0.51
OR Quart 4	na	na	na

Heat shock 70 kDa protein 1

	sCr or UO		sCr	only	UO only	
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	257	1300	342	1510	225	1090
Average	437	3130	690	3320	449	3360
Stdev	457	4180	1660	3510	484	4460
p (t-test)		5.2E-5		0.015		1.0E-4
Min	0.297	250	0.297	1090	0.297	250
Max	1870	11800	11800	7360	1870	11800
n (Samp)	46	8	51	3	41	7
n (Patient)	46	8	51	3	41	7

_	At Enrollment			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.85	0.93	0.83	
SE	0.090	0.10	0.099	
р	1.2E-4	4.4E-5	9.2E-4	
nCohort 1	46	51	41	
nCohort 2	8	3	7	
Cutoff 1	755	1020	755	
Sens 1	75%	100%	71%	
Spec 1	80%	88%	80%	
Cutoff 2	408	1020	408	
Sens 2	88%	100%	86%	
Spec 2	61%	88%	61%	

149
TABLE 11-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from

Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in
enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F
within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were
included in Cohort 2.

Cutoff 3	225	1020	225
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%
Spec 3	50%	88%	51%
Cutoff 4	634	660	627
Sens 4	75%	100%	71%
Spec 4	72%	71%	71%
Cutoff 5	755	782	755
Sens 5	75%	100%	71%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	1020	1150	1020
Sens 6	62%	67%	57%
Spec 6	91%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	>1.0	>0	>1.1
p Value	<1.0	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.95</td></na<>	< 0.95
95% CI of	>0.056	>na	>0.061
OR Quart 2	na	na	na
OR Quart 3	>2.4	>0	>2.4
p Value	< 0.51	<na< td=""><td>&lt; 0.50</td></na<>	< 0.50
95% CI of	>0.19	>na	>0.19
OR Quart 3	na	na	na
OR Quart 4	>7.2	>3.5	>6.0
p Value	< 0.093	< 0.30	< 0.14
95% CI of	>0.72	>0.32	>0.56
OR Quart 4	na	na	na

## Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	sCr o	sCr or UO sCr only UO only		only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.0103	0.0197	0.0103	0.0197	0.0103	0.0292
Average	0.0179	0.0599	0.0245	0.0223	0.0174	0.0664
Stdev	0.0223	0.115	0.0515	0.0152	0.0233	0.122
p (t-test)		0.020		0.94		0.018
Min	0.000123	0.00132	0.000123	0.00862	0.000123	0.00132
Max	0.0976	0.365	0.365	0.0388	0.0976	0.365
n (Samp)	49	9	55	3	41	8
n (Patient)	49	9	55	3	41	8

		At Enrollment		
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.67	0.62	0.72	_
SE	0.11	0.18	0.11	
р	0.11	0.49	0.039	
nCohort 1	49	55	41	
nCohort 2	9	3	8	
Cutoff 1	0.00862	0.00454	0.00862	
Sens 1	78%	100%	88%	
Spec 1	41%	33%	46%	
Cutoff 2	0.00454	0.00454	0.00862	
Sens 2	89%	100%	88%	
Spec 2	35%	33%	46%	
Cutoff 3	0.000519	0.00454	0.000519	
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%	
Spec 3	24%	33%	29%	
Cutoff 4	0.0197	0.0211	0.0169	
Sens 4	44%	33%	62%	
Spec 4	71%	71%	71%	
Cutoff 5	0.0296	0.0339	0.0292	
Sens 5	44%	33%	50%	
Spec 5	82%	80%	80%	
Cutoff 6	0.0423	0.0436	0.0388	
Sens 6	22%	0%	38%	
Spec 6	92%	91%	90%	
OR Quart 2	3.2	>1.0	>1.1	
p Value	0.33	<1.0	< 0.95	
95% CI of	0.30	>0.057	>0.061	
OR Quart 2	36	na	na	
OR Quart 3	1.0	>1.1	>4.0	
p Value	1.0	< 0.96	< 0.26	
95% CI of	0.056	>0.061	>0.35	

151
TABLE 11-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from

Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in
enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F
within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were
included in Cohort 2.

OR Quart 3	18	na	na	
OR Quart 4	4.7	>1.0	>5.3	
p Value	0.19	<1.0	<0.16	
95% CI of	0.46	>0.057	>0.51	
OR Quart 4	49	na	na	

72 kDa type IV collagenase:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex

	sCr o	sCr or UO sCr only UO only		only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	36.4	527	57.4	527	46.9	561
Average	345	4960	853	5570	320	5560
Stdev	585	7200	2700	9040	551	7450
p (t-test)		5.4E-5		0.016		3.4E-5
Min	1.15	1.15	1.15	171	1.15	1.15
Max	2270	16000	16000	16000	2270	16000
n (Samp)	45	9	51	3	40	8
n (Patient)	45	9	51	3	40	8

_	At Enrollment				
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only		
AUC	0.67	0.78	0.68		
SE	0.11	0.16	0.11		
р	0.10	0.081	0.11		
nCohort 1	45	51	40		
nCohort 2	9	3	8		
Cutoff 1	158	158	234		
Sens 1	78%	100%	75%		
Spec 1	64%	61%	72%		
Cutoff 2	0	158	0		
Sens 2	100%	100%	100%		
Spec 2	0%	61%	0%		
Cutoff 3	0	158	0		
Sens 3	100%	100%	100%		
Spec 3	0%	61%	0%		
Cutoff 4	234	378	189		
Sens 4	67%	67%	75%		
Spec 4	71%	71%	70%		
Cutoff 5	656	660	419		
Sens 5	33%	33%	62%		
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%		
Cutoff 6	1380	1450	1120		
Sens 6	33%	33%	38%		
Spec 6	91%	90%	90%		
OR Quart 2	0	>0	0		
p Value	na	<na< td=""><td>na</td></na<>	na		
95% CI of	na	>na	na		
OR Quart 2	na	na	na		
OR Quart 3	1.6	>2.4	1.0		
p Value	0.62	< 0.51	1.0		
95% CI of	0.23	>0.19	0.12		
OR Quart3	12	na	8.6		
OR Quart 4	2.2	>1.0	2.5		
p Value	0.42	<1.0	0.35		
95% CI of	0.33	>0.056	0.36		
OR Quart 4	0.33 15	≥0.036 na	17		

Neural cell adhesion molecule 1

	sCr o	or UO	sCr	only	UO	only
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	2440	3710	2670	4860	2490	3900
Average Stdev	3050 2340	5520 7260	3340 3480	7980 8950	3120 2140	5680 7560
p (t-test) Min	6.83	5.1E-8 138	6.83	3.7E-7 171	173	4.7E-7 138

153
TABLE 11-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from

Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in
enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F
within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were
included in Cohort 2.

Max	22000	55700	55700	31700	15500	55700	
n (Samp)	380	91	448	19	297	79	
n (Patient)	380	91	448	19	297	79	

		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.65	0.68	0.64
SE	0.034	0.069	0.037
p	1.8E-5	0.011	1.2E-4
nCohort 1	380	448	297
nCohort 2	91	19	79
Cutoff 1	2670	2850	2670
Sens 1	70%	74%	71%
Spec 1	54%	55%	53%
Cutoff 2	2130	2200	2080
Sens 2	80%	84%	81%
Spec 2	42%	42%	39%
Cutoff 3	1210	1230	1110
Sens 3	90%	95%	91%
Spec 3	19%	19%	14%
Cutoff 4	3740	3910	3910
Sens 4	49%	53%	49%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	4550	4730	4750
Sens 5	34%	53%	34%
Spec 5	80%	80%	80%
Cutoff 6	5740	6280	6040
Sens 6	23%	32%	24%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	1.3	0.66	1.5
p Value	0.57	0.65	0.30
95% CI of	0.57	0.11	0.68
OR Quart2	2.7	4.0	3.5
OR Quart 3	2.5	1.3	2.6
p Value	0.013	0.71	0.017
95% CI of	1.2	0.29	1.2
OR Quart 3	5.1	6.1	5.7
OR Quart 4	3.2	3.5	3.2
p Value	0.0010	0.061	0.0030
95% CI of	1.6	0.94	1.5
OR Quart 4	6.5	13	6.9

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

	sCr or UO sCr only UO only		only			
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2
Median	0.0257	0.0269	0.0257	0.0271	0.0257	0.0285
Average	2.34	4.96	2.69	6.59	2.28	5.68
Stdev	9.11	19.8	11.1	25.2	9.37	21.4
p (t-test)		0.064		0.16		0.040
Min	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110	0.0139
Max	83.5	134	134	113	83.5	134
n (Samp)	370	89	435	20	291	76
n (Patient)	370	89	435	20	291	76

_	At Enrollment			
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only	
AUC	0.58	0.56	0.58	
SE	0.035	0.068	0.038	
p	0.015	0.37	0.024	
nCohort 1	370	435	291	
nCohort 2	89	20	76	
Cutoff 1	0.0239	0.0239	0.0239	
Sens 1	80%	70%	79%	
Spec 1	46%	44%	42%	
Cutoff 2	0.0237	0.0239	0.0237	
Sens 2	85%	85%	86%	
Spec 2	43%	42%	40%	

155
TABLE 11-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll urine samples collected from

Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in
enroll urine samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F
within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at RIFLE stage I or F were
included in Cohort 2.

Cutoff 3	0.0217	0.0217	0.0227
Sens 3	93%	90%	91%
Spec 3	34%	29%	35%
Cutoff 4	0.0439	0.0410	0.0439
Sens 4	21%	30%	22%
Spec 4	74%	70%	75%
Cutoff 5	0.0597	0.0597	0.0526
Sens 5	20%	30%	22%
Spec 5	82%	82%	81%
Cutoff 6	4.27	4.75	3.36
Sens 6	13%	10%	17%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	7.6	4.2	12
p Value	1.5E-5	0.074	1.1E-5
95% CI of	3.0	0.87	3.9
OR Quart 2	19	20	35
OR Quart 3	6.4	2.0	7.2
p Value	8.4E-5	0.42	4.6E-4
95% CI of	2.5	0.36	2.4
OR Quart 3	16	11	22
OR Quart 4	3.6	3.1	4.9
p Value	0.0094	0.17	0.0057
95% CI of	1.4	0.61	1.6
OR Quart 4	9.3	16	15

TABLE 12

Comparison of marker levels in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

Heat	shock	70	kDa.	protein	1

	sCr	or UO	sCr	only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	905	1080	nd	nd	949	1080	
Average	1300	1080	nd	nd	1200	1080	
Stdev	1610	642	nd	nd	1150	642	
p (t-test)		0.70	nd	nd		0.77	
Min	4.58	261	nd	nd	4.58	261	
Max	9150	2280	nd	nd	4430	2280	
n (Samp)	46	9	nd	nd	40	9	
n (Patient)	46	9	nd	nd	40	9	

_		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.56	nd	0.54
SE	0.11	nd	0.11
p	0.60	nd	0.73
nCohort 1	46	nd	40
nCohort 2	9	nd	9
Cutoff 1	705	nd	618
Sens 1	78%	nd	78%
Spec 1	48%	nd	45%
Cutoff 2	297	nd	297
Sens 2	89%	nd	89%
Spec 2	28%	nd	28%
Cutoff 3	252	nd	252
Sens 3	100%	nd	100%
Spec 3	24%	nd	22%
Cutoff 4	1370	nd	1370
Sens 4	33%	nd	33%
Spec 4	72%	nd	70%
Cutoff 5	1970	nd	1970
Sens 5	11%	nd	11%

157
TABLE 12-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

Spec 5	80%	nd	80%
Cutoff 6	3400	nd	3300
Sens 6	0%	nd	0%
Spec 6	91%	nd	90%
OR Quart 2	3.3	nd	3.7
p Value	0.33	nd	0.29
95% CI of	0.29	nd	0.32
OR Quart 2	36	nd	42
OR Quart 3	3.3	nd	3.7
p Value	0.33	nd	0.29
95% CI of	0.29	nd	0.32
OR Quart 3	36	nd	42
OR Quart 4	2.0	$_{ m nd}$	2.0
p Value	0.59	nd	0.59
95% CI of	0.16	nd	0.16
OR Quart 4	25	nd	25

Insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor

	sCr o	or UO	sCr	only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	0.0458	0.0656	0.0498	0.0283	0.0514	0.0619	
Average	0.465	1.16	0.412	3.91	0.540	0.0941	
Stdev	2.58	4.56	2.38	8.68	2.79	0.133	
p (t-test)		0.40		0.013		0.54	
Min	0.000208	0.000172	0.000172	0.00927	0.000208	0.000172	
Max	20.5	19.4	20.5	19.4	20.5	0.543	
n (Samp)	68	18	80	5	58	15	
n (Patient)	68 18		80 5		58	15	

_		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.57	0.43	0.53
SE	0.078	0.14	0.085
p	0.39	0.62	0.70
nCohort 1	68	80	58
nCohort 2	18	5	15
Cutoff 1	0.0373	0.0134	0.0373
Sens 1	72%	80%	73%
Spec 1	41%	12%	36%
Cutoff 2	0.0134	0.0134	0.0258
Sens 2	83%	80%	80%
Spec 2	12%	12%	26%
Cutoff 3	0.000208	0.00497	0.000208
Sens 3	94%	100%	93%
Spec 3	3%	9%	2%
Cutoff 4	0.0668	0.0766	0.0766
Sens 4	50%	20%	40%
Spec 4	71%	70%	71%
Cutoff 5	0.0839	0.0839	0.0839
Sens 5	33%	20%	33%
Spec 5	84%	80%	83%
Cutoff 6	0.139	0.139	0.167
Sens 6	17%	20%	7%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	0.94	1.0	1.4
p Value	0.94	0.97	0.67
95% CI of	0.20	0.061	0.27
OR Quart 2	4.4	18	7.5
OR Quart 3	1.0	1.0	1.0
p Value	1.0	0.97	1.0
95% CI of	0.21	0.061	0.17
OR Quart 3	4.7	18	5.8
OR Quart 4	1.6	2.2	1.8
p Value	0.53	0.53	0.48
95% CI of	0.38	0.19	0.36
OR Quart 4	6.7	26	8.9

159

TABLE 12-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

	Neural cell adhesion molecule 1												
	sCr	or UO	sC:	r only	UC	UO only							
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2							
Median	183000	162000	179000	147000	181000	162000							
Average	186000	154000	180000	158000	184000	152000							
Stdev	73200	64800	73400	55400	68800	65200							
p (t-test)		0.034		0.56		0.036							
Min	791	190	190	111000	791	190							
Max	494000	331000	494000	230000	461000	331000							
n (Samp)	111	28	134	4	100	26							
n (Patient)	111	28	134	4	100	26							

_		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.35	0.40	0.35
SE	0.061	0.15	0.064
p	0.018	0.52	0.018
nCohort 1	111	134	100
nCohort 2	28	4	26
Cutoff 1	111000	114000	109000
Sens 1	71%	75%	73%
Spec 1	13%	18%	12%
Cutoff 2	93300	109000	93300
Sens 2	82%	100%	81%
Spec 2	8%	15%	8%
Cutoff 3	79400	109000	79400
Sens 3	93%	100%	92%
Spec 3	5%	15%	5%
Cutoff 4	214000	208000	214000
Sens 4	14%	25%	12%
Spec 4	70%	70%	70%
Cutoff 5	229000	228000	229000
Sens 5	11%	25%	8%
Spec 5	80%	81%	80%
Cutoff 6	268000	265000	265000
Sens 6	4%	0%	4%
Spec 6	90%	90%	90%
OR Quart 2	1.3	0	1.9
p Value	0.72	na	0.43
95% CI of	0.32	na	0.40
OR Quart 2	5.3	na	8.6
OR Quart 3	2.3	1.0	3.2
p Value	0.21	1.0	0.11
95% CI of	0.62	0.060	0.77
OR Quart 3	8.5	17	14
OR Quart 4	3.7	2.1	4.6
p Value	0.042	0.55	0.033
95% CI of	1.0	0.18	1.1
OR Quart 4	13	25	19

Tumor necrosis factor ligand superfamily member 10

				•			
	sCr o	r UO	sCr o	only	UO only		
	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	
Median	0.0247	0.0276	0.0247	0.0313	0.0313	0.0276	
Average	10.2	2.74	8.59	7.70	11.1	1.20	
Stdev	28.2	9.26	25.9	16.7	29.3	3.76	
p (t-test)		0.19		0.93		0.12	
Min	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	
Max	172	44.8	172	44.8	172	15.7	
n (Samp)	85	26	103	7	78	22	
n (Patient)	85	26	103	7	78	22	

**161**TABLE 12-continued

Comparison of marker levels in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 1 (patients that did not progress beyond RIFLE stage 0 or R within 48 hrs) and in enroll EDTA samples collected from Cohort 2 (subjects reaching RIFLE stage I or F within 48 hrs). Enroll samples from patients already at stage I or F were included in Cohort 2.

_		At Enrollment	
	sCr or UO	sCr only	UO only
AUC	0.47	0.53	0.46
SE	0.065	0.11	0.071
p	0.70	0.82	0.60
nCohort 1	85	103	78
nCohort 2	26	7	22
Cutoff 1	0.0197	0.0197	0.0197
Sens 1	81%	86%	82%
Spec 1	21%	21%	21%
Cutoff 2	0.0197	0.0197	0.0197
Sens 2	81%	86%	82%
Spec 2	21%	21%	21%
Cutoff 3	0	0	0.0162
Sens 3	100%	100%	91%
Spec 3	0%	0%	9%
Cutoff 4	0.0317	0.0317	0.0700
Sens 4	19%	29%	18%
Spec 4	71%	73%	71%
Cutoff 5	2.48	1.46	4.64
Sens 5	12%	29%	9%
Spec 5	80%	81%	81%
Cutoff 6	33.1	25.8	43.5
Sens 6	4%	14%	0%
Spec 6	91%	90%	91%
OR Quart 2	2.8	2.0	2.9
p Value	0.12	0.58	0.17
95% CI of	0.76	0.17	0.64
OR Quart 2	11	23	13
OR Quart 3	2.4	2.1	1.8
p Value	0.20	0.56	0.44
95% CI of	0.63	0.18	0.39
OR Quart 3	9.2	24	8.7
OR Quart 4	1.4	2.0	2.9
p Value	0.67	0.58	0.17
95% CI of	0.32	0.17	0.64
OR Quart 4	5.7	23	13

While the invention has been described and exemplified in sufficient detail for those skilled in this art to make and use it, various alternatives, modifications, and improvements should be apparent without departing from the spirit and 45 scope of the invention. The examples provided herein are representative of preferred embodiments, are exemplary, and are not intended as limitations on the scope of the invention. Modifications therein and other uses will occur to 50 those skilled in the art. These modifications are encompassed within the spirit of the invention and are defined by the scope of the claims.

It will be readily apparent to a person skilled in the art that 55 varying substitutions and modifications may be made to the invention disclosed herein without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

All patents and publications mentioned in the specification are indicative of the levels of those of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains. All patents and publications are herein incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

The invention illustratively described herein suitably may be practiced in the absence of any element or elements, limitation or limitations which is not specifically disclosed herein. Thus, for example, in each instance herein any of the terms "comprising", "consisting essentially of" and "consisting of' may be replaced with either of the other two terms. The terms and expressions which have been employed are used as terms of description and not of limitation, and there is no intention that in the use of such terms and expressions of excluding any equivalents of the features shown and described or portions thereof, but it is recognized that various modifications are possible within the scope of the invention claimed. Thus, it should be understood that although the present invention has been specifically disclosed by preferred embodiments and optional features, modification and variation of the concepts herein disclosed may be resorted to by those skilled in the art, and that such modifications and variations are considered to be within the scope of this invention as defined by the appended claims.

Other embodiments are set forth within the following claims.

## SEQUENCE LISTING

<160	JN <	JMBEF	OF	SEQ	ID N	10s :	10								
<210	)> SE	EQ II	NO NO	1											
		ENGTH		1											
		RGAN1		Homo	sap	oiens	3								
< 400	)> SI	EQUEN	ICE :	1											
Met 1	Ala	Lys	Ala	Ala 5	Ala	Ile	Gly	Ile	Asp 10	Leu	Gly	Thr	Thr	Tyr 15	Ser
Cys	Val	Gly	Val 20	Phe	Gln	His	Gly	Lys 25	Val	Glu	Ile	Ile	Ala 30	Asn	Asp
Gln	Gly	Asn 35	Arg	Thr	Thr	Pro	Ser 40	Tyr	Val	Ala	Phe	Thr 45	Asp	Thr	Glu
Arg	Leu 50	Ile	Gly	Asp	Ala	Ala 55	Lys	Asn	Gln	Val	Ala 60	Leu	Asn	Pro	Gln
Asn 65	Thr	Val	Phe	Asp	Ala 70	Lys	Arg	Leu	Ile	Gly 75	Arg	Lys	Phe	Gly	Asp 80
Pro	Val	Val	Gln	Ser 85	Asp	Met	Lys	His	Trp 90	Pro	Phe	Gln	Val	Ile 95	Asn
Asp	Gly	Aap	100	Pro	ГÀа	Val	Gln	Val 105	Ser	Tyr	Lys	Gly	Glu 110	Thr	Lys
Ala	Phe	Tyr 115	Pro	Glu	Glu	Ile	Ser 120	Ser	Met	Val	Leu	Thr 125	Lys	Met	Lys
Glu	Ile 130	Ala	Glu	Ala	Tyr	Leu 135	Gly	Tyr	Pro	Val	Thr 140	Asn	Ala	Val	Ile
Thr 145	Val	Pro	Ala	Tyr	Phe 150	Asn	Asp	Ser	Gln	Arg 155	Gln	Ala	Thr	Lys	Asp 160
Ala	Gly	Val	Ile	Ala 165	Gly	Leu	Asn	Val	Leu 170	Arg	Ile	Ile	Asn	Glu 175	Pro
Thr	Ala	Ala	Ala 180	Ile	Ala	Tyr	Gly	Leu 185	Asp	Arg	Thr	Gly	Lys 190	Gly	Glu
Arg	Asn	Val 195	Leu	Ile	Phe	Asp	Leu 200	Gly	Gly	Gly	Thr	Phe 205	Asp	Val	Ser
Ile	Leu 210	Thr	Ile	Asp	Asp	Gly 215	Ile	Phe	Glu	Val	Lys 220	Ala	Thr	Ala	Gly
Asp 225	Thr	His	Leu	Gly	Gly 230	Glu	Asp	Phe	Asp	Asn 235	Arg	Leu	Val	Asn	His 240
Phe	Val	Glu	Glu	Phe 245	Lys	Arg	Lys	His	Lys 250	Lys	Asp	Ile	Ser	Gln 255	Asn
Lys	Arg	Ala	Val 260	Arg	Arg	Leu	Arg	Thr 265	Ala	Cys	Glu	Arg	Ala 270	Lys	Arg
Thr	Leu	Ser 275	Ser	Ser	Thr	Gln	Ala 280	Ser	Leu	Glu	Ile	Asp 285	Ser	Leu	Phe
Glu	Gly 290	Ile	Asp	Phe	Tyr	Thr 295	Ser	Ile	Thr	Arg	Ala 300	Arg	Phe	Glu	Glu
Leu 305	Cys	Ser	Asp	Leu	Phe 310	Arg	Ser	Thr	Leu	Glu 315	Pro	Val	Glu	Lys	Ala 320
Leu	Arg	Asp	Ala	Lys 325	Leu	Asp	Lys	Ala	Gln 330	Ile	His	Asp	Leu	Val 335	Leu
Val	Gly	Gly	Ser 340	Thr	Arg	Ile	Pro	Lys 345	Val	Gln	ГÀа	Leu	Leu 350	Gln	Asp
Phe	Phe	Asn 355	Gly	Arg	Asp	Leu	Asn 360	Lys	Ser	Ile	Asn	Pro 365	Asp	Glu	Ala

Val	Ala 370	Tyr	Gly	Ala	Ala	Val 375	Gln	Ala	Ala	Ile	Leu 380	Met	Gly	Asp	Lys
Ser 385	Glu	Asn	Val	Gln	Asp 390	Leu	Leu	Leu	Leu	Asp 395	Val	Ala	Pro	Leu	Ser 400
Leu	Gly	Leu	Glu	Thr 405	Ala	Gly	Gly	Val	Met 410	Thr	Ala	Leu	Ile	Lys 415	Arg
Asn	Ser	Thr	Ile 420	Pro	Thr	Lys	Gln	Thr 425	Gln	Ile	Phe	Thr	Thr 430	Tyr	Ser
Asp	Asn	Gln 435	Pro	Gly	Val	Leu	Ile 440	Gln	Val	Tyr	Glu	Gly 445	Glu	Arg	Ala
Met	Thr 450	Lys	Asp	Asn	Asn	Leu 455	Leu	Gly	Arg	Phe	Glu 460	Leu	Ser	Gly	Ile
Pro 465	Pro	Ala	Pro	Arg	Gly 470	Val	Pro	Gln	Ile	Glu 475	Val	Thr	Phe	Asp	Ile 480
Asp	Ala	Asn	Gly	Ile 485	Leu	Asn	Val	Thr	Ala 490	Thr	Asp	Lys	Ser	Thr 495	Gly
Lys	Ala	Asn	Lys 500	Ile	Thr	Ile	Thr	Asn 505	Asp	Lys	Gly	Arg	Leu 510	Ser	Lys
Glu	Glu	Ile 515	Glu	Arg	Met	Val	Gln 520	Glu	Ala	Glu	Lys	Tyr 525	Lys	Ala	Glu
Asp	Glu 530	Val	Gln	Arg	Glu	Arg 535	Val	Ser	Ala	Lys	Asn 540	Ala	Leu	Glu	Ser
Tyr 545	Ala	Phe	Asn	Met	550	Ser	Ala	Val	Glu	Asp 555	Glu	Gly	Leu	Lys	Gly 560
Lys	Ile	Ser	Glu	Ala 565	Asp	Lys	Lys	Lys	Val 570	Leu	Asp	Lys	Cys	Gln 575	Glu
Val	Ile	Ser	Trp 580	Leu	Asp	Ala	Asn	Thr 585	Leu	Ala	Glu	Lys	Asp 590	Glu	Phe
Glu	His	Lys 595	Arg	Lys	Glu	Leu	Glu 600	Gln	Val	Cys	Asn	Pro 605	Ile	Ile	Ser
Gly	Leu 610	Tyr	Gln	Gly	Ala	Gly 615	Gly	Pro	Gly	Pro	Gly 620	Gly	Phe	Gly	Ala
Gln 625	Gly	Pro	Lys	Gly	Gly 630	Ser	Gly	Ser	Gly	Pro 635	Thr	Ile	Glu	Glu	Val 640
Asp															
-210	)> SI	70 TI	NIO.	2											
	L> LI														
	2 > TY 3 > OF			Homo	sap	piens	3								
< 400	)> SI	EQUE	ICE :	2											
Met 1	Lys	Ser	Leu	Pro 5	Ile	Leu	Leu	Leu	Leu 10	СЛв	Val	Ala	Val	Сув 15	Ser
Ala	Tyr	Pro	Leu 20	Asp	Gly	Ala	Ala	Arg 25	Gly	Glu	Asp	Thr	Ser 30	Met	Asn
Leu	Val	Gln 35	Lys	Tyr	Leu	Glu	Asn 40	Tyr	Tyr	Asp	Leu	Lys 45	Lys	Asp	Val
Lys	Gln 50	Phe	Val	Arg	Arg	Lys 55	Asp	Ser	Gly	Pro	Val 60	Val	Lys	Lys	Ile
Arg 65	Glu	Met	Gln	ГЛа	Phe	Leu	Gly	Leu	Glu	Val 75	Thr	Gly	Lys	Leu	Asp 80
Ser	Asp	Thr	Leu	Glu 85	Val	Met	Arg	Lys	Pro 90	Arg	СЛа	Gly	Val	Pro 95	Asp

_															
Val	Gly	His	Phe 100	Arg	Thr	Phe	Pro	Gly 105	Ile	Pro	ГÀа	Trp	Arg 110	Lys	Thr
His	Leu	Thr 115	Tyr	Arg	Ile	Val	Asn 120	Tyr	Thr	Pro	Asp	Leu 125	Pro	ГЛа	Asp
Ala	Val 130	Asp	Ser	Ala	Val	Glu 135	Lys	Ala	Leu	Lys	Val 140	Trp	Glu	Glu	Val
Thr 145	Pro	Leu	Thr	Phe	Ser 150	Arg	Leu	Tyr	Glu	Gly 155	Glu	Ala	Asp	Ile	Met 160
Ile	Ser	Phe	Ala	Val 165	Arg	Glu	His	Gly	Asp 170	Phe	Tyr	Pro	Phe	Asp 175	Gly
Pro	Gly	Asn	Val 180	Leu	Ala	His	Ala	Tyr 185	Ala	Pro	Gly	Pro	Gly 190	Ile	Asn
Gly	Asp	Ala 195	His	Phe	Asp	Asp	Asp 200	Glu	Gln	Trp	Thr	Lуs 205	Asp	Thr	Thr
Gly	Thr 210	Asn	Leu	Phe	Leu	Val 215	Ala	Ala	His	Glu	Ile 220	Gly	His	Ser	Leu
Gly 225	Leu	Phe	His	Ser	Ala 230	Asn	Thr	Glu	Ala	Leu 235	Met	Tyr	Pro	Leu	Tyr 240
His	Ser	Leu	Thr	Asp 245	Leu	Thr	Arg	Phe	Arg 250	Leu	Ser	Gln	Asp	Asp 255	Ile
Asn	Gly	Ile	Gln 260	Ser	Leu	Tyr	Gly	Pro 265	Pro	Pro	Asp	Ser	Pro 270	Glu	Thr
Pro	Leu	Val 275	Pro	Thr	Glu	Pro	Val 280	Pro	Pro	Glu	Pro	Gly 285	Thr	Pro	Ala
Asn	Сув 290	Asp	Pro	Ala	Leu	Ser 295	Phe	Asp	Ala	Val	Ser 300	Thr	Leu	Arg	Gly
Glu 305	Ile	Leu	Ile	Phe	Lys 310	Asp	Arg	His	Phe	Trp 315	Arg	ГÀа	Ser	Leu	Arg 320
Lys	Leu	Glu	Pro	Glu 325	Leu	His	Leu	Ile	Ser 330	Ser	Phe	Trp	Pro	Ser 335	Leu
Pro	Ser	Gly	Val 340	Asp	Ala	Ala	Tyr	Glu 345	Val	Thr	Ser	Lys	Asp 350	Leu	Val
Phe	Ile	Phe 355	Lys	Gly	Asn	Gln	Phe 360	Trp	Ala	Ile	Arg	Gly 365	Asn	Glu	Val
Arg	Ala 370	Gly	Tyr	Pro	Arg	Gly 375	Ile	His	Thr	Leu	Gly 380	Phe	Pro	Pro	Thr
Val 385	Arg	Lys	Ile	Asp	Ala 390	Ala	Ile	Ser	Asp	Lys 395	Glu	ГÀа	Asn	Lys	Thr 400
Tyr	Phe	Phe	Val	Glu 405	Asp	Lys	Tyr	Trp	Arg 410	Phe	Asp	Glu	Lys	Arg 415	Asn
Ser	Met	Glu	Pro 420	Gly	Phe	Pro	Lys	Gln 425	Ile	Ala	Glu	Asp	Phe 430	Pro	Gly
Ile	Asp	Ser 435	Lys	Ile	Asp	Ala	Val 440	Phe	Glu	Glu	Phe	Gly 445	Phe	Phe	Tyr
Phe	Phe 450	Thr	Gly	Ser	Ser	Gln 455	Leu	Glu	Phe	Asp	Pro 460	Asn	Ala	Lys	Lys
Val 465	Thr	His	Thr	Leu	Lys 470	Ser	Asn	Ser	Trp	Leu 475	Asn	CÀa			
			D NO												

<sup>&</sup>lt;211> LENGTH: 660 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

< 400	)> SI	EQUEN	ICE :	3											
Met 1	Glu	Ala	Leu	Met 5	Ala	Arg	Gly	Ala	Leu 10	Thr	Gly	Pro	Leu	Arg 15	Ala
Leu	Сув	Leu	Leu 20	Gly	Сув	Leu	Leu	Ser 25	His	Ala	Ala	Ala	Ala 30	Pro	Ser
Pro	Ile	Ile 35	Lys	Phe	Pro	Gly	Asp 40	Val	Ala	Pro	ГÀа	Thr 45	Asp	Lys	Glu
Leu	Ala 50	Val	Gln	Tyr	Leu	Asn 55	Thr	Phe	Tyr	Gly	60 CÀa	Pro	Lys	Glu	Ser
62 CÀa	Asn	Leu	Phe	Val	Leu 70	Lys	Aap	Thr	Leu	Lys 75	ГÀа	Met	Gln	Lys	Phe 80
Phe	Gly	Leu	Pro	Gln 85	Thr	Gly	Aap	Leu	Asp	Gln	Asn	Thr	Ile	Glu 95	Thr
Met	Arg	Lys	Pro 100	Arg	Cys	Gly	Asn	Pro 105	Asp	Val	Ala	Asn	Tyr 110	Asn	Phe
Phe	Pro	Arg 115	Lys	Pro	Lys	Trp	Asp 120	Lys	Asn	Gln	Ile	Thr 125	Tyr	Arg	Ile
Ile	Gly 130	Tyr	Thr	Pro	Asp	Leu 135	Asp	Pro	Glu	Thr	Val 140	Asp	Asp	Ala	Phe
Ala 145	Arg	Ala	Phe	Gln	Val 150	Trp	Ser	Asp	Val	Thr 155	Pro	Leu	Arg	Phe	Ser 160
Arg	Ile	His	Asp	Gly 165	Glu	Ala	Aap	Ile	Met 170	Ile	Asn	Phe	Gly	Arg 175	Trp
Glu	His	Gly	Asp 180	Gly	Tyr	Pro	Phe	Asp 185	Gly	Lys	Asp	Gly	Leu 190	Leu	Ala
His	Ala	Phe 195	Ala	Pro	Gly	Thr	Gly 200	Val	Gly	Gly	Asp	Ser 205	His	Phe	Asp
Asp	Asp 210	Glu	Leu	Trp	Thr	Leu 215	Gly	Glu	Gly	Gln	Val 220	Val	Arg	Val	Lys
Tyr 225	Gly	Asn	Ala	Asp	Gly 230	Glu	Tyr	Cys	Lys	Phe 235	Pro	Phe	Leu	Phe	Asn 240
Gly	Lys	Glu	Tyr	Asn 245	Ser	Сув	Thr	Asp	Thr 250	Gly	Arg	Ser	Asp	Gly 255	Phe
Leu	Trp	Cys	Ser 260	Thr	Thr	Tyr	Asn	Phe 265	Glu	ГÀа	Asp	Gly	Lys 270	Tyr	Gly
Phe	Сув	Pro 275	His	Glu	Ala	Leu	Phe 280	Thr	Met	Gly	Gly	Asn 285	Ala	Glu	Gly
Gln	Pro 290	Cys	Lys	Phe	Pro	Phe 295	Arg	Phe	Gln	Gly	Thr 300	Ser	Tyr	Asp	Ser
Сув 305	Thr	Thr	Glu	Gly	Arg 310	Thr	Asp	Gly	Tyr	Arg 315	Trp	Cys	Gly	Thr	Thr 320
Glu	Asp	Tyr	Asp	Arg 325	Asp	Lys	Lys	Tyr	Gly 330	Phe	Cys	Pro	Glu	Thr 335	Ala
Met	Ser	Thr	Val 340	Gly	Gly	Asn	Ser	Glu 345	Gly	Ala	Pro	Cys	Val 350	Phe	Pro
Phe	Thr	Phe 355	Leu	Gly	Asn	Lys	Tyr 360	Glu	Ser	Cys	Thr	Ser 365	Ala	Gly	Arg
Ser	Asp 370	Gly	Lys	Met	Trp	Cys 375	Ala	Thr	Thr	Ala	Asn 380	Tyr	Asp	Asp	Asp
Arg 385	Lys	Trp	Gly	Phe	390	Pro	Asp	Gln	Gly	Tyr 395	Ser	Leu	Phe	Leu	Val 400
Ala	Ala	His	Glu	Phe 405	Gly	His	Ala	Met	Gly 410	Leu	Glu	His	Ser	Gln 415	Asp

Pro	Gly	Ala	Leu 420	Met	Ala	Pro	Ile	Tyr 425	Thr	Tyr	Thr	Lys	Asn 430	Phe	Arg
Leu	Ser	Gln 435	Asp	Asp	Ile	Lys	Gly 440	Ile	Gln	Glu	Leu	Tyr 445	Gly	Ala	Ser
Pro	Asp 450	Ile	Asp	Leu	Gly	Thr 455	Gly	Pro	Thr	Pro	Thr 460	Leu	Gly	Pro	Val
Thr 465	Pro	Glu	Ile	СЛа	Lys 470	Gln	Asp	Ile	Val	Phe 475	Asp	Gly	Ile	Ala	Gln 480
Ile	Arg	Gly	Glu	Ile 485	Phe	Phe	Phe	Lys	Asp 490	Arg	Phe	Ile	Trp	Arg 495	Thr
Val	Thr	Pro	Arg 500	Asp	Lys	Pro	Met	Gly 505	Pro	Leu	Leu	Val	Ala 510	Thr	Phe
Trp	Pro	Glu 515	Leu	Pro	Glu	ГЛа	Ile 520	Asp	Ala	Val	Tyr	Glu 525	Ala	Pro	Gln
Glu	Glu 530	Lys	Ala	Val	Phe	Phe 535	Ala	Gly	Asn	Glu	Tyr 540	Trp	Ile	Tyr	Ser
Ala 545	Ser	Thr	Leu	Glu	Arg 550	Gly	Tyr	Pro	Lys	Pro 555	Leu	Thr	Ser	Leu	Gly 560
Leu	Pro	Pro	Asp	Val 565	Gln	Arg	Val	Asp	Ala 570	Ala	Phe	Asn	Trp	Ser 575	Lys
Asn	Lys	Lys	Thr 580	Tyr	Ile	Phe	Ala	Gly 585	Asp	Lys	Phe	Trp	Arg 590	Tyr	Asn
Glu	Val	Lys 595	Lys	Lys	Met	Asp	Pro 600	Gly	Phe	Pro	Lys	Leu 605	Ile	Ala	Asp
Ala	Trp 610	Asn	Ala	Ile	Pro	Asp 615	Asn	Leu	Asp	Ala	Val 620	Val	Asp	Leu	Gln
Gly 625	Gly	Gly	His	Ser	Tyr 630	Phe	Phe	Lys	Gly	Ala 635	Tyr	Tyr	Leu	Lys	Leu 640
Glu	Asn	Gln	Ser	Leu 645	Lys	Ser	Val	Lys	Phe 650	Gly	Ser	Ile	Lys	Ser 655	Asp
Trp	Leu	Gly	Сув 660												
<210	)> SI	EQ II	OM C	4											
<212	1> LF 2> TY	PE:	PRT												
	3 > OF 0 > SE				sa <u>r</u>	piens	3								
					Arg	Thr	Leu	Arg	Leu	Ala	Leu	Gly	Leu	Leu	Leu
1				5					10					15	
Leu	Ala	Thr	Leu 20	Leu	Arg	Pro	Ala	Asp 25	Ala	Cys	Ser	Cys	Ser 30	Pro	Val
His	Pro	Gln 35	Gln	Ala	Phe	CÀa	Asn 40	Ala	Asp	Val	Val	Ile 45	Arg	Ala	Lys
Ala	Val 50	Ser	Glu	Lys	Glu	Val 55	Asp	Ser	Gly	Asn	Asp	Ile	Tyr	Gly	Asn
Pro 65	Ile	Lys	Arg	Ile	Gln 70	Tyr	Glu	Ile	Lys	Gln 75	Ile	Lys	Met	Phe	80 Tàa
Gly	Pro	Glu	Lys	Asp 85	Ile	Glu	Phe	Ile	Tyr 90	Thr	Ala	Pro	Ser	Ser 95	Ala
Val	Сув	Gly	Val 100	Ser	Leu	Asp	Val	Gly 105	Gly	Lys	Lys	Glu	Tyr 110	Leu	Ile
Ala					<b>01</b>		<b>61</b>						_		_
	Gly	Lys 115	Ala	GIu	GIY	Asp	120	гуз	Met	His	IIe	125	Leu	Cys	Asp

-continued

Phe Ile Val Pro Trp Asp Thr Leu Ser Thr Thr Gln Lys Lys Ser Leu 135 Asn His Arg Tyr Gln Met Gly Cys Glu Cys Lys Ile Thr Arg Cys Pro Met Ile Pro Cys Tyr Ile Ser Ser Pro Asp Glu Cys Leu Trp Met Asp Trp Val Thr Glu Lys Asn Ile Asn Gly His Gln Ala Lys Phe Phe Ala Cys Ile Lys Arg Ser Asp Gly Ser Cys Ala Trp Tyr Arg Gly Ala Ala 195 200 205 Pro Pro Lys Gln Glu Phe Leu Asp Ile Glu Asp Pro <210> SEQ ID NO 5 <211> LENGTH: 1367 <212> TYPE: PRT <213 > ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 5 Met Lys Ser Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Ser Pro Thr Ser Leu Trp Gly Leu 10 Leu Phe Leu Ser Ala Ala Leu Ser Leu Trp Pro Thr Ser Gly Glu Ile Cys Gly Pro Gly Ile Asp Ile Arg Asn Asp Tyr Gln Gln Leu Lys Arg Leu Glu Asn Cys Thr Val Ile Glu Gly Tyr Leu His Ile Leu Leu Ile Ser Lys Ala Glu Asp Tyr Arg Ser Tyr Arg Phe Pro Lys Leu Thr Val 65 70 75 80 Ile Thr Glu Tyr Leu Leu Leu Phe Arg Val Ala Gly Leu Glu Ser Leu 90 Gly Asp Leu Phe Pro Asn Leu Thr Val Ile Arg Gly Trp Lys Leu Phe Tyr Asn Tyr Ala Leu Val Ile Phe Glu Met Thr Asn Leu Lys Asp Ile Gly Leu Tyr Asn Leu Arg Asn Ile Thr Arg Gly Ala Ile Arg Ile Glu Lys Asn Ala Asp Leu Cys Tyr Leu Ser Thr Val Asp Trp Ser Leu Ile Leu Asp Ala Val Ser Asn Asn Tyr Ile Val Gly Asn Lys Pro Pro Lys Glu Cys Gly Asp Leu Cys Pro Gly Thr Met Glu Glu Lys Pro Met Cys Glu Lys Thr Thr Ile Asn Asn Glu Tyr Asn Tyr Arg Cys Trp Thr Thr 200 Asn Arg Cys Gln Lys Met Cys Pro Ser Thr Cys Gly Lys Arg Ala Cys 215 Thr Glu Asn Asn Glu Cys Cys His Pro Glu Cys Leu Gly Ser Cys Ser 235 Ala Pro Asp Asn Asp Thr Ala Cys Val Ala Cys Arg His Tyr Tyr 250 Ala Gly Val Cys Val Pro Ala Cys Pro Pro Asn Thr Tyr Arg Phe Glu 265 Gly Trp Arg Cys Val Asp Arg Asp Phe Cys Ala Asn Ile Leu Ser Ala 280

Glu	Ser 290	Ser	Asp	Ser	Glu	Gly 295	Phe	Val	Ile	His	Asp 300	Gly	Glu	Cha	Met
Gln 305	Glu	Сув	Pro	Ser	Gly 310	Phe	Ile	Arg	Asn	Gly 315	Ser	Gln	Ser	Met	Tyr 320
CÀa	Ile	Pro	СЛа	Glu 325	Gly	Pro	СЛа	Pro	330 Tàa	Val	СЛа	Glu	Glu	Glu 335	Lys
Lys	Thr	Lys	Thr 340	Ile	Asp	Ser	Val	Thr 345	Ser	Ala	Gln	Met	Leu 350	Gln	Gly
CAa	Thr	Ile 355	Phe	Lys	Gly	Asn	Leu 360	Leu	Ile	Asn	Ile	Arg 365	Arg	Gly	Asn
Asn	Ile 370	Ala	Ser	Glu	Leu	Glu 375	Asn	Phe	Met	Gly	Leu 380	Ile	Glu	Val	Val
Thr 385	Gly	Tyr	Val	Lys	Ile 390	Arg	His	Ser	His	Ala 395	Leu	Val	Ser	Leu	Ser 400
Phe	Leu	Lys	Asn	Leu 405	Arg	Leu	Ile	Leu	Gly 410	Glu	Glu	Gln	Leu	Glu 415	Gly
Asn	Tyr	Ser	Phe 420	Tyr	Val	Leu	Asp	Asn 425	Gln	Asn	Leu	Gln	Gln 430	Leu	Trp
Asp	Trp	Asp 435	His	Arg	Asn	Leu	Thr 440	Ile	ГЛа	Ala	Gly	Lys 445	Met	Tyr	Phe
Ala	Phe 450	Asn	Pro	ГÀа	Leu	Cys 455	Val	Ser	Glu	Ile	Tyr 460	Arg	Met	Glu	Glu
Val 465	Thr	Gly	Thr	Lys	Gly 470	Arg	Gln	Ser	Lys	Gly 475	Asp	Ile	Asn	Thr	Arg 480
Asn	Asn	Gly	Glu	Arg 485	Ala	Ser	СЛа	Glu	Ser 490	Asp	Val	Leu	His	Phe 495	Thr
Ser	Thr	Thr	Thr 500	Ser	ràa	Asn	Arg	Ile 505	Ile	Ile	Thr	Trp	His 510	Arg	Tyr
Arg	Pro	Pro 515	Asp	Tyr	Arg	Asp	Leu 520	Ile	Ser	Phe	Thr	Val 525	Tyr	Tyr	Lys
Glu	Ala 530	Pro	Phe	Lys	Asn	Val 535	Thr	Glu	Tyr	Asp	Gly 540	Gln	Asp	Ala	Cys
Gly 545	Ser	Asn	Ser	Trp	Asn 550	Met	Val	Asp	Val	Asp 555	Leu	Pro	Pro	Asn	Lys 560
Asp	Val	Glu	Pro	Gly 565	Ile	Leu	Leu	His	Gly 570	Leu	Lys	Pro	Trp	Thr 575	Gln
Tyr	Ala	Val	Tyr 580	Val	Lys	Ala	Val	Thr 585	Leu	Thr	Met	Val	Glu 590	Asn	Asp
His	Ile	Arg 595	Gly	Ala	Lys	Ser	Glu 600	Ile	Leu	Tyr	Ile	Arg 605	Thr	Asn	Ala
Ser	Val 610	Pro	Ser	Ile	Pro	Leu 615	Asp	Val	Leu	Ser	Ala 620	Ser	Asn	Ser	Ser
Ser 625	Gln	Leu	Ile	Val	Lys	Trp	Asn	Pro	Pro	Ser 635	Leu	Pro	Asn	Gly	Asn 640
Leu	Ser	Tyr	Tyr	Ile 645	Val	Arg	Trp	Gln	Arg 650	Gln	Pro	Gln	Asp	Gly 655	Tyr
Leu	Tyr	Arg	His 660	Asn	Tyr	Cys	Ser	Lys 665	Asp	Lys	Ile	Pro	Ile 670	Arg	Lys
Tyr	Ala	Asp 675	Gly	Thr	Ile	Asp	Ile 680	Glu	Glu	Val	Thr	Glu 685	Asn	Pro	Lys
Thr	Glu 690	Val	Сла	Gly	Gly	Glu 695	Lys	Gly	Pro	Сла	Cys 700	Ala	Сув	Pro	Lys

-cont	inued

Thr 705	Glu	Ala	Glu	Lys	Gln 710	Ala	Glu	ГÀв	Glu	Glu 715	Ala	Glu	Tyr	Arg	Lys 720
Val	Phe	Glu	Asn	Phe 725	Leu	His	Asn	Ser	Ile 730	Phe	Val	Pro	Arg	Pro 735	Glu
Arg	Lys	Arg	Arg 740	Asp	Val	Met	Gln	Val 745	Ala	Asn	Thr	Thr	Met 750	Ser	Ser
Arg	Ser	Arg 755	Asn	Thr	Thr	Ala	Ala 760	Asp	Thr	Tyr	Asn	Ile 765	Thr	Asp	Pro
Glu	Glu 770	Leu	Glu	Thr	Glu	Tyr 775	Pro	Phe	Phe	Glu	Ser 780	Arg	Val	Asp	Asn
Lys 785	Glu	Arg	Thr	Val	Ile 790	Ser	Asn	Leu	Arg	Pro 795	Phe	Thr	Leu	Tyr	Arg 800
Ile	Asp	Ile	His	Ser 805	CAa	Asn	His	Glu	Ala 810	Glu	ГÀа	Leu	Gly	Cys 815	Ser
Ala	Ser	Asn	Phe 820	Val	Phe	Ala	Arg	Thr 825	Met	Pro	Ala	Glu	Gly 830	Ala	Asp
Asp	Ile	Pro 835	Gly	Pro	Val	Thr	Trp 840	Glu	Pro	Arg	Pro	Glu 845	Asn	Ser	Ile
Phe	Leu 850	Tàa	Trp	Pro	Glu	Pro 855	Glu	Asn	Pro	Asn	Gly 860	Leu	Ile	Leu	Met
Tyr 865	Glu	Ile	Tàa	Tyr	Gly 870	Ser	Gln	Val	Glu	Asp 875	Gln	Arg	Glu	CÀa	Val 880
Ser	Arg	Gln	Glu	Tyr 885	Arg	Lys	Tyr	Gly	Gly 890	Ala	Lys	Leu	Asn	Arg 895	Leu
Asn	Pro	Gly	Asn 900	Tyr	Thr	Ala	Arg	Ile 905	Gln	Ala	Thr	Ser	Leu 910	Ser	Gly
Asn	Gly	Ser 915	Trp	Thr	Asp	Pro	Val 920	Phe	Phe	Tyr	Val	Gln 925	Ala	Lys	Thr
Gly	Tyr 930	Glu	Asn	Phe	Ile	His 935	Leu	Ile	Ile	Ala	Leu 940	Pro	Val	Ala	Val
Leu 945	Leu	Ile	Val	Gly	Gly 950	Leu	Val	Ile	Met	Leu 955	Tyr	Val	Phe	His	Arg 960
ГÀа	Arg	Asn	Asn	Ser 965	Arg	Leu	Gly	Asn	Gly 970	Val	Leu	Tyr	Ala	Ser 975	Val
Asn	Pro	Glu	Tyr 980	Phe	Ser	Ala	Ala	Asp 985	Val	Tyr	Val	Pro	Asp	Glu	Trp
Glu	Val	Ala 995	Arg	Glu	Lys	Ile	Thr		Se:	r Ar	g Glı	ı Le 10		ly G	ln Gly
Ser	Phe 1010	_	Met	: Val	l Tyr	Gl: 101		ly Va	al A	la L		ly 020	Val '	Val 1	ŗÀa
Asp	Glu 1025		Glu	ı Thi	Arg	y Va:		la I	le L	ys Tl		al . 035	Asn (	Glu A	Ala
Ala	Ser 1040		: Arg	g Glu	ı Arg	104		lu Pl	ne Le	eu A		lu . 050	Ala	Ser <sup>1</sup>	Val
Met	Lys 1055		ı Phe	e Asr	ı Cys	Hi:		is Va	al V	al A:	_	eu 065	Leu (	Gly <sup>7</sup>	/al
Val	Ser 1070		n Gly	glr Glr	n Pro	Th:		eu Va	al I	le M		lu 080	Leu I	Met '	[hr
Arg	Gly 1085		Leu	ı Lys	s Ser	Ty:		eu Ai	rg S	er L		rg 095	Pro (	Glu I	Met
Glu	Asn 1100		n Pro	Va]	l Leu	1 Ala		ro Pi	ro S	er L		∍r 110	Lys I	Met :	Ile

Gln	Met 1115	Ala	Gly	Glu	Ile	Ala 1120		Gly	Met	Ala	Tyr 1125	Leu	Asn	Ala
Asn	Lys 1130		Val	His	Arg	Asp 1135		Ala	Ala	Arg	Asn 1140	Cys	Met	Val
Ala	Glu 1145	_	Phe	Thr	Val	Lys 1150	Ile	Gly	Asp	Phe	Gly 1155	Met	Thr	Arg
Asp	Ile 1160		Glu	Thr	Asp	Tyr 1165		Arg	Lys	Gly	Gly 1170	Lys	Gly	Leu
Leu	Pro 1175	Val	Arg	Trp	Met	Ser 1180		Glu	Ser	Leu	Lys 1185	Asp	Gly	Val
Phe	Thr 1190		Tyr	Ser	Asp	Val 1195		Ser	Phe	Gly	Val 1200	Val	Leu	Trp
Glu	Ile 1205	Ala	Thr	Leu	Ala	Glu 1210	Gln	Pro	Tyr	Gln	Gly 1215	Leu	Ser	Asn
Glu	Gln 1220		Leu	Arg	Phe	Val 1225	Met	Glu	Gly	Gly	Leu 1230	Leu	Asp	Lys
Pro	Asp 1235		CAa	Pro	Asp	Met 1240		Phe	Glu	Leu	Met 1245	Arg	Met	CÀa
Trp	Gln 1250		Asn	Pro	Lys	Met 1255		Pro	Ser	Phe	Leu 1260	Glu	Ile	Ile
Ser	Ser 1265		Lys	Glu	Glu	Met 1270		Pro	Gly	Phe	Arg 1275	Glu	Val	Ser
Phe	Tyr 1280		Ser	Glu	Glu	Asn 1285	-	Leu	Pro	Glu	Pro 1290	Glu	Glu	Leu
Asp	Leu 1295		Pro	Glu	Asn	Met 1300		Ser	Val	Pro	Leu 1305	Asp	Pro	Ser
Ala	Ser 1310		Ser	Ser	Leu	Pro 1315	Leu	Pro	Asp	Arg	His 1320	Ser	Gly	His
Lys	Ala 1325		Asn	Gly	Pro	Gly 1330		Gly	Val	Leu	Val 1335	Leu	Arg	Ala
Ser	Phe 1340		Glu	Arg	Gln	Pro 1345		Ala	His	Met	Asn 1350	Gly	Gly	Arg
Lys	Asn 1355		Arg	Ala	Leu	Pro 1360		Pro	Gln	Ser	Ser 1365	Thr	Cys	
<212	0> SE0 L> LE1 2> TY1 3> OR0	NGTH PE: 1	: 29 PRT	6	sap:	iens								
< 400	)> SE	QUEN	CE:	6										
Met 1	Ala	Ala(	-	Gly : 5	Pro (	Gly A	la G	ly Se 10		la A	la Pro	Val	15	r Ser
Thr	Ser :		Leu 1 20	Pro :	Leu i	Ala A	la Le 2!		∍n Me	et Ai	rg Val	L Arg	g Arq	g Arg
Leu		Leu 1 35	Phe 1	Leu .	Asn '	Val A:	_	nr G	ln Va	al Al	la Ala 45	a Asp	Tr	) Thr
Ala	Leu i	Ala	Glu (	Glu 1		Asp Pl 55	ne G	lu Ty	⁄r L∈	eu G:		e Arg	g Glr	n Leu
Glu 65	Thr	Gln i	Alai	_	Pro ' 70	Thr G	ly A:	rg Le	eu Le 7!		sp Ala	a Tr <u>r</u>	Glı	n Gly 80
Arg	Pro (	Gly i		Ser ' 85	Val (	Gly A	rg L	eu Le 90		lu L∈	eu Lei	ı Thi	Ly:	5 Leu
Gly	Arg I	-	Asp '	Val :	Leu 1	Leu G		eu GI 05	Ly P:	ro Se	er Ile	e Glu 110		ı Asp

#### -continued

Cys Gln Lys Tyr Ile Leu Lys Gln Gln Glu Glu Glu Ala Glu Lys Pro 120 Leu Gln Val Ala Ala Val Asp Ser Ser Val Pro Arg Thr Ala Glu Leu Ala Gly Ile Thr Thr Leu Asp Asp Pro Leu Gly His Met Pro Glu Arg 155 Phe Asp Ala Phe Ile Cys Tyr Cys Pro Ser Asp Ile Gln Phe Val Gln Glu Met Ile Arg Gln Leu Glu Gln Thr Asn Tyr Arg Leu Lys Leu Cys Val Ser Asp Arg Asp Val Leu Pro Gly Thr Cys Val Trp Ser Ile Ala Ser Glu Leu Ile Glu Lys Arg Cys Arg Arg Met Val Val Val Ser Asp Asp Tyr Leu Gln Ser Lys Glu Cys Asp Phe Gln Thr Lys Phe Ala Leu Ser Leu Ser Pro Gly Ala His Gln Lys Arg Leu Ile Pro Ile Lys Tyr Lys Ala Met Lys Lys Glu Phe Pro Ser Ile Leu Arg Phe Ile Thr 265 Val Cys Asp Tyr Thr Asn Pro Cys Thr Lys Ser Trp Phe Trp Thr Arg 280 Leu Ala Lys Ala Leu Ser Leu Pro 290 <210> SEO ID NO 7 <211> LENGTH: 1304 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 7 Met Gln Leu Lys Ile Met Pro Lys Lys Lys Arg Leu Ser Ala Gly Arg Val Pro Leu Ile Leu Phe Leu Cys Gln Met Ile Ser Ala Leu Glu Val 25 Pro Leu Asp Pro Lys Leu Leu Glu Asp Leu Val Gln Pro Pro Thr Ile Thr Gln Gln Ser Pro Lys Asp Tyr Ile Ile Asp Pro Arg Glu Asn Ile Val Ile Gln Cys Glu Ala Lys Gly Lys Pro Pro Pro Ser Phe Ser Trp Thr Arg Asn Gly Thr His Phe Asp Ile Asp Lys Asp Pro Leu Val Thr Met Lys Pro Gly Thr Gly Thr Leu Ile Ile Asn Ile Met Ser Glu Gly Lys Ala Glu Thr Tyr Glu Gly Val Tyr Gln Cys Thr Ala Arg Asn Glu Arg Gly Ala Ala Val Ser Asn Asn Ile Val Val Arg Pro Ser Arg Ser 135 Pro Leu Trp Thr Lys Glu Lys Leu Glu Pro Ile Thr Leu Gln Ser Gly Gln Ser Leu Val Leu Pro Cys Arg Pro Pro Ile Gly Leu Pro Pro 170 Ile Ile Phe Trp Met Asp Asn Ser Phe Gln Arg Leu Pro Gln Ser Glu 185

Arg	Val	Ser 195	Gln	Gly	Leu	Asn	Gly 200	Asp	Leu	Tyr	Phe	Ser 205	Asn	Val	Leu
Pro	Glu 210	Asp	Thr	Arg	Glu	Asp 215	Tyr	Ile	CÀa	Tyr	Ala 220	Arg	Phe	Asn	His
Thr 225	Gln	Thr	Ile	Gln	Gln 230	Lys	Gln	Pro	Ile	Ser 235	Val	Lys	Val	Ile	Ser 240
Val	Asp	Glu	Leu	Asn 245	Asp	Thr	Ile	Ala	Ala 250	Asn	Leu	Ser	Asp	Thr 255	Glu
Phe	Tyr	Gly	Ala 260	Lys	Ser	Ser	Arg	Glu 265	Arg	Pro	Pro	Thr	Phe 270	Leu	Thr
Pro	Glu	Gly 275	Asn	Ala	Ser	Asn	Lys 280	Glu	Glu	Leu	Arg	Gly 285	Asn	Val	Leu
Ser	Leu 290	Glu	CÀa	Ile	Ala	Glu 295	Gly	Leu	Pro	Thr	Pro 300	Ile	Ile	Tyr	Trp
Ala 305	Lys	Glu	Asp	Gly	Met 310	Leu	Pro	Lys	Asn	Arg 315	Thr	Val	Tyr	Lys	Asn 320
Phe	Glu	Lys	Thr	Leu 325	Gln	Ile	Ile	His	Val 330	Ser	Glu	Ala	Asp	Ser 335	Gly
Asn	Tyr	Gln	Cys 340	Ile	Ala	ГÀа	Asn	Ala 345	Leu	Gly	Ala	Ile	His 350	His	Thr
Ile	Ser	Val 355	Arg	Val	Lys	Ala	Ala 360	Pro	Tyr	Trp	Ile	Thr 365	Ala	Pro	Gln
Asn	Leu 370	Val	Leu	Ser	Pro	Gly 375	Glu	Asp	Gly	Thr	Leu 380	Ile	Cys	Arg	Ala
Asn 385	Gly	Asn	Pro	Lys	Pro 390	Arg	Ile	Ser	Trp	Leu 395	Thr	Asn	Gly	Val	Pro 400
Ile	Glu	Ile	Ala	Pro 405	Asp	Asp	Pro	Ser	Arg 410	Lys	Ile	Asp	Gly	Asp 415	Thr
Ile	Ile	Phe	Ser 420	Asn	Val	Gln	Glu	Arg 425	Ser	Ser	Ala	Val	Tyr 430	Gln	Cys
Asn	Ala	Ser 435	Asn	Glu	Tyr	Gly	Tyr 440	Leu	Leu	Ala	Asn	Ala 445	Phe	Val	Asn
Val	Leu 450	Ala	Glu	Pro	Pro	Arg 455	Ile	Leu	Thr	Pro	Ala 460	Asn	Thr	Leu	Tyr
Gln 465	Val	Ile	Ala	Asn	Arg 470	Pro	Ala	Leu	Leu	Asp 475	Cys	Ala	Phe	Phe	Gly 480
Ser	Pro	Leu	Pro	Thr 485	Ile	Glu	Trp	Phe	Lys 490	Gly	Ala	Lys	Gly	Ser 495	Ala
Leu	His	Glu	Asp 500	Ile	Tyr	Val	Leu	His 505	Glu	Asn	Gly	Thr	Leu 510	Glu	Ile
Pro	Val	Ala 515	Gln	Lys	Asp	Ser	Thr 520	Gly	Thr	Tyr	Thr	Сув 525	Val	Ala	Arg
Asn	Lys	Leu	Gly	Met	Ala	535	Asn	Glu	Val	His	Leu 540	Glu	Ile	Lys	Asp
Pro 545	Thr	Trp	Ile	Val	550	Gln	Pro	Glu	Tyr	Ala 555	Val	Val	Gln	Arg	Gly 560
Ser	Met	Val	Ser	Phe 565	Glu	CÀa	Lys	Val	Lys 570	His	Asp	His	Thr	Leu 575	Ser
Leu	Thr	Val	Leu 580	Trp	Leu	Lys	Asp	Asn 585	Arg	Glu	Leu	Pro	Ser 590	Asp	Glu
Arg	Phe	Thr 595	Val	Asp	Lys	Asp	His 600	Leu	Val	Val	Ala	Asp 605	Val	Ser	Asp

-continued

Asp	Asp 610	Ser	Gly	Thr	Tyr	Thr 615	Cha	Val	Ala	Asn	Thr 620	Thr	Leu	Asp	Ser
Val 625	Ser	Ala	Ser	Ala	Val 630	Leu	Ser	Val	Val	Ala 635	Pro	Thr	Pro	Thr	Pro 640
Ala	Pro	Val	Tyr	Asp 645	Val	Pro	Asn	Pro	Pro 650	Phe	Asp	Leu	Glu	Leu 655	Thr
Asp	Gln	Leu	Asp 660	Lys	Ser	Val	Gln	Leu 665	Ser	Trp	Thr	Pro	Gly 670	Asp	Asp
Asn	Asn	Ser 675	Pro	Ile	Thr	Lys	Phe 680	Ile	Ile	Glu	Tyr	Glu 685	Asp	Ala	Met
His	Lys 690	Pro	Gly	Leu	Trp	His 695	His	Gln	Thr	Glu	Val 700	Ser	Gly	Thr	Gln
Thr 705	Thr	Ala	Gln	Leu	Lys 710	Leu	Ser	Pro	Tyr	Val 715	Asn	Tyr	Ser	Phe	Arg 720
Val	Met	Ala	Val	Asn 725	Ser	Ile	Gly	Lys	Ser 730	Leu	Pro	Ser	Glu	Ala 735	Ser
Glu	Gln	Tyr	Leu 740	Thr	Lys	Ala	Ser	Glu 745	Pro	Asp	Lys	Asn	Pro 750	Thr	Ala
Val	Glu	Gly 755	Leu	Gly	Ser	Glu	Pro 760	Asp	Asn	Leu	Val	Ile 765	Thr	Trp	Lys
Pro	Leu 770	Asn	Gly	Phe	Glu	Ser 775	Asn	Gly	Pro	Gly	Leu 780	Gln	Tyr	Lys	Val
Ser 785	Trp	Arg	Gln	ГЛа	Asp 790	Gly	Asp	Asp	Glu	Trp 795	Thr	Ser	Val	Val	Val 800
Ala	Asn	Val	Ser	Lys 805	Tyr	Ile	Val	Ser	Gly 810	Thr	Pro	Thr	Phe	Val 815	Pro
Tyr	Leu	Ile	Lys 820	Val	Gln	Ala	Leu	Asn 825	Asp	Met	Gly	Phe	Ala 830	Pro	Glu
Pro	Ala	Val 835	Val	Met	Gly	His	Ser 840	Gly	Glu	Asp	Leu	Pro 845	Met	Val	Ala
Pro	Gly 850	Asn	Val	Arg	Val	Asn 855	Val	Val	Asn	Ser	Thr 860	Leu	Ala	Glu	Val
His 865	Trp	Asp	Pro	Val	Pro 870	Leu	Lys	Ser	Ile	Arg 875	Gly	His	Leu	Gln	Gly 880
Tyr	Arg	Ile	Tyr	Tyr 885	Trp	Lys	Thr	Gln	Ser 890	Ser	Ser	Lys	Arg	Asn 895	Arg
Arg	His	Ile	Glu 900	Lys	Lys	Ile	Leu	Thr 905	Phe	Gln	Gly	Ser	Lys 910	Thr	His
Gly	Met	Leu 915	Pro	Gly	Leu	Glu	Pro 920	Phe	Ser	His	Tyr	Thr 925	Leu	Asn	Val
Arg	Val 930	Val	Asn	Gly	Lys	Gly 935	Glu	Gly	Pro	Ala	Ser 940	Pro	Asp	Arg	Val
Phe 945	Asn	Thr	Pro	Glu	Gly 950	Val	Pro	Ser	Ala	Pro 955	Ser	Ser	Leu	Lys	Ile 960
Val	Asn	Pro	Thr	Leu 965	Asp	Ser	Leu	Thr	Leu 970	Glu	Trp	Asp	Pro	Pro 975	Ser
His	Pro	Asn	Gly 980	Ile	Leu	Thr	Glu	Tyr 985	Thr	Leu	Lys	Tyr	Gln 990	Pro	Ile
Asn	Ser	Thr 995	His	Glu	Leu	Gly	Pro		ı Val	l Ası	) Le	ı Ly:		le Pi	ro Ala
Asn	Lys 1010		r Ar	g Trj	p Thi	r Lei 101		a Ya	sn Le	eu A≀		ne :	Ser T	Thr A	Arg

Tyr	Lys 1025		Tyr	Phe	Tyr	Ala 1030		Thr	Ser	Ala	Gly 1035	Ser	Gly	Ser
Gln	Ile 1040	Thr	Glu	Glu	Ala	Val 1045		Thr	Val	Asp	Glu 1050	Ala	Gly	Ile
Leu	Pro 1055		Asp	Val	Gly	Ala 1060	_	ГÀз	Val	Gln	Ala 1065	Val	Asn	Thr
Arg	Ile 1070		Asn	Leu	Thr	Ala 1075		Ala	Ala	Glu	Thr 1080		Ala	Asn
Ile	Ser 1085		Glu	Tyr	Glu	Gly 1090		Glu	His	Val	Asn 1095	Phe	Tyr	Val
Glu	Tyr 1100	_	Val	Ala	Gly	Ser 1105	_	Glu	Glu	Trp	Arg 1110	Lys	Glu	Ile
Val	Asn 1115	_	Ser	Arg	Ser	Phe 1120		Gly	Leu	ГÀа	Gly 1125	Leu	Met	Pro
Gly	Thr 1130		Tyr	Lys	Val	Arg 1135		Gly	Ala	Val	Gly 1140	Asp	Ser	Gly
Phe	Val 1145		Ser	Glu	Asp	Val 1150		Glu	Thr	Gly	Pro 1155	Ala	Met	Ala
Ser	Arg 1160		Val	Asp	Ile	Ala 1165		Gln	Gly	Trp	Phe 1170	Ile	Gly	Leu
Met	Cys 1175		Val	Ala	Leu	Leu 1180		Leu	Ile	Leu	Leu 1185	Ile	Val	СЛа
Phe	Ile 1190		Arg	Asn	Lys	Gly 1195		ГÀз	Tyr	Pro	Val 1200	_	Glu	Lys
Glu	Asp 1205		His	Ala	Asp	Pro 1210		Ile	Gln	Pro	Met 1215	_	Glu	Asp
Asp	Gly 1220		Phe	Gly	Glu	Tyr 1225		Asp	Ala	Glu	Asp 1230	His	Lys	Pro
Leu	Lys 1235		Gly	Ser	Arg	Thr 1240		Ser	Asp	Arg	Thr 1245	Val	Lys	Lys
Glu	Asp 1250		Asp	Asp	Ser	Leu 1255		Asp	Tyr	Gly	Glu 1260	Gly	Val	Asn
Gly	Gln 1265		Asn	Glu	Asp	Gly 1270		Phe	Ile	Gly	Gln 1275	Tyr	Ser	Gly
Lys	Lys 1280	Glu	Lys	Glu	Pro	Ala 1285		Gly	Asn	Glu	Ser 1290	Ser	Glu	Ala
Pro	Ser 1295	Pro	Val	Asn	Ala	Met 1300	Asn	Ser	Phe	Val				
<21: <21: <21:	0> SE( 1> LE: 2> TY: 3> OR(	NGTH PE: GANI	: 28: PRT SM: 1	1 Homo	sap:	iens								
	0> SE	_			77-7 /	al - a	ı a	l D	C	T.	(1)	. (1)	. Mla s	. Char
Met 1	AIA I	wet 1		Glu ' 5	val (	Gln G	ιγ G	ly P: 1		er Le	eu Gly	y Gli	1 Thi	: сув
Val	Leu		Val : 20	Ile :	Phe '	Thr Va	al Le 25		eu G	ln Se	er Le	1 Cys 30	₹ Val	. Ala
Val		Tyr ` 35	Val '	Tyr	Phe '	Thr As		lu L	eu Ly	ys G.	Ln Met 45	Gli	n Ası	) Lys
Tyr	Ser 50	Lys :	Ser (	Gly		Ala Cy 55	ys Pl	ne L	eu L	ys G: 60		o Yal	Sei	Tyr
Trp 65	Asp :	Pro 1	Asn I	-	Glu ( 70	Glu Se	er Me	et A	sn Se		co Cys	s Trj	Glr	n Val 80

Lys	Trp	Gln	Leu	Arg 85	Gln	Leu	Val	Arg	Lys 90	Met	Ile	Leu	Arg	Thr 95	Ser
Glu	Glu	Thr	Ile 100	Ser	Thr	Val	Gln	Glu 105	Lys	Gln	Gln	Asn	Ile 110	Ser	Pro
Leu	Val	Arg 115	Glu	Arg	Gly	Pro	Gln 120	Arg	Val	Ala	Ala	His 125	Ile	Thr	Gly
Thr	Arg 130	Gly	Arg	Ser	Asn	Thr 135	Leu	Ser	Ser	Pro	Asn 140	Ser	Lys	Asn	Glu
Lys 145	Ala	Leu	Gly	Arg	Lys 150	Ile	Asn	Ser	Trp	Glu 155	Ser	Ser	Arg	Ser	Gly 160
His	Ser	Phe	Leu	Ser 165	Asn	Leu	His	Leu	Arg 170	Asn	Gly	Glu	Leu	Val 175	Ile
His	Glu	Lys	Gly 180	Phe	Tyr	Tyr	Ile	Tyr 185	Ser	Gln	Thr	Tyr	Phe 190	Arg	Phe
Gln	Glu	Glu 195	Ile	Lys	Glu	Asn	Thr 200	Lys	Asn	Asp	Lys	Gln 205	Met	Val	Gln
Tyr	Ile 210	Tyr	Lys	Tyr	Thr	Ser 215	Tyr	Pro	Asp	Pro	Ile 220	Leu	Leu	Met	Lys
Ser 225	Ala	Arg	Asn	Ser	Cys 230	Trp	Ser	Lys	Asp	Ala 235	Glu	Tyr	Gly	Leu	Tyr 240
Ser	Ile	Tyr	Gln	Gly 245	Gly	Ile	Phe	Glu	Leu 250	Lys	Glu	Asn	Asp	Arg 255	Ile
Phe	Val	Ser	Val 260	Thr	Asn	Glu	His	Leu 265	Ile	Asp	Met	Asp	His 270	Glu	Ala
Ser	Phe		Gly	Ala	Phe	Leu	Val 280	Gly							
DCI		275													
<210 <211 <212	L> LE 2> TY	EQ II ENGTH (PE:	H: 41 PRT	L8	o sal	piens	3								
<210 <211 <212 <213	L> LE 2> TY 3> OF	EQ II ENGTH (PE:	H: 41 PRT [SM:	L8 Homo	o sal	piens	3								
<210 <211 <212 <213	L> LE 2> TY 3> OF 0> SE	EQ II ENGTH (PE: RGAN) EQUEN	H: 41 PRT (SM:	L8 Homo	sap Ser			Ile	Leu 10	Leu	Leu	Ala	Gly	Leu 15	Cys
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met	l> LE 2> TY 3> OF 0> SE Pro	EQ II ENGTH (PE: RGANI EQUEN	H: 41 PRT [SM: ICE:	Homo 9 Val 5	_	Trp	Gly		10					15	
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1	l> LE 2> TY 3> OF Pro Leu	EQ II ENGTH PE: RGANI EQUEN Ser Val	H: 41 PRT SM: NCE: Ser Pro 20	Homo  9  Val  5  Val	Ser	Trp Leu	Gly Ala	Glu 25	10 Asp	Pro	Gln	Gly	Asp 30	15 Ala	Ala
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 Cys	L> LE 2> TY 3> OF Pro Leu Lys	EQ II ENGTH (PE: RGAN) EQUEN Ser Val Thr 35	H: 41 PRT ISM: NCE: Ser Pro 20 Asp	Homo  9  Val  5  Val  Thr	Ser	Trp Leu His	Gly Ala His 40	Glu 25 Asp	10 Asp Gln	Pro Asp	Gln His	Gly Pro 45	Asp 30 Thr	15 Ala Phe	Ala Asn
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 Cys Gln	L> LE 2> TY 3> OF Pro Leu Lys Ile 50	EQ II ENGTH (PE: CGAN) Ser Val Thr 35	H: 41 PRT ISM: NCE: Ser Pro 20 Asp	Homo  y Val  Val  Thr	Ser Ser	Trp Leu His Ala 55	Gly Ala His 40 Glu	Glu 25 Asp Phe	10 Asp Gln Ala	Pro Asp Phe	Gln His Ser 60	Gly Pro 45 Leu	Asp 30 Thr	15 Ala Phe Arg	Ala Asn Gln
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 CCys Gln Lys Leu 65	Leu Lys Ile 50 Ala	EQ III ENGTH (PE: RGAN) SQUEN Ser Val Thr 35 Thr	PRT ISM: UCE: Ser Pro 20 Asp Pro Gln	Homo  9  Val  5  Val  Thr  Asn	Ser Ser Ser Leu	Trp Leu His Ala 55 Ser	Gly Ala His 40 Glu Thr	Glu 25 Asp Phe Asn	10 Asp Gln Ala Ile	Pro Asp Phe Phe 75	Gln His Ser 60 Phe	Gly Pro 45 Leu Ser	Asp 30 Thr Tyr	15 Ala Phe Arg Val	Ala Asn Gln Ser 80
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 Cys Gln Lys Leu 65	L> LE C> TY C> OF Pro Leu Lys Ile 50 Ala Ala	EQ III ENGTH (PE: RGAN) Ser Val Thr 35 Thr His	Pro 20 Asp Pro Gln Ala	Homo y Val Thr Asn Ser Phe 85	Ser Ser Leu Asn 70	Trp Leu His Ala 55 Ser	Gly Ala His 40 Glu Thr	Glu 25 Asp Phe Asn	10 Asp Gln Ala Ile Leu 90	Pro Asp Phe Phe 75 Gly	Gln His Ser 60 Phe	Gly Pro 45 Leu Ser	Asp 30 Thr Tyr Pro	15 Ala Phe Arg Val Asp 95	Ala Asn Gln Ser 80 Thr
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 Cys Gln Lys Leu 65 Ile His	L> LE TY S OF Pro Leu Lys Ile 50 Ala Ala Asp	GQ III ENGTH (PE: RGAN) Ser Val Thr 35 Thr His Glu	Pro Asp Pro Gln Ala Ile	Homo y Val 5 Val Thr Asn Ser Phe 85	Ser Ser Leu Asn 70	Trp Leu His Ala 55 Ser Met Gly	Gly Ala His 40 Glu Thr Leu Leu	Glu 25 Asp Phe Asn Ser Asn	10 Asp Gln Ala Ile Leu 90 Phe	Pro Asp Phe Phe 75 Gly Asn	Gln His Ser 60 Phe Thr	Gly Pro 45 Leu Ser Lys	Asp 30 Thr Tyr Pro Ala Glu 110	15 Ala Phe Arg Val Asp 95 Ile	Ala Asn Gln Ser 80 Thr
<210	L> LE2> TY L> OF Pro Leu Lys Ile 50 Ala Ala Asp	GQ III ENGTH PE: CGANI Ser Val Thr 35 Thr His Glu Gln 115	H: 41 PRT ISM: ISM: Ser Pro 20 Asp Pro Gln Ala Ile 100 Ile	Homo y Val Thr Asn Ser Phe 85 Leu His	Ser Ser Leu Asn 70 Ala	Trp Leu His Ala 55 Ser Met Gly	Gly Ala His 40 Glu Thr Leu Phe 120	Glu 25 Asp Phe Asn Ser Asn 105 Gln	10 Asp Gln Ala Ile Leu 90 Phe	Pro Asp Phe 75 Gly Asn Leu	Gln His Ser 60 Phe Thr Leu Leu	Gly Pro 45 Leu Ser Lys Thr Arg 125	Asp 30 Thr Tyr Pro Ala Glu 110 Thr	15 Ala Phe Arg Val Asp 95 Ile	Ala Asn Gln Ser 80 Thr Pro
<210 <211 <212 <213 <400 Met 1 Cys Gln Lys Leu 65 Ile His Glu Gln	L> LE2 TY S> OF Pro Leu Lys Tlee 50 Ala Ala Asp Ala Pro 130	EQ III ENGTH (PE: RGAN) Ser Val Thr 35 Thr His Glu Gln 115 Asp	H: 41 PRT ISM: ISM: Ser Pro 20 Asp Pro Gln Ala Ile 100 Ile Ser	Homo y Val Thr Asn Ser Phe 85 Leu His	Ser Ser Leu Asn 70 Ala Glu	Trp Leu His Ala 55 Ser Met Gly Gly Gln 135	Gly Ala His 40 Glu Thr Leu Phe 120 Leu	Glu 25 Asp Phe Asn Ser Asn 105 Gln	10 Asp Gln Ala Ile Leu 90 Phe Glu Thr	Pro Asp Phe 75 Gly Asn Leu Gly	Gln His Ser 60 Phe Thr Leu Leu Asn 140	Gly Pro 45 Leu Ser Lys Thr Arg 125 Gly	Asp 30 Thr Tyr Pro Ala Glu 110 Thr	15 Ala Phe Arg Val Asp 95 Ile Leu Phe	Ala Asn Gln Ser 80 Thr Pro Asn

Ala Lys	Lys	Gln 180	Ile	Asn	Asp	Tyr	Val 185	Glu	ГÀа	Gly	Thr	Gln 190	Gly	ГÀз
Ile Val	Asp 195	Leu	Val	Lys	Glu	Leu 200	Asp	Arg	Asp	Thr	Val 205	Phe	Ala	Leu
Val Asn 210	Tyr	Ile	Phe	Phe	Lys 215	Gly	Lys	Trp	Glu	Arg 220	Pro	Phe	Glu	Val
Lys Asp 225	Thr	Glu	Glu	Glu 230	Asp	Phe	His	Val	Asp 235	Gln	Val	Thr	Thr	Val 240
Lys Val	Pro	Met	Met 245	Lys	Arg	Leu	Gly	Met 250	Phe	Asn	Ile	Gln	His 255	СЛа
Lys Lys	Leu	Ser 260	Ser	Trp	Val	Leu	Leu 265	Met	Lys	Tyr	Leu	Gly 270	Asn	Ala
Thr Ala	Ile 275	Phe	Phe	Leu	Pro	Asp 280	Glu	Gly	Lys	Leu	Gln 285	His	Leu	Glu
Asn Glu 290	Leu	Thr	His	Asp	Ile 295	Ile	Thr	ГÀа	Phe	Leu 300	Glu	Asn	Glu	Asp
Arg Arg 305	Ser	Ala	Ser	Leu 310	His	Leu	Pro	ГÀа	Leu 315	Ser	Ile	Thr	Gly	Thr 320
Tyr Asp	Leu	Lys	Ser 325	Val	Leu	Gly	Gln	Leu 330	Gly	Ile	Thr	Lys	Val 335	Phe
Ser Asn	Gly	Ala 340	Asp	Leu	Ser	Gly	Val 345	Thr	Glu	Glu	Ala	Pro 350	Leu	Lys
Leu Ser	355 Lys	Ala	Val	His	Lys	Ala 360	Val	Leu	Thr	Ile	365	Glu	Lys	Gly
Thr Glu 370	Ala	Ala	Gly	Ala	Met 375	Phe	Leu	Glu	Ala	Ile 380	Pro	Met	Ser	Ile
Pro Pro 385	Glu	Val	ГÀа	Phe 390	Asn	Lys	Pro	Phe	Val 395	Phe	Leu	Met	Ile	Glu 400
Gln Asn	Thr	Lys	Ser 405	Pro	Leu	Phe	Met	Gly 410	Lys	Val	Val	Asn	Pro 415	Thr
Gln Lys														
<210> SI														
<211> LI <212> T	YPE:	PRT				-								
<213> OF				) sal	) Telli	j								
Met Thr				Arg	Leu	Ala	Cys	Leu	Phe	Leu	Ala	Cys	Val	Leu
1			5					10					15	
Pro Ala	Leu	Leu 20	Leu	Gly	Gly	Thr	Ala 25	Leu	Ala	Ser	Glu	Ile 30	Val	Gly
Gly Arg	Arg 35	Ala	Arg	Pro	His	Ala 40	Trp	Pro	Phe	Met	Val 45	Ser	Leu	Gln
Leu Arg 50	Gly	Gly	His	Phe	Сув 55	Gly	Ala	Thr	Leu	Ile 60	Ala	Pro	Asn	Phe
Val Met 65	Ser	Ala	Ala	His 70	Cys	Val	Ala	Asn	Val 75	Asn	Val	Arg	Ala	Val 80
Arg Val	Val	Leu	Gly 85	Ala	His	Asn	Leu	Ser 90	Arg	Arg	Glu	Pro	Thr 95	Arg
Gln Val	Phe	Ala 100	Val	Gln	Arg	Ile	Phe	Glu	Asn	Gly	Tyr	Asp 110	Pro	Val
Asn Leu	Leu 115	Asn	Asp	Ile	Val	Ile 120	Leu	Gln	Leu	Asn	Gly 125	Ser	Ala	Thr

#### -continued

_																						_
I		Asn 130	Ala	Asn	Val	Gln	Val 135	Ala	Gln	Leu	Pro	Ala 140	Gln	Gly	Arg	Arg						
Le 14		Gly	Asn	Gly	Val	Gln 150	CAa	Leu	Ala	Met	Gly 155	Trp	Gly	Leu	Leu	Gly 160						
Aı	g	Asn	Arg	Gly	Ile 165	Ala	Ser	Val	Leu	Gln 170	Glu	Leu	Asn	Val	Thr 175	Val						
Vá	al	Thr	Ser	Leu 180	Cys	Arg	Arg	Ser	Asn 185	Val	Сла	Thr	Leu	Val 190	Arg	Gly						
Aı	g	Gln	Ala 195	Gly	Val	Cys	Phe	Gly 200	Asp	Ser	Gly	Ser	Pro 205	Leu	Val	CÀa						
As		Gly 210	Leu	Ile	His	Gly	Ile 215	Ala	Ser	Phe	Val	Arg 220	Gly	Gly	СЛа	Ala						
Se 22		Gly	Leu	Tyr	Pro	230	Ala	Phe	Ala	Pro	Val 235	Ala	Gln	Phe	Val	Asn 240						
T	p	Ile	Asp	Ser	Ile 245	Ile	Gln	Arg	Ser	Glu 250	Asp	Asn	Pro	CÀa	Pro 255	His						
Pı	0.	Arg	Asp	Pro 260	Asp	Pro	Ala	Ser	Arg 265	Thr	His											

We claim:

1. A method for evaluating renal status in a subject, comprising:

performing one or more assays configured to detect Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, <sup>30</sup> on a body fluid sample obtained from the subject to provide an assay result;

correlating the assay result(s) to the renal status of the subject by introducing the body fluid sample obtained from the subject into an assay instrument which contacts all or a portion of the body fluid sample with a binding reagent which specifically binds for detection Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex, and (ii) generates an assay result indicative of binding of Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex to the binding reagent; and

correlating the assay result generated by the assay instrument to the renal status of the subject by using the assay result to assign the subject to a predetermined subpopulation of individuals having a known predisposition of 45 a future acute renal injury within 72 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained; and

treating the patient based on the predetermined subpopulation of individuals to which the patient is assigned, wherein the treatment comprises one or more of initiating renal replacement therapy, withdrawing delivery of compounds that are known to be damaging to the kidney, delaying or avoiding procedures that are known to be damaging to the kidney, and modifying diuretic administration.

- 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein said assay result comprises a measured concentration of Stromelysin-1:Metalloproteinase inhibitor 2 complex.
- **3**. A method according to claim **1**, wherein a plurality of assay results are combined using a function that converts the <sup>60</sup> plurality of assay results into a single composite result.
- **4.** A method according to claim 1, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation of renal status based on the pre-

existence in the subject of one or more known risk factors for prerenal, intrinsic renal, or postrenal ARF.

- 5. A method according to claim 1, wherein the subject is selected for evaluation of renal status based on an existing diagnosis of one or more of congestive heart failure, preeclampsia, eclampsia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease, proteinuria, renal insufficiency, glomerular filtration below the normal range, cirrhosis, serum creatinine above the normal range, sepsis, injury to renal function, reduced renal function, or ARF, or based on undergoing or having undergone major vascular surgery, coronary artery bypass, or other cardiac surgery, or based on exposure to NSAIDs, cyclosporines, tacrolimus, aminoglycosides, foscarnet, ethylene glycol, hemoglobin, myoglobin, ifosfamide, heavy metals, methotrexate, radiopaque contrast agents, or streptozotocin.
- 6. A method according to claim 1, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 48 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
- 7. A method according to claim 1, wherein said one or more future changes in renal status comprise one or more of a future injury to renal function, future reduced renal function, future improvement in renal function, and future acute renal failure (ARF) within 24 hours of the time at which the body fluid sample is obtained.
- **8**. A method according to claim **1**, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R.
- **9**. A method according to claim **8**, wherein the subject is in RIFLE stage 0 or R, and said correlating step comprises assigning a likelihood that the subject will reach RIFLE stage I or F within 72 hours.
- 10. A method according to claim 1, wherein the subject is not in acute renal failure.

\* \* \* \* \*